### City of Surrey PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT REPORT File: 7918-0292-00

Planning Report Date: April 1, 2019

## **PROPOSAL:**

• **Rezoning** from RA to RF-10

to allow subdivision into two (2) lots.

LOCATION: 14745 – 60 Avenue

ZONING: RA

**OCP DESIGNATION:** Urban

NCP DESIGNATION: Single Family Small Lots





## **RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY**

• By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.

## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

• None.

## **RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION**

- Complies with the "Urban" Official Community Plan Designation for the site.
- Complies with the "Single Family Small Lot" South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan Designation for the site.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of South Newton.

File: 7918-0292-00

### **RECOMMENDATION**

The Planning & Development Department recommends that:

- 1. A By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Single Family Residential (10) Zone (RF-10)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
- 2. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
  - (a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
  - (b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
  - (c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
  - (d) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
  - the applicant adequately address the City's needs with respect to the City's
     Affordable Housing Strategy, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning
     & Development Services; and
  - (f) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department.

## **REFERRALS**

Engineering:	The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.
School District:	Projected number of students from this development:
	1 Elementary student at Goldstone Park Elementary School 1 Secondary student at Sullivan Heights Secondary School
	(Appendix IV)
	The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by Fall 2020.
Parks, Recreation & Culture:	The Parks, Recreation & Culture Department does not provide comments for subdivisions into two (2) lots.

File: 7918-0292-00

Page 4

### SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: Single Family Residential

Adjacent Area:

Direction	Existing Use	NCP Designation	Existing Zone
North:	Single Family	Single Family	RA
	Residential	Residential	
East:	Single Family	Single Family	RA
	Residential	Small Lots	
South (Across 60 Ave):	Single Family	Single Family	RA
	Residential	Small Lots	
West:	Single Family	Single Family	RA
	Residential	Small Lots	

## **DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS**

- The property is located on the north side of 60 Avenue and is 995 square metres (10,715 sq. ft.) in area, 21.1 metres (69 ft.) wide, and 45.1 metres (148 ft.) deep.
- The property is designated "Urban" in the Official Community Plan (OCP), "Single Family Small Lots" in the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP), and is currently zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)".
- The property at 14785 60 Avenue to the east of the site has conditional approval (third reading), through Development Application No. 7911-0067-00, for rezoning from RA to "Single Family Residential (9) Zone (RF-9)" to allow subdivision of the property into four (4) single family small lots.

## Current Proposal

- The applicant is proposing to rezone the site from the "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to the "Single Family Residential (10) Zone (RF-10)" to allow for the subdivision of the property into two (2) single family residential lots.
- The two proposed lots are 477 square metres (5,134 sq. ft.) in area, 10.6 metres (35 ft.) wide, and 45.1 metres (148 ft.) deep. Both lots are significantly larger than the minimum dimensional requirements under the RF-10 Zone.
- The lots will be accessed via an existing rear lane.

## **Building Design and Lot Grading**

• Michael E. Tynan of Tynan Consulting Ltd. conducted a character study of the surrounding homes and prepared Building Design Guidelines for the subject property. A summary of the Building Design Guidelines is attached as Appendix V.

- The Character Study found that the property is located within in an old urban development area in which all homes were constructed in the 1950's and 1960's. These homes do not provide a suitable architectural context for RF-10 development. The Design Guidelines recommends "Neo-Heritage", "Neo-Traditional", and compatible manifestations of "West Coast Contemporary" style homes on the proposed lots. The proposed Design Guidelines have been reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable.
- A preliminary lot grading plan submitted by CitiWest Consulting Ltd. was reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable.
- In-ground basements may be achievable without bringing a significant amount of fill on the property, based on the preliminary lot grading plan. The final lot grading plan will be incorporated into the detailed engineering design for the proposed lots, which is required prior to Final Adoption.

## PRE-NOTIFICATION

• On August 28, 2018 pre-notification letters were mailed to 82 property owners within 100 metres (328 ft.) of the subject property, as well as the Panorama Ridge Neighbourhood Association. Development Proposal Signs were installed on the property September 6, 2018. The Planning and Development Department received one response from a neighbourhood resident seeking clarification on the proposal.

## **TREES**

• Nick McMahon, ISA Certified Arborist of Arbortech Consulting prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

		1			
Tree Species	Existing		Remove	Retain	
	Conifero	ous Tree	s		
Scots Pine	1	_	1	0	
Western Red Cedar	3	5	3	0	
<b>Total</b> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees)	4		4	0	
Total Replacement Trees Proposed			2		
(excluding Boulevard Street Trees)					
Total Retained and Replacement Trees		2			
Contribution to the Green City Fund		\$2,400			

## Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of four (4) protected trees on the site, which are all coniferous trees. It was determined that none of the onsite trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 2 to 1 replacement ratio. This will require a total of eight (8) replacement trees on the site. Since only two (2) replacement trees can be accommodated on the site (based on an average of one [1] tree per lot), the deficit of six (6) replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of \$2,400, representing \$400 per tree, to the Green City Fund, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- In summary, a total of two (2) trees are proposed to be replaced on the site with a contribution of \$2,400 to the Green City Fund.

## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on July 19, 2018. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

Sustainability	Sustainable Development Features Summary
Criteria	
1. Site Context &	• The site is located within the South Newton NCP
Location	
(A1-A2)	
2. Density & Diversity	• The proposed development will include secondary suites.
(B1-B7)	
3. Ecology &	• Absorbent soils, roof downspout disconnection, on-lot
Stewardship	infiltration/sub-surface chambers, sediment control devices, and
(C1-C4)	perforated pipe systems, are proposed for rain water management.
4. Sustainable	• None proposed.
Transport &	
Mobility	
(D1-D2)	
5. Accessibility &	• None proposed.
Safety	
(E1-E3)	
6. Green Certification	• None proposed.
(F1)	
7. Education &	• None proposed.
Awareness	
(G1-G4)	

#### **INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT**

The following information is attached to this Report:

- Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary (Confidential) and Project Data Sheets
- Appendix II. Proposed Subdivision Layout
- Appendix III. Engineering Summary
- Appendix IV. School District Comments
- Appendix V. Building Design Guidelines Summary
- Appendix VI. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation

original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne General Manager Planning and Development

BD/cm

# APPENDIX I HAS BEEN

# **REMOVED AS IT CONTAINS**

**CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION** 

## SUBDIVISION DATA SHEET

Proposed Zoning: RF-10

GROSS SITE AREA       0.2357         Acres       0.0954         NUMBER OF LOTS       1         Existing       1         Proposed       2         SIZE OF LOTS       1         Range of lot widths (metres)       10.57 metres         Range of lot widths (metres)       10.57 metres         Range of lot areas (square metres)       477m <sup>2</sup> DENSITY       20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.         Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)       20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.         SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)       52%         Maximum Coverage of Principal & 52%       52%         Accessory Building       52%         Fotal Site Coverage       57%         PARKLAND       N/A         Area (square metres)       6         % of Gross Site       6         % of Gross Site       77%         PARKLAND       N/A         Area (square metres)       6         % of Gross Site       77%         Maximum Coverage Site       77%         Maximum Coverage       57%         Total Site Coverage       57%         % of Gross Site       77%         % of Gross Site       76%         % of Gro	Requires Project Data	Proposed
Acres0.2357Hectares0.0954NUMBER OF LOTS1Existing1Proposed2SIZE OF LOTS10.57 metresRange of lot widths (metres)10.57 metresRange of lot areas (square metres)477m²DENSITY20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)52%Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres)9% of Gross Site% of Gross Site0PARKLANDN/APARKLANDN/APARKLANDN/APARKLANDN/AMaximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage5%Total Site Coverage57%Maximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage57%Maximum Coverage5%Maximum Coverage5%Maximum Coverage5%Maximum Coverage5%Maximum Coverage5%Maximum Coverage5%Maximum Coverage5%Maximum Coverage5%Maximum Coverage5%Maximum Coverage10 </td <td>GROSS SITE AREA</td> <td>*</td>	GROSS SITE AREA	*
Hectares0.0954NUMBER OF LOTS1Existing1Proposed2SIZE OF LOTS1Range of lot widths (metres)10.57 metresRange of lot areas (square metres)477m²DENSITY20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)52%Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage5%Total Site Coverage5%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres)N/A% of Gross Site1PARKLANDN/AFARKLANDN/ASiteN/ASiteN/AMariney in lieuNO	Acres	0.2357
NUMBER OF LOTSIExisting1Proposed2Image of lot widths (metres)10.57 metresRange of lot areas (square metres)477m²Image of lot areas (square metres)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.DENSITY20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Image of gross site area)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)52%Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Image of Gross Site57%Image of Gross Site57%Image of Gross Site10.57%Image of Gross Site10.57%Image of Principal & Accessory Building57%Image of Site Coverage57%Image of Gross Site10.57%Image of Gross Gross Gross Gross Gross	Hectares	0.0954
NUMBER OF LOTSExisting1Proposed2Proposed2SIZE OF LOTS		
Existing1Proposed2SIZE OF LOTS10.57 metresRange of lot widths (metres)10.57 metresRange of lot areas (square metres)477m²DENSITY10.57 metresLots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Net)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)52%Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage5%Total Site Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres)6% of Gross Site6PARKLANDN/APARKLANDN/ASite0Site Coverage57%Site0NO10.57 metres	NUMBER OF LOTS	
Proposed2SIZE OF LOTS10.57 metresRange of lot widths (metres)10.57 metresRange of lot areas (square metres)477m²DENSITY10.57 metresLots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Net)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)52%Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Total Site Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres)10.57%% of Gross Site10.57%PARKLANDN/APARKLANDN/ASite10.57%Maximum in lieuNO	Existing	1
SIZE OF LOTSImage: Size OF LOTSRange of lot widths (metres)10.57 metresRange of lot areas (square metres)477m²DENSITYImage: Size of Constraints of Constrai	Proposed	2
SIZE OF LOTS10.57 metresRange of lot widths (metres)10.57 metresRange of lot areas (square metres)477m²DENSITY10.57 metresLots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Net)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)52%Maximum Coverage of Principal &52%Accessory Building52%Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage5%Total Site Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres)10.57%% of Gross Site10.57%PARKLANDN/APARKLANDN/ASite10.57%Maximum in LieuNO		
Range of lot widths (metres)10.57 metresRange of lot areas (square metres)477m²DENSITYLots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Net)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Total Site Coverage5%Total Site Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres) % of Gross SiteN/APARKLANDN/ASiteNO	SIZE OF LOTS	
Range of lot areas (square metres)477m²DENSITYLots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Net)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage5%Total Site Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres) % of Gross SiteN/APARKLANDN/ASiteNO	Range of lot widths (metres)	10.57 metres
DENSITYImage: constraint of the state of the	Range of lot areas (square metres)	477m <sup>2</sup>
DENSITY20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Net)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Accessory Building5%Total Site Coverage5%Total Site Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres) % of Gross Site% of Gross SitePARKLANDN/AMarea (square metres) % of Gross SiteNONONO		
Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Net)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage5%Total Site Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres)% of Gross SitePARKLANDN/APARKLANDN/AMarea (square metres)% of Gross SiteMaximum CoverageN/ASiteMaximum CoverageN/AArea (square metres)Maximum CoverageN/AMaximum CoverageMaximum CoverageMaximation CoverageMaximation CoverageSite CoverageMaximation CoverageMaximation CoverageMaximation CoverageMaximation CoverageSite CoverageMaximation Coverage <td< td=""><td>DENSITY</td><td></td></td<>	DENSITY	
Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Net)20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)	Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Gross)	20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.
SITE COVERAGE (in % of gross site area)Maximum Coverage of Principal & Accessory Building52%Accessory Building5%Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage Total Site Coverage5%Total Site Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres) % of Gross SiteMaximum Coverage1PARKLAND1Maximum Coverage1Maximum Coverage1Site Coverage1Maximation Site Coverage1	Lots/Hectare & Lots/Acre (Net)	20.96 u.p.h. & 8.48 u.p.a.
SITE COVERAGE (iii % of gloss site area)         Maximum Coverage of Principal &         Accessory Building         Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage         5%         Total Site Coverage         57%         PARKLAND         % of Gross Site         %         PARKLAND         % of Gross Site         %         PARKLAND         NO	SITE COVERACE (in % of gross site area)	
Maximum Coverage of Finicipal &       52%         Accessory Building       52%         Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage       5%         Total Site Coverage       57%         PARKLAND       N/A         Area (square metres)       6         % of Gross Site       6         PARKLAND       Required         PARKLAND       NO	Maximum Coverage of Principal &	<b>53</b> %
Estimated Road, Lane & Driveway Coverage       5%         Total Site Coverage       57%         PARKLAND       N/A         Area (square metres)       0         % of Gross Site       0         PARKLAND       Required         9%       1         5%       1         9%       1         9%       1         9%       1         9%       1         10%       1	Accessory Building	5270
Instituted road, balle & Dirrewdy coverage5/6Total Site Coverage57%PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres)N/A% of Gross Site6PARKLANDRequiredPARKLANDNO	Estimated Road Lane & Driveway Coverage	5%
PARKLAND     N/A       Area (square metres)        % of Gross Site        Required       PARKLAND        5% money in lieu     NO	Total Site Coverage	57%
PARKLANDN/AArea (square metres)% of Gross SitePARKLANDRequired5% money in lieuNO		5110
Area (square metres)         % of Gross Site         Required         PARKLAND         5% money in lieu	PARKLAND	N/A
% of Gross Site       Required       PARKLAND       5% money in lieu	Area (square metres)	
Required       PARKLAND       5% money in lieu	% of Gross Site	
RequiredPARKLAND5% money in lieuNO		
PARKLAND       5% money in lieu		Required
5% money in lieu NO	PARKLAND	
	5% money in lieu	NO
TREE SURVEY/ASSESSMENT YES	TREE SURVEY/ASSESSMENT	YES
MODEL BUILDING SCHEME YES	MODEL BUILDING SCHEME	YES
		NO
HERITAGE SITE Retention NO	HERITAGE SITE Retention	NO
		NO
NO NO	TRASER HEALTH Approval	INU
DEV VARIANCE PERMIT required	DEV VARIANCE PERMIT required	
Road Length/Standards NO	Road Length/Standards	NO
Works and Services NO	Works and Services	NO
Building Retention NO	Building Retention	NO
Others NO	Others	NO



## Appendix III



INTER-OFFICE MEMO

TO:	Manager, Area Planning - South Surrey Division Planning and Developm	g & Development nent Department		
FROM:	Development Engineer,	Engineering Department		
DATE:	Jan 07, 2019	PROJECT FILE:	7818-0292-00	
RE:	Engineering Requireme	ents	,	

Location: 14745 60 Avenue

## **REZONE AND SUBDIVISION**

### Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate 1.942m along 60 Avenue for the ultimate 24.0m Collector Standard; and
- Register 0.5m statutory right-of-way (SROW) along the frontage of 60 Avenue.

## Works and Services

- Construct north side of 60 Avenue to Collector Standard with 7.0m wide pavement from center line;
- Construct rear lane to Residential Lane Standards;
- Remove existing driveway letdown on 60 Avenue and construct a 6.0m driveway to each lot with rear lane access only;
- Confirm downstream storm system capacity and upgrade if required;
- Construct storm mains to service the proposed development along 60 Avenue and rear lane;
- Provide on-site and off-site sustainable drainage features to meet the South Newton Neighborhood Concept Plan (NCP) and Hyland Creek Integrated Storm Management Plan (ISMP) requirements;
- Construct a sanitary main to service the development along 60 Avenue; and
- Provide a storm, sanitary and water service connection to each lot.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone and Subdivision.

Tommy Buchmann, P.Eng. Acting Development Services Manager

SC



Planning

March 22, 2019

#### School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:

The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Goldstone Park elementary was opened February 2014 to relieve the enrolment pressure at both Woodward Hill and Cambridge Elementary. As of September 2018, all three schools are currently severely overcrowded. To meet in-catchment demand, 4 new portables were added this September to Goldstone Park to deal with enrolment growth.

On the 2019/2020 5 year Capital Plan, the District is requesting as Priority 2 and 3 a new site and a new 655 capacity elementary to address the overcrowding in the South Newton area. The Ministry of Education has not approved these projects.

In June 2018, the Ministry of Education has approved funding for design and construction of a 700 capacity addition at Sullivan Heights. This is one of 3 projects that are planned to address the overcrowding at the secondary level in the Newton area. The two other projects are on the 2019/2020 Five Year Capital Plan waiting for approval from the Ministry to move to the feasibility stage. They are an addition to Frank Hurt Secondary and building a new 1000 capacity secondary school in the area.

#### THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

APPLICATION #:	18 0292 00	
SUMMARY The proposed are estimated to have the following impact on the following schools:	2	Single family with suites

#### Projected # of students for this development:

Elementary Students: Secondary Students:	1 1
September 2018 Enrolment/School Capacity	
Goldstone Park Elementary	
Enrolment (K/1-7):	97 K + 635
Operating Capacity (K/1-7)	95 K + 466
Sullivan Heights Secondary	
Enrolment (8-12):	1534
Capacity (8-12):	1000
Addition Capacity (8-12) 2021:	1700

#### Goldstone Park Elementary



#### Sullivan Heights Secondary



\* Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students. Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.

## **BUILDING GUIDELINES SUMMARY**

Surrey Project no:18-0292-00-00Project Location:14745 - 60 Avenue, Surrey, B.C.Design Consultant:Tynan Consulting Ltd., (Michael E. Tynan)

The draft Building Scheme proposed for this Project has been filed with the City Clerk. The following is a summary of the Residential Character Study and the Design Guidelines which highlight the important features and form the basis of the draft Building Scheme.

## 1. Residential Character

# 1.1 General Description of the Existing and/or Emerging Residential Character of the Subject Site:

The subject site is located within an old urban development area in which all homes were constructed in the 1950's and 1960's. The style of most homes can be described as "West Coast Traditional" or "Old urban" or "Neo-Traditional". Home types include Bungalow (clearly dominant at 71%), Bungalow with above-ground basement, 1 ½ Storey, Two-Storey, and Cathedral (Split) Entry, ranging in size from 800 - 2400 sq.ft.

A variety of massing designs are evident, including simple low mass homes (the Bungalows), homes with low to mid-scale massing (1 ½ Storey home), homes with mid-scale massing (the Two Storey homes), and homes with high to box-like massing (the Cathedral Entry homes).

Roof forms include common hip, common gable, and Dutch Hip. Roof slopes range from 1:12 to 12:12, but a majority of homes have roof slopes in the 4:12 - 5:12 range. Roof surfaces include asphalt shingles (clearly dominant), and tar and gravel.

Wall cladding materials include aluminum, stucco, and cedar in a colour range that includes neutral, natural, and primary colours. Only a few homes have a brick or stone accent. Trim and detailing standards are typical of the modest standards found on most homes constructed in the 1950's and 1960's.

Overall, landscaping standards are considered "modest old urban" with sod, a few shrubs, and some native trees, typical of standards found in 1950's and 1960's era homes.

# 1.2 Features of Surrounding Dwellings Significant to the Proposed Building Scheme:

1) <u>Context Homes:</u> The housing stock in the area surrounding the subject site does not provide suitable architectural context for a post year 2017 RF-10 zone development. Massing scale, massing designs, roof designs, construction materials, and trim and detailing elements have improved significantly since most homes in this area were constructed. It is more sensible therefore, to use updated standards that result in reasonable compatibility with the older homes and also result in standards that improve

over time, than it is to specifically emulate the older homes by building to the older standards.

- 2) <u>Style Character :</u> Most neighbouring homes can be classified as old urban homes that have massing designs and exterior trim and detailing standards that do not meet modern standards. Rather than emulating the existing homes, the recommendation is to utilize *compatible* styles including "Neo-Traditional", "Neo-Heritage", and compatible styles which could include compatible manifestations of the "West Coast Contemporary" style as determined by the consultant. Note that style range is not specifically restricted in the building scheme. However, the consultant refers to the character study when reviewing plans for meeting style-character intent.
- 3) **Home Types :** There are a wide range of home types evident, and so some flexibility is justified. Home type (Two-Storey, Bungalow, Basement Entry, Split Level, etc..) will not be regulated in the building scheme.
- 4) <u>Massing Designs</u>: Massing designs should meet new standards for RF-10 zoned subdivisions. New homes should exhibit "mid-scale" massing. Various elements and projections on the front of the home should be interesting architecturally, and should be in pleasing natural proportions to one another. These elements and projections should be located so as to create balance across the façade.
- 5) **Front Entrance Design :** All homes in this neighbourhood have a one storey high front entrance portico. Front entrances should be of a human scale, limited to a maximum height of one storey to ensure there is not proportional overstatement of this one element. A one storey high front entrance is an appropriate scale for homes in this zone, and is consistent with other homes in this area.
- 6) <u>Exterior Wall Cladding :</u> A wide range of cladding materials have been used in this area, including cedar, aluminum, stucco, and brick. Reasonable flexibility should therefore be permitted, including the use of vinyl siding, provided the overall quality of wall cladding materials meets or exceeds common standards for post 2017 developments.
- 7) **Roof surface :** This is area in which most homes have asphalt shingle roofs. It is expected that most new homes will also have asphalt shingle roofs, and for continuity, asphalt shingles are recommended. A single cedar shingle or concrete tile roof would stand out as inconsistent due the large difference in textures (thickness) between asphalt shingles and cedar shingles or concrete tiles, and so these products are not recommended. However, where opportunities arise to introduce new environmentally sustainable products, they should be embraced. Generally, these materials have thicknesses between asphalt shingles and cedar shingles and cedar shingles and will not appear out of place texturally. Therefore, to ensure consistency of character, only shake profile asphalt shingles and shake profile sustainable products are recommended. Where required by the BC Building Code for lower slope applications membrane roofing products can be permitted subject to consultant approval. Small decorative metal roofs should also be permitted.
- 8) **Roof Slope :** The recommendation is to set the minimum roof slope at 6:12. Steeper slopes will be encouraged, especially on street facing roof projections. However, a relatively low 6:12 slope may be required to meet maximum height as specified in the RF-10 bylaw. A provision is also recommended to allow slopes less than 6:12 where it is determined by the consultant that the design is of such high architectural integrity that the roof slope reduction can be justified, or that lower slopes are needed on feature projections or at the front entrance veranda to ensure upper floor windows can be installed without interference with the roof structure below.

**Streetscape:** The subject site is located in an old urban area in which all homes were constructed in the 1950's and 1960's. Most homes (71%) are simple low mass, low profile, rectangular Bungalows with 4:12 to 5:12 common gable roofs with an asphalt shingle surface. One exception, at 14720 - 60 Avenue, is a 2200 sq.ft. "West Coast Traditional (Tudor)" style, 1½ Storey home with desirable mid-scale massing characteristics, a 12:12 slope roof with asphalt shingle surface, and stucco cladding with Tudor board installed in an English Tudor tradition. Homes are clad in stucco, cedar (dominant), or aluminum. Most yards are landscaped to a modest old urban standard featuring sod 1 - 6 shrubs, and mature conifers.

# 2. Proposed Design Guidelines

## 2.1 Specific Residential Character and Design Elements these Guidelines Attempt to Preserve and/or Create:

- the new homes are readily identifiable as one of the following styles: "Traditional", "Heritage", "Neo-Traditional", "Neo-Heritage", compatible forms of "West Coast Contemporary", or other compatible styles with appropriate transitions in massing and character, as determined by the design *consultant*. Note that the proposed style range is not contained within the building scheme, but is contained within the residential character study which forms the basis for interpreting building scheme regulations.
- a new single family dwelling *constructed* on any *lot* meets year 2017's design standards, which
  include the proportionally correct allotment of mass between various street facing elements, the
  overall balanced distribution of mass within the front facade, readily recognizable style-authentic
  design, and a high trim and detailing standard used specifically to reinforce the style objectives
  stated above.
- trim elements will include several of the following: furred out wood posts, articulated wood post bases, wood braces and brackets, louvered wood vents, bold wood window and door trim, highly detailed gable ends, wood dentil details, stone or brick feature accents, covered entrance verandas and other style-specific elements, all used to reinforce the style (i.e. not just decorative).
- the development is internally consistent in theme, representation, and character.
- the entrance element will be limited in height (relative dominance) to one storey.

## 2.2 Proposed Design Solutions:

Interfacing Treatment	Existing neighbouring homes do not provide suitable context
with existing dwellings)	for the proposed RF-10 type homes at the subject site.
	Dether measure design construction metarials and trime
	element treatments will meet or exceed standards commonly
	found in RF-10 developments constructed in Surrey subsequent to the year 2017.

**Exterior Materials/Colours:** Stucco, Cedar, Vinyl, Fibre-Cement Board, Brick, and Stone.

"Natural" colours such as browns, greens, clays, and other earth-tones, and "Neutral" colours such as grey, white, and cream are permitted. "Primary" colours in subdued tones such as navy blue, colonial red, or forest green can be considered providing neutral trim colours are used, and a comprehensive colour scheme is approved by the consultant. "Warm" colours such as pink, rose, peach, salmon are not permitted. Trim colours: Shade variation of main colour, complementary, neutral, or subdued contrast only.

**Roof Pitch:** Minimum 6:12, with exceptions to prevent roof ridges from becoming too high (overshadowing of neighbouring lots), to allow for veranda roofs that do not cover upper floor windows. to allow for artistic expression in feature roofs, and to provide a path for exceptional designs with lower slope roofs to be approved subject to consultant approval.

- **Roof Materials/Colours:** Only shake profile asphalt shingles with a raised ridge cap and new environmentally sustainable roofing products providing that aesthetic properties of the new materials are equal to or better than the traditional roofing products. Grevs, browns, or black only. Membrane roofs also permitted where required by B.C. Building Code, and small metal feature roofs also permitted.
- In-ground basements: In-ground basements are subject to determination that service invert locations are sufficiently below grade to permit a minimum 50 percent in-ground basement to be achieved. If achievable, basements will appear underground from the front.

**Treatment of Corner Lots:** Not applicable - there are no corner lots

Landscaping: Moderate modern urban standard: Tree planting as specified on Tree Replacement Plan plus minimum 15 shrubs of a minimum 3 gallon pot size. Sod from street to face of home. Driveways: exposed aggregate, interlocking masonry pavers, coloured concrete (earth tones only), or stamped concrete. Broom finish concrete is permitted only where the driveway directly connects the lane to the garage slab at the rear side of the dwelling.

Compliance Deposit: \$5,000.00

Summary prepared and submitted by: Tynan Consulting Ltd. Date: Nov. 10, 2018

Reviewed and Approved by: Michael

Date: Nov. 10, 2018



## APPENDIX F: CITY OF SURREY SUMMARY FORM

Surrey Project No.:	7918-0292-00
Project Address:	14745 60 Avenue, Surrey, BC
Consulting Arborist:	Nick M <sup>e</sup> Mahon

ON-SITE TREES:		QUANTITY OF TREES	
Total Bylaw Protected Trees Identified (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, excluding Park and ESA dedications)			4
Bylaw Protected Trees to be Removed			4
Bylaw Protected Trees to be Retained (excludes trees in Park dedication areas and ESA's)			0
Replacement Trees Required:			
Alder and Cottonwood at 1:1 ratio:	0 times 1 =	0	
All Other Bylaw Protected Trees at 2:1 ratio:	4 times 2 =	8	
TOTAL:			8
Replacement Trees Proposed			2
Replacement Trees in Deficit			6
Protected Trees Retained in Proposed Open Space/ Riparian Areas			0

OFF-SITE TREES:			QUANTITY OF TREES
Bylaw Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed			4
Replacement Trees Required:			
Alder and Cottonwood at 1:1 ratio:	Otimes 1 =	0	
All Other Bylaw Protected Trees at 2:1 ratio:	4 times 2 =	8	
TOTAL:			8
Replacement Trees Proposed			0
Replacement Trees in Deficit			8

N/A denotes information "Not Available" at this time.

This summary and the referenced documents are prepared and submitted by:

June

Nick McMahon, Consulting Arborist

Dated:

December 18, 2018

Direct: 604 812 2986 Email: nick@aclgroup.ca





LEGEND TREE ASSESSMENT:

	ADR		CH.						
	ARDORI		CLIENT:	NT: JASVIR GARCHA - CITIWEST					
	CON	SULT		CITY REF:			AC	CL FILE:	18272
SUITE 145 - 12051 HORSESHOE W	VAY, RICHMONE	D, BC V7A 4V4	604 275 3484	PLOT SIZE:	11"X17"	REV #:	1	DATE:	DEC 18, 2018

