

## City of Surrey PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT File: $\quad 7918-0087-00$

Planning Report Date: July 9, 2018

## PROPOSAL:

- Rezoning from CD (By-law No.18856) to RM-30
- NCP Amendment for changes to the local road network
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of approximately 80 townhouse units.

LOCATION: 16650-25A Avenue ZONING: CD (By-law No. 18856)
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: Townhouse (15-30 upa), Potential Orchard Preservation


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is proposing an NCP amendment to amend the local road network.
- The applicant is seeking a Development Variance Permit to reduce townhouse setbacks to the street.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The applicant completed a development application on the subject site (Application No. 7916-0301-oo) to permit the development of 50 fee simple rowhomes in July 2017. The applicant subsequently advised staff that the market demand for townhouses has increased in relation to the approved rowhomes, and has opted not to proceed with the rowhomes.
- Under Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo, road dedications for 25A Avenue, 167 Street, 25 Avenue and 166 Street were obtained. In addition, the Orchard Grove Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) was amended to add two lanes which were proposed to provide access to the rowhomes. As the applicant's proposal has changed to townhouses, the lanes are no longer needed and therefore the applicant is requesting that the NCP road network approved under Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo be amended to eliminate the lanes.
- The applicant's proposed townhouse density of 60 units per hectare ( 24 units per acre) is within the range of densities permitted under the site's current NCP designation of Townhouse (15-30 upa). In addition, under Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo the applicant provided a contribution to commemorate the existing orchard on the City park land located south of the subject site, in lieu of preserving the orchard on site. These funds will be used to promote place-making in the neighbourhood.
- The proposed townhouse setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape and provide surveillance of the public realm.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "Comprehensive Development Zone" (CD) (By-law No. 18856) to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7918-oo87-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
3. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7918-oo87-oo (Appendix VII) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum north, east, south and west yard setbacks of the RM 30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face and to 3.9 metres ( 13 ft .) for a small bump-out articulation on Buildings 4, 6, 9, and 10 .
4. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(c) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(d) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space; and
(e) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture.
5. Council pass a resolution to amend the Orchard Grove NCP to amend the local road network as shown in Appendix VI when the project is considered for final adoption.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.

## (Appendix IV)

 2020.No concerns.
No concerns.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: Vacant site.

Adjacent Area:

## Projected number of students from this development:

20 Elementary students at Pacific Heights Elementary School 10 Secondary students at Earl Marriott Secondary School

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by summer

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North (Across 25A <br> Avenue): | Single family small lots. | Small Lot Single <br> Family w/wo Coach <br> House 10-15 upa and <br> Habitat Corridor | RF-10 and RF- <br> 12 |
| East (Across 167 <br> Street): | Vacant site. | Townhouse 15-30 upa | RA |
| South (Across 25 <br> Avenue): | Townhouse site under <br> construction and City park. | Townhouses 15-30 <br> upa and Park | RM-30 and RA |
| West (Across 166 <br> Street): | Currently under Development <br> Application No. 7915-0217-o0 <br> for 56 townhouses and 13 single <br> family lots (at Third Reading). | Townhouse 15-30 upa | RA |

## JUSTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- The applicant is proposing an NCP amendment to amend the road network from the road pattern that was recently approved under the applicant's previous development application (Application No. 7916-0301-oo) on the site.
- The applicant completed Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo on the subject site to permit the development of 50 fee simple rowhomes in July 2017. The applicant subsequently advised staff that the market demand for townhouses had increased with respect to demand for fee simple rowhomes and the applicant did not proceed to develop the rowhomes. In March 2018, the applicant submitted the subject application for an 8o-unit townhouse project.
- Under Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo, road dedications for 25 A Avenue, 167 Street, 25 Avenue and 166 Street were obtained. In addition, the Orchard Grove Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) was amended to add two lanes which were proposed to provide access to the rowhomes. As the applicant's proposal has changed to townhouses, the lanes are no longer needed and therefore the applicant is requesting that the NCP road network approved under Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo be amended to eliminate the lanes.
- The applicant's proposed townhouse density of 60 units per hectare ( 24 units per acre) is within the range of densities permitted under the site's current NCP designation of Townhouse ( $15-30$ upa). In addition, under Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo the applicant provided a contribution to commemorate the existing orchard on the City park land located south of the subject site, in lieu of preserving the orchard on site. These funds will be used promote place-making in the neighbourhood.


## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

- The subject 1.34 hectare ( 3.31 acre) site consists of one property located at $16650-25$ A Avenue within the Orchard Grove NCP area. The site is zoned "Comprehensive Development Zone" (CD) (By-law No. 18856) and is designated Urban at in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and Townhouse $15-30$ upa and Potential Orchard Preservation in the Orchard Grove Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The applicant is proposing:

0 an amendment to the Orchard Grove NCP for changes to the local road network;
0 to rezone the site from"Comprehensive Development Zone" (CD) (By-law No. 18856) to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30);
o a Development Permit to allow the development of approximately 80 townhouse units; and
o a Development Variance Permit for reduced building setbacks.

- The proposal anticipates a total floor area of approximately 11,044 square metres (118,900 sq.ft.), representing a floor area ratio (FAR) of o.82, and a unit density of 60 units per hectare ( 24 units per acre). Both the FAR and unit density comply with the provisions of the RM-3o Zone.
- The subject property is located within a Land Consolidation Area in the Orchard Grove NCP, extending from 166 Street to 167A Street as shown in Figure 13 of the NCP. The applicant has demonstrated that they have made reasonable efforts to secure the remnant lots (16710 and 16736-25A Avenue) to the east with no success of a land assembly. The applicant has provided a concept plan to show how these properties can develop independently of the subject site.
- The form, character and density of the proposed development comply with the Orchard Grove NCP. Table 1 outlines the Orchard Grove NCP requirements pertaining to this site and how the applicant has addressed these requirements:

Table 1: Summary of Orchard Grove NCP

|  |  | NCP Requirements | Proposed Development |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Townhouse <br> $15-30$ <br> upa | Density | $15-30$ upa net density | 24 upa net density |
|  |  <br> Character | Row houses or <br> townhouses | Townhouses |
|  | Access from rear lanes or <br> a local street | One access is proposed on 25 <br> Avenue (local street) |  |

## Commemoration of the Orchard and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Corridor

- The Orchard Grove NCP identifies the subject site as a Potential Orchard Preservation area. The subject site is the location of an existing Asian pear orchard in the NCP area that is reflective of the historical agricultural uses that once were established in the neighbourhood.
- Under Development Application No. 7916-0301-0o the applicant explored the possibility of retaining the existing orchard trees but after examination by the applicant's arborist, it was determined that the existing orchard would not be suitable for retention once the area is redeveloped. As a way to commemorate the orchard, the applicant has provided cash-in-lieu for the establishment of a new orchard in the City park south of the site.
- Overall, the proposed commemoration of the orchard in the future park meets the intent of the Orchard Grove NCP and will promote place making in the neighbourhood.
- The applicant has met the requirements in the Orchard Grove NCP to provide a north-south Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Habitat Corridor along 166 Street as part of the recently approved Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo. The applicant provided a landscaping plan and provided cash-in-lieu for $50 \%$ of the planting required in the Habitat Corridor consistent with other developments in the area.
- The development proposal helps achieve a 20 metre ( 66 feet) wide corridor for the NorthSouth 166 Street Habitat Corridor (of which a 10 metre ( 33 feet) wide corridor was dedicated from the subject site). The corridor meets the BCS target for the subject site.
- This method of GIN retention/enhancement will assist in the long term protection of the natural features and allows the City to better achieve biodiversity at this location consistent with the guidelines contained in the BCS.


## Procedural Changes Resulting from Amended Proposal

- As mentioned above, the applicant completed Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo on the subject site to permit the development of 50 fee simple rowhomes in July 2017. Under Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo, road dedications around the site for 25A Avenue, 167 Street, 25 Avenue and 166 Street were secured under a Section 107 Plan which was registered at the Land Title Office in February 2018.
- The fee simple rowhome lots were not created, as the applicant had indicated their intention to change their proposed development to a townhouse development. In addition, the proposed lanes that were to provide access to the rowhomes were not conveyed, as a townhouse form of development does not require lane access.
- As part of Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo, a servicing agreement, including payment of Development Cost Charges and other associated fees, was completed. In addition, the $5 \%$ cash-in-lieu contribution of park land was provided by the applicant.
- Should Council support the applicant's current townhouse proposal, a new servicing agreement (and associated financial charges) will be required prior to final adoption. A reconciliation of financial charges (such as the Development Cost Charges, NCP Amenity Fees, and School Site Acquisition Charges) between the two applications would be undertaken as part of the completion of the subject application. In addition, the $5 \%$ cash-in-lieu contribution of park land would also be refunded to the applicant, because the Local Government Act (Section 510(4)) only requires a $5 \%$ cash-in-lieu contribution for park land when 3 or more new lots are being created, and the applicant has not created any additional lots.
- Should Council not support the applicant's current townhouse proposal, the applicant would be obligated to resubmit a subdivision plan to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer showing the creation of 50 fee simple rowhome parcels and the associated lanes, in keeping with the site's current "Comprehensive Development Zone" (CD) (By-law No. 18856), and the subdivision plan originally proposed under Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo.


## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

- The proposed development consists of 14 buildings containing 80 dwelling units and 1 amenity building. The number of units within the individual buildings range from 4 to 7 units. The proposed units range in size from 126 square metres ( $1,360 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) to 144 square metres (1,555 sq.ft.).
- The site plan reflects an effort to orient as many buildings as possible to be street-facing to provide an attractive interface with the public realm. In addition, all of the street-oriented units contain active living space on the ground floor which will promote interaction with the public realm. All of the street-oriented units have doors facing the street, a walkway
connecting each residence to the street, and windows that provide casual surveillance of the public realm.
- The applicant indicates that the proposed development is "inspired by a contemporary interpretation of rural and agricultural architectural forms such as farm houses and barns." This approach recognizes the rural and agricultural past of the Grandview area. The elevations emphasize the individuality of the units through the use of individual gables, articulation and colour.
- The proposed exterior materials include hardie-plank siding (grey), hardie-panels (charcoal), hardie-shingles (white), hardie-lap siding (light brown), and brick (black). Black asphalt shingles are proposed for the roof. Metal canopies are proposed above the front doors of the units.
- The buildings are designed to step with the grade of the site, which will help to break up the massing of the buildings. Articulation is provided along the elevations, and the roof line is broken up through the use of gables which are used for each unit.


## Amenity Space

- The Zoning By-law requires that 240 square metres ( $2,58 \mathrm{o}$ sq. ft .) of both indoor and outdoor amenity space be provided, based on 3 square metres ( 32 sq . ft.) per dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing 241 square metres ( 2,595 sq.ft.) of indoor amenity space, which exceeds the requirements in the Zoning By-Law. The indoor amenity building is located next to the proposed outdoor amenity area. The amenity building is proposed to have two floors and also an accessible rooftop deck. The main floor will have a large lounge with a fire place, kitchen and dining facilities, a bathroom, and storage. The upper floor contains two meeting rooms and a large lounge/library area.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 249 square metres ( $2,680 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) of outdoor amenity space, which also exceeds the requirements of the Zoning By-law. The outdoor amenity area is divided into two areas; a ground level outdoor area located adjacent to the indoor amenity building, and a rooftop deck on top of the indoor amenity building. The rooftop amenity area contains an outdoor fire place, BBQ area, low planting and informal seating. The ground level outdoor amenity area contains a patio seating area adjacent to the indoor amenity building, a lawn area with a picnic table and bench, and the planting of a significant tree (Catalpa).


## Access, Pedestrian Circulation and Parking

- Vehicular access to the site is proposed from 25 Avenue. Street-fronting units are proposed to have individual pedestrian access to the street. The applicant is proposing a number of pedestrian connections throughout the site, using saw-cut concrete to identify the pedestrian connections, providing connectivity throughout the site and also to adjacent sidewalks.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 160 resident parking spaces and 16 visitor parking spaces, which meets the minimum parking requirements of the Zoning By-law. Fifty-eight (58) of the 80 units ( $72 \%$ ) are proposed to have side-by-side double-car garages, with 22 units (28\%) proposed to contain a tandem parking arrangement.


## Landscaping

- Landscaping plans have been reviewed and found to be generally acceptable. The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, and ground cover. Corner plazas are proposed in the northeast and southeast corners of the site to enhance the public realm. The corner plazas will contain saw-cut concrete and benches.
- A o.9 metre (3 feet) high transparent cedar fence with gates at individual unit entries is proposed along the street frontage. The cedar fence is accented with several brick portions, which helps to provide a sense of definition along the street edge. The fence will be set back from the property line to allow for planting in front of the fence. Decorative sawcut concrete is proposed at the vehicular entrances and pervious pavers are proposed for the visitor parking spaces.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

The application is not subject to review by the Advisory Design Panel (ADP). The design and landscaping plans were reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable.

## PRE-NOTIFICATION

- Pre-notification letters were sent on April 16, 2018 to 118 properties located within 100 metres ( 328 feet) of the site, including the Grandview Heights Stewardship Association.
Two Development Proposal Signs were also installed on site on May 4, 2016. To date staff have received inquiries from 3 parties. Two of the inquirers were curious about the nature of the proposal and did not mention any concerns.
- One inquirer indicated concerns that the half-road portion of 167 Street dedicated under the previous application on the subject site (Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo) was in line with the property located at 16699 - 25A Avenue and asked if 167 Street could be shifted to the east at the north end of the street.
(The northbound travel lane of 167 Street largely lines up with the walkway adjacent to the property at 16699-25A Avenue, and not the actual property at 16699-25A Avenue. The road cannot be shifted east without unduly affecting the neighbouring property at 16710-25A Avenue, which is sharing the road dedication for 167 Street with the subject site.)


## TREES

- Max Rathburn and Trevor Cox, ISA Certified Arborists of Diamond Head Consulting Limited prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species for trees protected by the City's Tree Protection By-law:

Table 3: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder | 5 | 5 | o |
| Deciduous Trees <br> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Cherry/ Plum | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Japanese Maple | 2 | 2 | o |
| Bigleaf Maple | 3 | 3 | o |
| Weeping Willow | 1 | 1 | o |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 8 | 8 | o |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 112 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 112 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of eight (8) protected trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Five (5) existing trees, approximately $38 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- Table 3 does not include the existing Asian pear orchard farm that consists of approximately 500 trees since all these trees are below by-law size, and therefore are not protected by the City's Tree Protection By-law. The Asian pears were assessed by the arborist and it was determined that due to their prolonged pruning over the past several decades, they lack the ability to adapt to new site changes, and changes in the growing environment, making them not suitable for retention or transplantation. As indicated above, the applicant has provided a contribution to commemorate the existing orchard by providing cash-in-lieu to plant a new orchard in the park site located south of the subject site.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 21 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 112 replacement trees, which exceeds City requirements for tree replacement.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including: maples, Eastern redbud, cypress, cherry, aspen, pear, and Japanese snowbell.
- In summary, a total of 112 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on February 15, 2018. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Site Context \& Location <br> (A1-A2) | - The site is located within the Orchard Grove NCP area. |
| 2.Density \& Diversity <br> (B1-B7)- The proposal includes a mixture of 2-bedroom and 3-bedroom <br> townhouse units. |  |
| 3. Ecology \& Stewardship <br> (C1-C4) | - The following rain water management techniques are proposed <br> to be utilized: absorbent soils, disconnected downspouts, <br> perforated pipes and permeable pavers. <br> - The applicant is proposing to plant ne replacement trees onsite <br> and has also made a contribution for a commemorative orchard <br> to be placed on the park site to the south. <br> - Recycling and organic waste pick-up will be made available. |
|  |  |
| Mobility |  |
| (D1-D2) |  | | - The site will be connected via walkways to surrounding |
| :--- |
| sidewalks. |

## BY-LAW VARIANCE AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variances:

- To reduce the minimum north, east, south and west yard setbacks of the RM 30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face and to 3.9 metres ( 13 ft .) for a small bump-out articulation on Buildings 4, 6, 9, and 10 .

Staff Comments:

- The proposed setbacks bring the townhouse units closer to the street which allows the units to better engage and enliven the street, and also provides surveillance over the public realm.
- The proposed setbacks allow for sufficient landscaping that helps to delineate the private and public realms, while still allowing the townhouse buildings to address the public realm.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary (Confidential) and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Proposed Site Plan, Building Elevations, and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI. NCP Amendment Plan
Appendix VII. Development Variance Permit No. 7918-oo87-oo
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
$\mathrm{KB} / \mathrm{cm}$

## APPENDIX I HAS BEEN

REMOVED AS IT CONTAINS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Proposed Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 13,396 sq.m. |
| Road Widening area |  |  |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Net Total |  | 13,396 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 45\% | 36\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  |  |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| North | 7.5m | 4.5 m for building face, 3.9 m for "bump-out" articulation |
| South | 7.5 m | See above |
| East | 7.5 m | See above |
| West | 7.5 m | See above |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 13 m | 11.0 m |
| Amenity Building | 11 m | 9.8 m |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  | 4 |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 76 |
| Total |  | 80 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 11,044 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 11,044 sq.m. |

* If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.


## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | 75 uph/3o upa | 60 uph/24 upa |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 1.00 | o. 82 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 240 sq.m. | 241 sq.m. |
| Outdoor | 240 sq.m. | 249 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Townhouse Units | 160 | 160 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Visitors | 16 | 16 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 176 | 176 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of accessible stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units | 8 o spaces (50\%) | 44 spaces (28\%) |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Appendix II



StreetSide Developments Ltd. | Orchard Townhomes DP RESUBMISSION - JUNE 18, 2018

|  MOLEGAL SUBDIVSION 1 |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |


| OWNERIEVELOPER | STRUCTURAL ENGINEER | LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {Streatside oevelopment }}$ Ld |  | Oit Lanssasae Arcribectur |
|  |  | , |
| ARCHITECT | mechanical engineer | civilengineer |
|  | Wwilams Engineering canad are. | Core Grup Consultans |
| 102-1183 Odlum Drive, Vancouner, BC | 200-1520 McCallum Road, Abbotsford $\begin{array}{ll}\text { P. } 604.851 .7565 & \text { C. } 778.549 .6324\end{array}$ Stan Pozn | 320-8988 Fraserton Ct, Burnaby, BC P. 604.299.0605 |
|  | ellectrical engineer | GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER |
|  | Gagef Electrical Consultans Lta. | Coopacific Consulums |
|  | 107-20644 Eastleigh Crescent, Langley City, BC P. 778.277 .2225 Marc Gager |  |
|  | envelope consultant | SURVEYOR |
|  | Bctailing Sciene | Butues Sundivek |
|  | 611 Bent Court, New Westminster, BC P. $604.520 .6456 \quad$ c. 778.836 .6456 Chad Cranswick | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 4- } 19089 \text { 94th Avenue, Surrey, BC } \\ \text { P. } 604.513 .9611 & \text { C. } 604.250 .7270\end{array}$ |
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- South Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Engineer, Engineering Department
DATE: Jun 28, $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \quad$ PROJECT FILE: 7818-0087-oo

## RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements <br> Location: 16650 25A Ave

## NCP AMENDMENT

There are no engineering requirements relative to the NCP Amendment.

## REZONE

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- all dedication and statutory right-of-way (SRW) requirements for this proposal were satisfied under the previous application 7816-0301-oo;
- The 0.50 m statutory right-of-way (SRW) requirements along road frontage (for inspection chambers and sidewalk maintenance) will need to be amended to accommodate revised access locations of the new proposal.


## Works and Services

- construct 25 Avenue to local road standard;
- construct 25A Avenue to local road standard;
- construct 166 Street to interim road standard. Include ultimate 3.0 m sidewalk and CIL security for wildlife corridor;
- construct 167 Street to flex road standard;
- construct revised access locations at minimum 7.3 m width;
- construct storm, and water systems to service the proposed development site and fronting roads;
- construct sanitary upsize along development frontage (25A Avenue) if required alternatively, CIL security for the upsize will also be acceptable. Construction of a service connection, complete with inspection chamber, is required to service the site;
- provide CIL for future construction of roundabout; and
- provide CIL for removal of temporary road within the BCS corridor portion of 166 Street.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit or Development Variance Permit.


Tommy Buchmann, P.Eng.
Development Engineer
$\mathrm{M}_{51}$

## Surrey Schools

## Planning

July 3, 2018

THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

## APPLICATION \#:

18008700

## SUMMARY <br> The proposed

are estimated to have the following impact on the following schools:

Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 20 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Secondary Students: | 10 |

September 2017 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Pacific Heights Elementary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $51 \mathrm{~K}+337$ |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) | $38 \mathrm{~K}+256$ |
| Addition Operating Capacity (K/1-7) 2020 | $76 \mathrm{~K}+512$ |
|  |  |
| Earl Marriott Secondary | 1857 |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1500 |
| Capacity (8-12): |  |

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.
Pacific Heights Elementary is currently over capacity. Rapid enrolment growth is expected to continue into the foreseeable future. As of September 2017, there are 6 portables on site used as enrolling spaces.

In December 2017, the Ministry of Education announced funding for design and construction of the following, in the Pacific Heights catchment:

- A 12 classroom addition at Pacific Heights elementary targeted to open September 2019 and
- A new 25 classroom school on Edgewood drive targeted to open September 2020

To relieve the pressure at Earl Marriot, a new 1500 capacity high school located on 26th Ave next to the existing Pacific Heights Elementary is currently in design and construction; and is also targeted to open for September 2020. This new high school has been officially named Grandview Heights Secondary.

Pacific Heights Elementary


Earl Marriott Secondary


* Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students. Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.


## Appendix V

Table 3. Tree Preservation Summary

## TREE PRESERVATION SUMMARY



Summary prepared and


Arborist
Date

## Appendix VI

## 7918-0087-00 NCP Amendment Map



# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7918-0087-oo
Issued To:

(the Owner)

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: oo1-622-625<br>Lot 17 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 28377<br>Except Part On Plan EPP78782<br>16650-25A Avenue<br>(the "Land")

3. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied to reduce the minimum north, east, south and west yard setbacks of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face and to 3.9 metres ( 13 ft .) for a small bump-out articulation on Buildings 4, 6, 9, and 10 .
4. The siting of buildings and structures shall be in accordance with the drawings numbered 7918-oo87-oo (A) and 7918-0087-oo (B) (the "Drawings") which are attached hereto and form part of this development variance permit.
5. This development variance permit applies to only that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 . ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20 .

Mayor - Linda Hepner

City Clerk - Jane Sullivan

Schedule A
Setback from
7.5 m to 3.9 m
for "bump out"
for Buildings 4
6,9 , and 10.


TKA+D

TAYLOR KURTZ
Orchard Park

A102

TAYLOR KURTZ
Oracherci Park

