

## City of Surrey PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT File: 7918-0072-00 Planning Report Date: July 9, 2018

## PROPOSAL:

- OCP Amendment from Urban to Multiple Residential and to allow higher floor area ratio (FAR) in the Multiple Residential designation
- NCP Amendment, of a portion, from 22-45 u.p.a (High Density) to 30-70 u.pa. (High Density)
- Rezoning from RA to CD (based on RM-7o)
- Development Permit

To permit the development of two, 5 storey apartment buildings.

LOCATION: 6529-196 Street
ZONING:
RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: 22-45 u.p.a (High Density)/Public Open Space/Park


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for:
- OCP Amendment;
- OCP Text Amendment; and
- Rezoning
- Approval to draft Development Permit.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The Urban designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP) is proposed to be amended to Multiple Residential which is required as part of the implementation process outlined in the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- An OCP Text Amendment is proposed to allow an increase to the maximum floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.5 under the Multiple Residential designation.
- The East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) is proposed to be amended from 22-45 u.p.a to 30-70 u.p.a (High Density) in order to allow for a 5- storey apartment form with smaller units.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposed OCP Amendment from Urban to Multiple Residential was anticipated to accommodate the density and built form envisioned in the East Clayton NCP. The OCP Text Amendment to increase the allowable FAR under the Multiple Residential designation from 1.5 to 2.2 will allow for an increase in building height from 4 to 5 storeys as well as an increase in unit density in order to allow smaller, potentially more affordable, units.
- The proposed NCP Amendment from 22-45 u.p.a to 30-70 u.p.a allows for smaller units and is consistent with the designation of the adjacent developments approved to the south. The proposal supports the objectives of the East Clayton NCP to develop a compact, diverse and walkable community.
- The applicant has volunteered to dedicate 599 square metres $(6,448 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of land to the City to be used for Parks purposes, as well as a cash contribution of \$50,000 to be put toward park improvements as a community benefit associated with the proposal.
- The applicant has demonstrated community support for the proposal.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of East Clayton and in context with the existing developments to the south as well as the land use designations of the undeveloped properties to the north which allow for buildings of up to 4 storeys.
- Significant sustainable design features are proposed as part of the proposed development.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A Bylaw be introduced to amend the Official Community Plan by redesignating the subject site in Development Application No. 7918-0072-oo from Urban to Multiple Residential and increasing the allowable floor area ratio within the Multiple Residential designation for the subject site from 1.5 to 2.2, and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council determine the opportunities for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities that are considered to be affected by the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan, as described in the Report, to be appropriate to meet the requirement of Section 475 of the Local Government Act.
3. A Bylaw be introduced to rezone the subject site from "One Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Comprehensive Zone (CD)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
4. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7918-oo72-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix III).
5. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) resolution of all urban design issues, including the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) comments, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) submission of an acoustical report and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure the implementation of noise mitigation measures;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure the proposed energy efficiency design and construction proposed is implemented;
(i) conveyance of proposed Lot 3, to the City, for Parks purposes; and
(j) provision of a community benefit in support of the proposed OCP Text Amendment.
6. Council pass a resolution to amend the East Clayton NCP to redesignate the land from 22-45 u.p.a (High Density) to 30 to 70 u.p.a (High Density) when the project is considered for final adoption.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project, subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements, as outlined in Appendix II.

School District: Projected number of students from this development:
8 Elementary students at Latimer Road Elementary School 8 Secondary students at Clayton Heights Secondary School
(Appendix IV)
The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by 2021.

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

Parks supports the conveyance of land and monetary contribution to the future Park improvements as the voluntary contribution for the proposed OCP Text Amendment.

Surrey Fire Department: No concerns. Final approval required for Development Permit.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: Undeveloped acreage property.
Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North: | Single detached <br> house on large lot | 22-45 u.p.a (High <br> Density) | RA |
| East (Across 196 Street): | Township of <br> Langley, single <br> detached houses | NA | NA |
| South (Across 65 Avenue): | 4 storey apartment <br> building and a <br> single detached <br> house | 30-70 u.p.a (High <br> Density) and 22 to <br> 45 u.p.a (High <br> Density). | RA/CD |
| West: | Park and <br> Townhouses | Park/15-25 u.p.a <br> Medium-High <br> Density | RA/RM-30 |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Site Context:

- The subject site is located at 6529196 Street on the edge of East Clayton and is adjacent to the Township of Langley to the east.
- The subject site is approximately 0.894 hectares ( 2.211 acres) in area and is zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)". The property is designated Urban in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and designated 22-45 u.p.a (High Density) and Public Open Space/Park in the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).


## Current Proposal

- The subject development application proposes two (2), 5 -storey apartment buildings on two (2) proposed lots. An additional lot (proposed Lot 3) is proposed to be conveyed to the City for a public Park.
- The proposal requires the following:
o Official Community Plan (OCP) Amendment from Urban to Multiple Residential;
o OCP Text Amendment to allow a higher density in the Multiple Residential designation;
o Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) Amendment from 22-45 u.p.a (High Density) to 30 to 70 u.p.a (High Density);
o Rezoning from One Acre Residential Zone (RA) to CD (based on RM-70);
o Subdivision to create a total of three (3) lots; and
o Development Permit.
- An OCP Amendment from Urban to Multiple Residential is required in order to implement the land use, density, and built form anticipated in the East Clayton NCP.
- An OCP Text Amendment is also proposed to increase the maximum allowable floor area ratio (FAR) under the Multiple Residential designation for the subject site from 1.5 FAR to 2.2 FAR calculated on the net site area. The proposed FAR, based on the gross density, including the land to be dedicated to the City for Park use, is 1.3 FAR which is below the maximum 1.5 FAR. However, because the subject site is outside an Urban Centre or Frequent Transit Development Area, density is calculated on the net site area.
- The applicant proposes to subdivide the subject property into three (3) lots. Proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are proposed to be the building lots. Proposed Lot 3 will be conveyed to the City to be used for a public Park.
- Two (2) 5-storey residential apartment buildings are proposed. Building 1 , on proposed Lot 1 , is west of 195A Street. Building 2, on proposed Lot 2 , is on the east portion of the property and adjacent to 196 Street.
- The proposed floor area of Building 1 is 5,069 sq. metres ( $54,562 \mathrm{sq}$. ft .) and Building 2 is 5,624 sq. metres ( $60,542 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) for a total combined floor area of $10,693 \mathrm{sq}$. m (115,104 sq. ft.).

The floor area ratio (FAR) of Building 1, on Lot 1 , is 2.21 and the FAR of Building 2, on Lot 2, is 2.01 .

- There are 69 units proposed in Building 1 and 78 units in Building 2 for a total of 147 residential units. The majority of the units are one (1) bedroom units, with a total of 98 one (1) bedroom units and 46 two (2) bedroom units. There are three (3) studio units proposed. The proposed unit density is 67 units per acre ( 165 u.p.h.) based on the gross site area.
- Details regarding the permitted densities and use will be discussed under the CD By-law section below.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on May 28, 2018. Staff received six (6) e-mails from nearby property owners in response to the pre-notification letters. The property owners expressed the following comments/concerns (with staff comments in italics):

- The Cloverdale Community Association provided a letter confirming that they have no concerns related to the proposal and are supportive of the sustainable design features of the project.
(Staff has received comments from the Cloverdale Community Association and are requiring the registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure the implementation of the proposed energy efficiency measures).
- A resident of $6480-195$ A Street has requested that, during the construction of the project, residents in the building will require vehicular access to $65^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to exit.
(Since the road works will be completed prior to the start of construction of the buildings on site, the local area residents will have the option to use the newly built half road on 65 Avenue eastbound from 195A Street to connect with 196 Street. Furthermore, during the construction of the development, the residents in the complex at 6480 195A will have access to the existing $65^{\text {th }}$ Ave west bound, 195A Street onwards. The Engineering Consultant doesn't foresee any major or significant traffic obstruction during the construction of the above mentioned road works and the developer will work with the Engineering Consultant and city staff to minimize any temporary inconvenience to the residents of the area).
- The proposed development was referred to the Township of Langley (TOL) for comments. The TOL noted the two storey form of residential development along 196 Street within Langley and suggested that the proposed development be responsive to this scale. They requested that the notice of public hearing be forwarded to adjacent residents.
(The East Clayton NCP was approved in 2003 and designated the lands along 196 Street 22-45 u.p.a (High Density) which allows for a building of up to four storeys. The proposed development is generally reflective of this with the addition of a recessed fifth floor. There is a significant building setback of 11.6 metres (38 ft.) along 196 Street, which consists of a landscaped berm. The proposed interface is considered appropriate and reflective of the
approved NCP. Residents within the Township of Langley, who are also within 100 metres ( 328 ft.) notification area, will receive notice of the Public Hearing).
- Two letters were received from nearby property owners, who supported the new development, building design and the associated construction of $64{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
(Staff note the comments provided.)
- Two letters of opposition were received from nearby property owners, who expressed concerns related to the height of the building, the loss of green space, privacy, parking and schools.
(The subject site is designated 22-45 u.p.a (High Density) which allows for an apartment building of up to four (4) storeys. A portion of the property is designated Public Open Space/Park. This portion of the site is proposed to be dedicated to the City as a voluntary community benefits contribution associated with the project. The five (5) storey height does exceed the maximum four (4) storeys suggested in the NCP, however, the building has been designed to recess the fifth storey so it is not easily visible from the street. The proposal exceeds the required number of parking stalls by 7. Comments provided by the Surrey School District indicate that a total of 16 students are projected as a result of the proposed development. Although there are existing capacity issues, two (2) new schools are scheduled for opening by 2020 which will alleviate the capacity pressures. The project is not estimated to be completed prior to 2020.)


## PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS FOR OCP AMENDMENT

Pursuant to Section 475 of the Local Government Act, it was determined that it was not necessary to consult with any persons, organizations or authorities with respect to the proposed OCP amendment, other than those contacted as part of the pre-notification process.

## JUSTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- The subject property is currently designated Urban in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and 22-45 upa (High Density) in the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The proposed OCP amendment, to re-designate the subject site to Multiple Residential, complies with the intent of the NCP for the development of multiple residential apartments. In accordance with the East Clayton NCP, the OCP amendment is occurring as part of the rezoning application.
- The OCP Text Amendment is to increase the allowable FAR under the Multiple Residential designation from 1.5 to 2.2 (calculated on the net site area) which will allow for an increase in building height from 4 to 5 storeys as well as an increase in unit density in order to allow smaller, potentially more affordable, units.
- The applicant has volunteered to dedicate 599 square metres ( 6,448 sq. ft.) of land to the City to be used for Parks purposes, as well as a cash contribution of $\$ 50,000$ to be put towards park improvements as a voluntary community benefit associated with the proposal.
- The proposed NCP amendment from 22-45 upa (High Density) to 30-70 upa (High Density) is supportable for the following reasons:

1. The East Clayton NCP outlines that unit density is to be calculated on the gross site area.
2. Since the approval of the East Clayton NCP in 2003, the housing market has changed significantly in the Metro Vancouver area due to increases in land and housing prices. The proposed NCP amendment is intended to provide smaller and more affordable housing units.
3. The overall floor area ratio (FAR) based on the gross density of the site, before Park and road dedications, is less than 1.3.
4. The property is significantly affected by road and park dedications - about $43 \%$ of the site will be dedicated to the City for road and park purposes.
5. The overall massing of the buildings is consistent with typical four (4) storey buildings with the $5^{\text {th }}$ (fifth) storey recessed.
6. The proposed NCP amendment is compatible with the nearby development projects to the south (7909-0193-00 and 7911-0035-00) that received approval to amend the NCP from 22-45 upa (High Density) to 30-70 upa (High Density).
7. The proposed development includes 599 square metres ( 6,448 sq. ft.) of park dedication that contributes to improving livability of the East Clayton area.
8. The proposed development incorporates numerous sustainable features that are unique in the East Clayton NCP area.

## Proposed CD Bylaw

- A CD Zone, based on RM-70, is proposed to accommodate the proposed development.
- The density (floor area ratio and units per acre) of the proposed development in the CD By-law is calculated based on the net site area. It is noted, however, that the East Clayton NCP permits unit density to be calculated on the gross site area.
- The proposed CD Zone is based on the RM-45 Zone using net area of the site and is divided into three blocks (Block A Block B and Block C) to accommodate the proposed two buildings, which are separated by the dedication of 195A Street, and Block $C$ which is the area to be conveyed to the City for Parks purposes:

| CD By-law | Block A (West Building) | Block B (East <br> Building) | Block C (Park) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permitted Uses | Multiple Residential | Multiple Residential | Open Space |
| FAR | 2.22 | 2.02 | N/A |
| Maximum No. of Units | 69 units | 78 units | N/A |
| Max. Lot Coverage | $53 \%$ | $57 \%$ | N/A |


| Maximum Building <br> Height | $\underline{\mathbf{1 6 . 6} \text { metres }}$ | l .1 metres | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Setbacks | Between 3.0m and 6.0m | Between 3.0m and <br> 11.6 m | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

CD By-law comparison to $\mathrm{RM}-45$ and $\mathrm{RM}-70$ :

| Regulations | CD | RM-70 | RM-45 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Floor Area Ratio (net) | Up to 2.22 | 1.50 | 1.30 |
| Unit Density (net) | Up to $122 \mathrm{u} . \mathrm{pa}$ | N/A | 45 u.p.a |
| Lot Coverage | Up to $57 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Height | Up to $17.1 \mathrm{~m}(56 \mathrm{ft})$. | 50 metres $(164 \mathrm{ft})$. | $15 \mathrm{~m}(50 \mathrm{ft})$. |

- The proposed reduced setbacks achieve the following:
i. Ensure the preservation of trees on the neighbouring property to the north and adequate separation space between the future development;
ii. Creation of a buffer along 196 Street in accordance with the requirements of the East Clayton NCP;
iii. Establishment of an appropriate interface between Building 1 and the park/open space to the west; and
iv. Provision for better visual monitoring of a pedestrian friendly streetscape.


## Access and Roads

- The applicant is required to dedicate approximately (3,270 sq,m (35,199 sq. ft) of road as part of the project. This involves the dedication and construction of 195A Street and 65 Avenue and dedication along 196 Street.
- Vehicle access to Building 1 will be off of 195A Street to the east and vehicle access to Building 2 will be from 65 Avenue to the south.


## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

- A simple, modern architectural vernacular has been applied to the buildings, which creates a suitable backdrop to the contrasting and extensive existing and proposed vegetation surrounding the buildings.
- A colour palette of a white, textured cementitious panel system contrasts with a charcoal grey PVC horizontal siding system as the main building elements.
- This applique will be enhanced with a charcoal grey vinyl window system. These main contrasting textured and colour elements assist in breaking down the building's massing and provides an orderly rhythm to the facades.
- A third, contrasting wood-like material provides framing at key elements around the building such as highlighting corner elements, defining main pedestrian and parkade entry, as well as enhancing the stepped building facades terracing to match the site's natural topography.
- "U" shaped buildings have been developed with large central south-facing courtyards for both sites.
- Both buildings have been designed to terrace up the hill from the low point along 196th to the high point (west end) of the site, following the natural topography of the land.
- The height of the buildings read primarily as four storeys because of the natural grading conditions.
- A recessed 5th storey sits behind the four-storey facade, creating large roof-top decks for the fifth-floor units.
- The "U" shaped massing breaks down the scale of each building significantly when viewed from the main street (65th Ave.)
- Similarly, the end elevations (east and west elevations of each building) have been broken down in scale with symmetrical treatments and a void (representing decks) located in the middle of each facade. In other facades, the opposite solid / void ratio has been adopted.
- Finally, the rear (north) building massing breaks down the building length by creating two blocks with a central void (and setback) element.
- The buildings comply with the BC Building Access Handbook guidelines. They are designed for all to have access and move around within the building and the building facilities. All access points are level with connecting pathways for seamless movement throughout the buildings.


## Sustainable design features:

- The applicant is committing to a LEED certification equivalent rating for the project.
- All lighting in the building will be LED with common areas to be covered by motion sensor lighting for energy efficiency.
- A minimum of $20 \%$ of the parking stalls will be roughed in ready for EV chargers.
- Sewage Heat Recovery SHARC Energy Systems are proposed for both buildings, subject to final feasibility and Engineering review by civil consultants and the City.
- A green roof is proposed on each building and will include an outdoor amenity area.
- A section 219 Restrictive Covenant will be registered as a condition of approval to ensure the implementation of the sustainable design features.


## Landscape and Amenity Areas

- The landscape plan shows a total of 40 trees to be planted throughout the subject site including Red Maple, Chinese Dogwood and Japanese Snowbell.
- A significant number of shrubs and ground cover species are proposed including boxwood, laurel, cedars, ferns, rhododendrons, roses, azaleas, and decorative grasses.
- The proposed trees and landscaping will enhance the streetscape and create an urban, pedestrian-friendly environment.
- The two (2) proposed outdoor amenity courtyards will provide for green space and usable common areas.
- The landscape plan proposes contrasting surface treatments and raised planters to distinguish between private, public, shared spaces and pathways.
- A rooftop urban agriculture and amenity area are key features of the development for both buildings. Edible planting will form part of the design.
- The required indoor and outdoor amenity areas for Building 1 is 207 square metres ( $2,228 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ ). The indoor amenity area proposed exceeds the required amount at 223 square metres ( $2,409 \mathrm{sq}$. ft ) and the outdoor amenity area exceeds the required amount at 220 sq. metres (2,376 sq. ft.).
- The required indoor and outdoor amenity areas for Building 2 is 234 square metres ( $2,519 \mathrm{sq}$. ft ). The indoor amenity area proposed exceeds the required amount at 269 square metres ( 2,888 sq. ft ) and the outdoor amenity area meets the required amount at 234 sq . metres ( $2,519 \mathrm{sq}$. ft .).
- Notwithstanding the above, the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) suggested an increase in the area of rooftop outdoor amenity area. The applicant has agreed to increase the amount of outdoor amenity area on the rooftop. This is subject to detailed design.


## TREES

- Monica Ardiel, ISA Certified Arborist of Mike Fadum and Associates prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder/Cottonwood | 66 | 66 | o |
| Deciduous Trees <br> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Birch, Paper | 1 | 1 | o |
| Big Leaf Maple | 2 | 2 | o |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Cedar, Western Red | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Douglas-Fir | 10 | 10 | o |
| Fir, Grand | 2 | 2 | o |


| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pine, Austrian | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Spruce, Norway | 1 | 1 | o |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 19 | 19 | o |
| Additional Trees in the proposed Open Space | 3 | o | 3 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 40 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 40 |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Fund |  | \$25,600 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 19 protected trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. There are 85 existing trees and 66 of the trees on the site are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no trees can be retained as part of this development. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- Table 1 includes an additional 3 protected trees that are located within the proposed open space to be dedicated to the City. The trees within the proposed open space will be retained. This will be determined at a later time, in consultation with the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 104 replacement trees on the site. Since only 40 replacement trees can be accommodated on the site the deficit of 64 replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 25,600$, representing $\$ 400$ per tree, to the Green City Fund, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted on 100 Street/Avenue. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Red Maple, Chinese Dogwood and Japanese Snowbell.
- In summary, a total of 40 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with a contribution of $\$ 25,600$ to the Green City Fund.

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Site Context \& Location (A1-A2) | - The proposed development is within a planned medium/high density area of the East Clayton NCP. |
| 2. Density \& Diversity ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{7}$ ) | - The proposed development will add to a mix of different housing types in the East Clayton area and increase housing affordability for the City of Surrey. <br> - Housing units proposed are smaller and more affordable. |
| 3. Ecology \& Stewardship (C1-C4) | - All lighting in the buildings will be LED. <br> - All common areas to be covered by motion sensor lighting for energy efficiency. <br> - Possible Sewage Heat Recovery SHARC Energy Systems for both buildings. <br> - Solar panels for some units. <br> - Substantial Green Roof area of around 3,8oo sq. ft. <br> - Central Courtyard based partially passive design. |
| 4. Sustainable Transport \& Mobility (D1-D2) | - EV Roughed in ready parking stalls for a minimum of $20 \%$ of the parking stalls. <br> - Possible Car Share / Car pool program for the development. <br> - Bicycle storage provided in the project. <br> - The project provides significant park and open space to the City as part of the overall greenway system in the East Clayton NCP area. |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety (E1-E3) | - EV Roughed in ready parking stalls for a minimum of $20 \%$ of the parking stalls. <br> - The building is accessible from all street entrances and circulation within. <br> - Possible Car Share / Car pool program for the development. <br> - Bicycle storage provided in the project. <br> - The project provides significant park and open space to the City as part of the overall greenway system in the East Clayton NCP area. |
| 6. Green Certification (F1) | - No green certification is proposed. |
| 7. Education \& Awareness (G1-G4) | - Pre-notification were sent and a development proposal sign was installed. |

The project was reviewed at the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) meeting on June 11, 2018. The DRAFT comments prepared by the panel are attached as Appendix VI. The panel was generally supportive of the project and had no significant comments to be addressed. The comments provided by the panel will form part of the detailed urban design review prior to final issuance of the Development Permit.

The Advisory Design Panel (ADP) suggested an increase in the rooftop outdoor amenity area. The applicant has agreed to increase the amount of rooftop outdoor amenity area.

## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary (Confidential) and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Engineering Summary
Appendix III. Draft Development Permit Drawings
Appendix IV School District Comments
Appendix V Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI Advisory Design Panel Comments
Appendix VII NCP Amendment Plan
Appendix VIII OCP Redesignation Map
Appendix IX Proposed CD By-law

## INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON FILE

- Complete Set of Architectural and Landscape Plans prepared by Ankeman Marchand and Durante Kruek Ltd dated July 4, 2018.

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
IM/cm

## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Gurrjit Daara 1034786 B.C. Ltd.
Address: 7337-137 Street, Unit 306 Surrey, BC V3W 1A4
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 6529-196 Street
(b) Civic Address: 6529-196 Street

Owner: 1034786 B.C. Ltd.
Director Information:
Gurrjit Daara
Officer Information as at April 27, 2018:
Gurrjit Daara (President)
PID: oo1-461-184
The South Half of Lot 15 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 2468
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce a By-law to amend the Official Community Plan to re-designate the site and the OCP Text Amendment;
(b) Introduce a By-law to rezone the site.

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Block A Proposed Zoning: CD

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 8,949 sq. m |
| Road Widening area |  | 3,268 sq. m |
| Undevelopable area |  | 599 sq. m |
| Net Total Overall Site |  | 5,083 sq.m |
| Net Total Block A |  | 2,289 sq.m |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures |  | 53\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  | 5\% |
| Total Site Coverage |  | 58\% |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| Front (South) |  | 4.5 m |
| Rear (North) |  | 6.om |
| Side (West) |  | 3.0m |
| Side (East) |  | 4.5 m |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal |  | 16.6m/ 5-storeys |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  | 1 |
| One Bed |  | 45 |
| Two Bedroom |  | 23 |
|  |  |  |
| Total |  | 69 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 5,069 sq.m |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  | N/A |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 5,069 sq.m |

*If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  | 67 u.p.a |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) |  | 122 u.p.a |
| FAR (gross) |  | 1.3 |
| FAR (net) |  | 2.2 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 207 sq.m | 224 sq,m |
| Outdoor | 207 sq.m | 220 sq.m |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor +1 Bedroom |  | 60 |
| 2-Bed |  | 35 |
| 3-Bed |  | o |
| Residential Visitors |  | 14 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 109 | 116 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of accessible stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  | 1 |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  | o |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Block B Proposed Zoning: CD

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 8,949 sq. m |
| Road Widening area |  | 3,268 sq. m |
| Undevelopable area |  | 599 sq. m |
| Net Total Overall Site |  | 5,083 sq.m |
| Net Total Block B |  | 2,790 sq.m |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures |  | 57\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  | 5\% |
| Total Site Coverage |  | 62\% |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| Front (South) |  | 4.5 m |
| Rear (North) |  | 6.0 m |
| Side (West) |  | 4.5 m |
| Side (East) |  | 11.62 m |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal |  | 17.1m/ 5 storeys |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  | 2 |
| One Bed |  | 53 |
| Two Bedroom |  | 23 |
|  |  |  |
| Total |  | 78 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 5,625 sq.m |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  | N/A |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 5,625 sq.m |

* If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.


## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  | 63 u.p.a |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) |  | 113 u.p.a |
| FAR (gross) |  | 1.3 |
| FAR (net) |  | 2.0 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 234 sq.m | 269 sq,m |
| Outdoor | 234 sq.m | 234 sq.m |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor +1 Bedroom |  | 72 |
| 2-Bed |  | 35 |
| 3-Bed |  | o |
| Residential Visitors |  | 16 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 123 | 131 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of accessible stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  | 1 |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  | o |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## INTER-OFFICE MEMO

| TO: | Manager, Area Planning \& Development- North Surrey Division Planning and Development Department |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM: | Development Engineer, Engineering Department |  |  | M⿴u冂 M M |
| DATE: | Jun 29, 2018 | PROJECT FILE: | 7818-0072-00 |  |
| RE: | Engineerin Location: |  |  |  |

## NCP AMENDMENT/ OCP AMENDMENT

The existing sanitary sewer downstream infrastructure does not have adequate capacity to service the increase in density for the proposed development. The following upgrades must be completed at the developers' expense as a condition of the NCP Amendment/OCP Amendment:

- 72.1 -metres of 450 mm sewer to be upgraded to 525 mm along 195 B Street and 63 A Avenue.
- 16.6 -metres of 450 mm sewer to be upgraded to 525 mm along ${ }_{195}$ B Street and 62 A Avenue.
- 138.6 -metres of 450 mm sewer to be upgraded to 525 mm along 196 Street south of Fraser Hwy.


## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- dedicate 9.883 -metre along 196 Street, for the 30.0 -metre arterial road standard.
- dedicate minimum 10.0-metres west of 195A Street and 11.5-metre east of 195 Street, along 65 Avenue.
- dedicate $\mathbf{1 8}$.0-metre along 195A Street for the through local road standard.
- dedicate corner cuts at the intersections.
- register 0.5 -metre statutory right-of-way along all property lines.


## Works and Services

- construct 65 Avenue, and 195A Street.
- pay $50 \%$ cash-in-lieu for a traffic circle at the 195 A Street and 65 Avenue intersection.
- construct storm mains to provide road drainage and service the development and provide service connections.
- meet sustainable drainage principles as outlined in the East Clayton NCP.
- construct water mains and sanitary sewer mains to service the development and provide service connections.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit.


Tomrny Buchmann, P.Eng.
Development Engineer
SK2

## 6529196 St, Surrey, BC

File no: 7918-0072-00
Submission to ADP - June 21st 2018

| Client | Architect | Surveyor | Land | Civil | Arborist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{10347868 C \text { Ltd. }}$ | Ankerman Marchand Architects | Grewal \& Associates | Durante | Aplin \& Matic Consultant Lid | Mike Faum |
| Surrey, BC V3W 1 A |  | Suree, $\mathrm{BC}, \mathrm{V}, 5 \mathrm{sc} 2 \mathrm{Cl}$ | Vanacouver, ECV V6, 1 N5 | 践 | -rev, BC V 3 |
| Conatectijut iara |  | Conaid: fame |  | Conactionerk ulu | Conar: Mike |
| 604.35 |  |  | Tel: 604.684.4611 Fax: 604.684.0577 |  | Tel: 778.593.0300 Fax: 778.593.0302 |
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SHEET NUMBER


O

| Project1744 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Owner |  |  |
| 6529196 St |  |  |
| Surrey |  |  |
| Drawing: <br> COVER PAGE \& DRAWING LIST |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Project Status:REZONING |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| submission |  |  |
| Date Description |  |  |
| -2018-04-05 Issued for DP2018-06-07 Re-Issue for DP |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| REVIIIIN |  |  |
| No. Date Description |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| All Drawings in this set to be read in onjunction with each other. Any error Architect before commencing work. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the quirements of the appropriate |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { scale: }}$ |  | dwg. no: |
|  |  | A001 |



## BUILDING 2 EAS

Units:
$\frac{\text { Floor Area: }}{\text { Residential }}+$ Circulation $=60,543$ SF
$\frac{\text { Building Height: }}{\text { Maximum height of }}$
Naximum height of Building 2 is as follows: $17.10 \mathrm{~m}(56.12 \mathrm{ft})$ measured to a maximum height of
( 86.40 ft ) geodetic up from a statting point of $39.71 \mathrm{~m}(130.28 \mathrm{ft})$ geodetic elevation. Parking:

| Residents | $55 \times 1$ Bed Units $\times 1.3$ Spaces/Unit $=$ $23 \times 2$ Bed + Units $\times 1.5$ Spaces/Unit Total= |
| :---: | :---: |
| Visitors | 78 units $\times 0.2$ spaces $=$ |
| tal | 107 Residential + 16 Vis |
| Bicycles | 78 units $\times 1.2$ spaces $=$ plus 6 visitor spaces |

## 上 3 spaces 107 spaces 16 spaces 16 spaces 123 spaces <br> 94 spaces

Not more than $35 \%$ of parking spaces shall be small car spaces, clearly marked as "small car only" Not more than $3 \%$ of parking spaces shal be small car spaces, clearly marked as "small car only"
1 Parking spaces for Disabiled persons parking, clearly marked as per BC Building Code Requirements. Proposed:

| Residents | 198 Spaces ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (16 Small +1 Disable |
| :---: | :---: |
| Visitors | 16 Spaces |
|  | (7 Regular +8 S |
| Total | 131 Spaces |



Refuse Space $\qquad$

UNIT MATRIX

| Building 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |
| 1 Bed | 45 |
| ${ }^{2+}$ Bed | ${ }^{23}$ |
| Total | 69 |
| Building 2 |  |
|  |  |
| 1 Bed | 53 |
| ${ }^{2+}$ Bed | ${ }^{23}$ |
| Total | 78 |


 $\qquad$
Underground Parkade Access
On borth the western and easerin
sites, due to the step grading, parkede access has been Iocated at each site's Iowest point:
The parkade a acesss for the westem site (Builiding 1) is being proposese at the sit's Iowest point -acessed from the nemy created 1954 .
Buiding 2 does not have the aavanatage of
an







Othe livability features within each buliding include:
Windows in each elevalor, alowing natural survellance onto the courtyards as well as alowing natural light into the elvatotrs. The glass elevalors also provide a level of interest from both the streetscape as wel as in the courtyard when they are in use.
Both buildings asso offer natural light int the main coridors at the elevalor Iobbies.

Courtyards:



## Sustanabiilty Features:

1. LEED Certification - The project commits LEED Certification.
2. LED Lighting - All lighting in the building wiil be LED and common areas to be covered by motion sensor lighting for eneragy efficienco.
3. EV Roughed In Ready Parking Stalls - A. minimum of $20 \%$ of the parking stalls roughed in ready for EV chargers. The project is atemping to achieve $50 \%$ of the stalls to be roughed in ready for EV chargers, however, this is contingent on our appointed Electrical Consultant
4. Sewage Heat Recovery SHARC Energy Systems for both buildings - Subject to final feasibility and Engineering review by our Civil consultants regarding the depth of the City Sewage Service conneciions etc.
5. Green Roof - Substantial Green Roof reea
6. Car Share / Carpool - We are in dialogue with MODO to bring their sevicices to that area and also looking at other ootions subject to feasibility.
7. Central Courtyard based partially passive design
8. Substantial Natural Landscaping, Community Outdoor Amenity, and Parkland Dedications
9. Bicycles - Secured all-weather bicycle parking and storage lockers provided inside the project.





## ARchitects
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(1) 196 STREET ELEVATION
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See also A106 and A107 for more detailed Site Plans






















(1) $\frac{\text { BUILIING 1BELOCK A (WEST) EAST ELEVATION }}{1=10}$









VIEW FROM 65 AVE TO ENTRY AND COURTYARD


VIEW FROM CORNER OF 65 AVE AND 196 ST


VIEW FROM CORNER OF 65 AVE AND 195A ST


## LEGENDS

| hardscape legend |  |  |  | SOFTSCAPE LEGEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ker | Graphic | descriprion | detall key | ker | Graphlic |  | description |  |  |  | detal key |
| －1．1 |  | CIP Concrete Paving to CoS standard <br> Colour：Integral coloured <br> Finish：Light Broom <br> Pattern：Saw－cut（as shown on L－1 Ground Plan） | （ $1+1 \times \times x$ | ＜－1．8 |  |  | Planting（Average \＃2 pots 46 cm spacing） w／4＇－0＂ht．Privacy Hedging |  |  |  | （1a－kx |
| －${ }^{2}$ ） |  | UNILOCK＇Senzo＇Concrete Unit Pavers Size： $20 \times 40 \times 7$ cm <br> Colour：＇Cremo＇\＆＇Nuvola＇ <br> Pattern：Staggered Running Bond | （ $1-1 . \times x)$ | － |  |  | Sodded Lawn（at Great Lawn on Podium） <br> No－Mow Gasses w／Step－stones <br> （at South－West Rock Gardens） |  |  |  | $\left(\frac{10+\times x}{1-x}\right.$ |
| － r 3 ） |  | ＇UNILOCK＇Senzo＇Concrete Unit Pavers Size： $20 \times 40 \times 7 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> Pattern：Staggered Running Bond | （ $1+1 . \times x)$ | 企10） |  |  | Extensive Green Roof Assembly |  |  |  | $\left(\frac{10 . \times x}{1-x}\right.$ |
| －1．4 |  | Decorative River Rock <br> Size： $10-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> Colour：Ebony Black <br> Supplier：Northwest Landscape \＆Stone | （ $1-1 . \times x$ |  |  |  | Proposed Trees｜ 7 cm calliper minimum （Refer to L－2 Planting List） |  |  |  | $\left(\frac{1+x \cdot x)}{1-x)}(1-x \cdot x)\right.$ |
| －1．5 |  | Ipe Wood Deck Assembly | （ $1-1 . \times x)$ |  |  |  | Proposed Multi－stem Trees｜ 3 m ht．min （Refer to L－2 Planting List） |  |  |  | $\left(\frac{a+1 \times x}{1-x}\right)$ |
| －1．6） |  | Decomposed Granite Crush \＆Stone Fines Size：1／2＂minus <br> Colour：Cream／Tan／Brown |  |  |  |  | Existing Trees to be Retained w／CRZ Protection \＆ Excavation Buffer <br> （Refer to Arbourist Report for Full Demo／Protection） |  |  |  |  |
| －1．7 | $0$ | Basalt Bulk Boulders <br> Size：10＂－15＂（30－70lbs）\＆20＂－24＂（250－500lbs） Supplier：Northwest Landscape \＆Stone Supply | （ $1-1 . \times x)$ | LIGHting |  | W | ${ }_{\text {Wall }}^{\substack{\text { Wal．Mounted } \\ \text { ounlight }}}$ | 准 |  | 家 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 万 | $\underbrace{\text { Sep－lights }}_{\text {Well．Mourted }}$ | U | $\substack{\text { Spot } \\ \text { Lighing }}$ | TTT0 |  |



| N |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 0 |



AMENIT AREAS \＆PLANTING
The Iandscape plan propo


 input in the continued development of the gract
ighting design，and parkelete design development．






Rooftop urban agriculture gardens are located to recieve ful sun，and are
akey feature of the development，as edible planting is on important


\section*{| Epreq \＆Aspec |
| :--- |
| Sarety is one of of |}


 amounts or accentighting has been on ond mass plantings．In and anition，
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6529 196th Street
Surrey, BC
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Roof Plan




(12) TREE PROTECTION BARRIER FENCING


TREE PLANTING - ON GRADE
SCALE $=1 T^{1}=1.0^{\circ}$

(2) PLANTING-ON SLAB $\qquad$





(2) ON SITE BENCHES - ON SLAB
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## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

## APPLICATION \#: 18007200

## SUMMARY

The proposed
154 lowrise units
are estimated to have th
on the following schools:

Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Secondary Students: | 8 |

September 2017 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Latimer Road Elementary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $74 \mathrm{~K}+401$ |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) | $38 \mathrm{~K}+442$ |
|  |  |
| Clayton Heights Secondary | 1406 |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1000 |
| Capacity (8-12): |  |

## School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:

The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Latimer Road enrolment has been significantly growing over the last 3 years because of a boundary change in 2015 which
started to move new families in the area from Katzie elementary to Latimer Road. The effects of the boundary change have
increased the upward growth curve in the 10 year projection trend from last years projection of 559 to this years 693 in 2027.
Moreover, in 2013, a Montessori program was offered at the school which has also continued to increase projected future growth even higher.

In the fall of 2019, the District will be opening Maddaugh Elementary, a new 655 capacity school; and then in September 2020, another new elementary school, Regent Road, will be opened, also a 655 capacity school. Prior to the opening of the schools, the District will do a public consultation to discuss boundary and program changes for the area. We anticipate that the opening of the new schools will relieve the enrolment pressure in the Clayton area.

Ecole Salish Secondary will be open for September 2018/19 school year. This school has been built to relieve enrolment pressure at both Lord Tweedsmuir and Clayton Heights Secondary. A 500 capacity addition for Clayton Heights Secondary is on the District's current 5 year Capital Plan.


* Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students. Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.


## MIKE FADUM AND ASSOCIATES LTD.

## Tree Preservation Summary

Surrey Project No: 18-0072-00
Address: 6529-196 Street
Registered Arborist: Monica Ardiel

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 85 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 85 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $66 X$ one $(1)=66$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio 19 X two (2) $=38$ | 104 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 32 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 72 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | 3 |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 13 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $9 X$ one (1) = 9 <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $4 \times$ two $(2)=8$ | 17 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | NA |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | NA |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.

| Signature of Arborist: | Date: June 25, 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |



LEGEND

TREE TO BE REMOVED
ALDER/COTTONWOOD NOT ASSESSED


THE EDGE OF THE TREE
1.5 m EXCAVATION ZONE

TO BE ASSESSED BY SURREY PARKS, REC \& CUL. DEPT

NOTE: : ALL SURVEYED AND UNSURVEYED OFFSITE TREES
TO THSTRUCTION DETALL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS.
note: Conduct tree risk assessment on all edge trees

| No | date | BY | ReVSION | No. | date | BY | Revsion | MIKE FADUM AND ASSOCIATES LTD. VEGETATION CONSULTANTS | \#105, 8277129 St V3W 0A6 <br> Ph: (778) 593-0300 <br> Fax: (778) 593-030 <br> Email: mfadum@fadum.ca | (c) Copyright Reserved. This drawing and design is the property of Mike Fadum and reproduced or used for other projects without their permission. | Proiect tite | KEY PLAN - TREE REMOVAL AND PRESERVATION PLAN | drame | KEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | ${ }_{\text {JENIT } 5148}$ | ${ }_{\text {Mk }}^{\text {Mk }}$ |  | ${ }^{6}$ | JuNV718 | ${ }_{\text {Mk }}^{\text {Mk }}$ |  |  |  |  | 6529196 STREET SURREY, B.C. |  | SGL |  |
| 3 | ${ }^{\text {APRO418 }}$ | uk | STIEPLAN Revisio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CuENT | As shown |  |
| 4 | Mar1118 | ${ }_{\text {Mk }}{ }_{\text {Mk }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## LEGEND



MINIMUM NO DISTURBANCE ZONE FROM THE EDGE OF THE TREE
1.5 m EXCAVATION ZONE

TO BE ASSESSED BY SURREY PARKS, REC \& CUL. DEPT

NOTE: : ALL SURVEYED AND UNSURVEYED OFFSITE TREE
CONSTRUCTION DETAILS.
note: Conduct tree risk assessment on all edge trees


| No. | date | BY | revion | No. | date | BY | Revsion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JANT718 | мк | Stie pan revised | 6 | Junorlis | мк | Stie Pan revisio |
| 2 | ${ }^{\text {Febitisi }}$ | мк | STEEPAN REVSSED | 7 | JU25118 | мк | Stie Pan revised |
| 3 | O418 | k | Stre phan revised |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Mar11118 | мk | STIE PLAN REVSSED |  |  |  |  | property of Mike Fadum and

Associates Ltd. and may not be Associates Ltad and may not be
reproducd or used of other
projects without their permission.
proje


# Advisory Design Panel DRAFT Minutes 

2E-Community Room B

City Hall
13450-104 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
Thursday, JUNE 21, 2018
Time: 4:00 p.m.

## Present:

Chair - J. Leger
Panel Members:
A. Scott
D. Tyacke
M. Younger

Guests:
Anya Paskovic, Aplin Martin Jessie Arora, DF Architecture Inc.
Mary Chan-Yip, PMG Landscape Architects
Samira Khayambashi, Aplin Martin
Stephen Vincent, Durante Kruek Ltd.
Tim Ankenman, Ankenman Marchand Architect
Zubin Billimoria, DF Architecture Inc.

## Staff Present:

A. McLean, City Architect
C. Eagles, Administrative Assistant
N. Chow, Urban Design Planner
3. 5:30 p.m.

File No.:
New or Resubmit:
7918-0072-00
Last Submission Date:
Description:

## Address:

Developer:
Architect:
Landscape Architect:
Planner:
Urban Design Planner:

New
N/A
OCP amendment from Urban to Multiple
Residential and NCP amendment from max. 45 upa to max 70 upa. Rezoning and DP to permit 2 residential apartment buildings for a total of 151 units with parking below grade.
6529196 Street
1034786 BC Ltd. (Jit Daara)
Tim Ankenman, Architect AIBC, Ankenman Marchand Architects Stephen Vincent, Durante Kruek Ltd.
Ingrid Matthews
Ann Mclean

The City Architect advised that staff have no specific issues. Staff noted that 65 Avenue will be extended to 196 Street and that the Planning and Development Department generally support the project.

The Project Architect presented an overview of the site and building plans, streetscapes and elevations. An effect was made to break down building massing and it was noted the size of the originally proposed units became too large for the current area, as such, an amendment to the OCP was required. The building is exploring the provision of a Sewerage Heat Recovery system for the buildings.

The Landscape Architect presented an overview of the general concept for the Landscape plans.

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW

It was
Moved by A. Scott
Seconded by D. Tyake
That the Advisory Design Panel (ADP):

1. Support the project and recommends that the applicant address the following issues to the satisfaction of the Planning \& Development Department.
2. Recommend that the landscaping submission return to staff for further development.

## Carried

The Panel noted the project material lacked detail, in particular the landscaping details and amenity spaces.

## Site

- The Panel noted the good massing reduction toward the north east neighbours.


## Form and Character

- Consider the rooftop amenity area be larger, particularly the windows in the hallway could be doors accessing the deck; and include a covered area.
- Consider relocating the bedroom over of the vehicle access ramp of a proposed three bedroom unit.
- Consider relocating the closet and storage spaces adjacent to the exterior in favour of providing more natural light.
- Consider more wood elements.
- The panel supports the architectural expression and the "U" shaped building typology.


## Landscape

- Recommend additional trees on the north side for future screening.


## Sustainability

- The panel encourages efforts for sustainability.


## CPTED

- No specific issues were identified.


## Accessibility

- Recommend the entry level lobby area be accessible.




## CITY OF SURREY

BYLAW NO.

A by-law to amend Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended
$\qquad$
THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Surrey ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, is hereby further amended, pursuant to the provisions of Section 479 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C. 2015 c. 1, as amended by changing the classification of the following parcels of land, presently shown upon the maps designated as the Zoning Maps and marked as Schedule "A" of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended as follows:

## FROM: ONE-ACRE RESIDENTIAL ZONE (RA)

## TO: COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT ZONE (CD)

Parcel Identifier: oor-461-184
The South Half of Lot 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 2468
6529-196 Street
(hereinafter referred to as the "Lands")
2. The following regulations shall apply to the Lands:

## A. Intent

This Comprehensive Development Zone is intended to accommodate and regulate the development of medium density, medium-rise, multiple unit residential buildings, ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings and related amenity spaces, which are to be developed in accordance with a comprehensive design and where density bonus is provided.

The Lands are divided into Blocks A, B, and C and as shown on the Survey Plan attached hereto and forming part of this Bylaw as Schedule A, certified correct by Lakhjot S. Grewal, B.C.L.S. on the 18th day of June, 2018.

## B. Permitted Uses

Lands and structures shall be used for the following uses only, or for a combination of such uses, provided such combined uses are part of a comprehensive design:

1. BLOCKS A and B

Multiple unit residential buildings and ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings.
2. BLOCK C

Open space.

## C. Lot Area

Not applicable to this Zone.

## D. Density

## 1. BLOCK A

(a) For the purposes of building construction, the floor area ratio shall not exceed o.1. The maximum density may be increased to that prescribed in Section D.1.(b) of this Zone if amenities are provided in accordance with Schedule G of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended;
(b) The floor area ratio shall not exceed 2.22 and the unit density shall not exceed 301 units per hectare ( 122 u.p.a); and
(c) The indoor amenity space required in Sub-section J.1(b) is excluded from the calculation of floor area ratio.

## 2. BLOCK B

(a) For the purposes of building construction, the floor area ratio shall not exceed o.1. The maximum density may be increased to that prescribed in Section D. 2 (b) of this Zone if amenities are provided in accordance with Schedule G of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended;
(b) The floor area ratio shall not exceed 2.02 and the unit density shall not exceed 280 units per hectare (113 u.p.a); and
(c) The indoor amenity space required in Sub-section J.1 (b) is excluded from the calculation of floor area ratio.

## 3. BLOCK C

Not applicable to this Block.

## E. Lot Coverage

1. BLOCK A

Lot coverage shall not exceed $53 \%$.
2. BLOCK B

Lot coverage shall not exceed $57 \%$.

## 3. BLOCK C

## Not applicable to this Block.

## F. Yards and Setbacks

1. Buildings and structures shall be sited in accordance with the following minimum setbacks:

| Use Setback | South Yard | North <br> Yard | West <br> Yard | East <br> Yard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLOCK A |  |  |  |  |
| Principal Buildings Accessory Buildings and Structures | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & (15 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \\ & (20 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \mathrm{~m} \\ & (10 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & (15 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ |
| BLOCK B |  |  |  |  |
| Principal Buildings Accessory Buildings and Structures | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & (15 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \\ & (20 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & (15 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.6 \mathrm{~m} \\ & (38 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ |
| BLOCK C | Not ap to this |  |  |  |

Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. Notwithstanding Sub-section E.17(b) of Part 4 General Provision of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, stairs with more than three risers may encroach into the setbacks.

## G. Height of Buildings

Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

## BLOCK A

1. Principal buildings: The building height shall not exceed 16.6 metres [54 ft.].
2. Accessory buildings and structures: The building height shall not exceed 4.5 metres [ 15 ft .].

## BLOCK B

1. Principal buildings: The building height shall not exceed 17.1 metres [56 ft.].
2. Accessory buildings and structures: The building height shall not exceed 4.5 metres [ 15 ft .].

## BLOCK C

Not applicable to this Block.

## H. Off-Street Parking

1. Resident and visitor parking spaces shall be provided in accordance with Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. All required resident parking spaces shall be provided as underground parking or as parking within building envelope.
3. A maximum of thirteen percent ( $13 \%$ ) of the required resident parking spaces may be provided as tandem parking spaces.
4. Notwithstanding Sub-section A.3(d) of Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, the Parking Facility Underground may be located up to 0.5 metres [ 2 ft .] from the front lot line or lot line along a flanking street.

## I. Landscaping

1. All developed portions of the lot not covered by buildings, structures or paved areas shall be landscaped including the retention of mature trees. This landscaping shall be maintained.
2. Along the developed sides of the lot which abut a highway, a continuous landscaping strip of not less than 1.5 metres [ 5 ft .] in width shall be provided within the lot.
3. The boulevard areas of highways abutting a lot shall be seeded or sodded with grass on the side of the highway abutting the lot, except at driveways.
4. Garbage containers and passive recycling containers shall be located within the underground parking or within a building.

## J. Special Regulations

1. Amenity space shall be provided on the lot as follows:
(a) Outdoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [ 32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit and shall not be located within the required setbacks; and
(b) Indoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit.
2. Balconies are required for all dwelling units which are not ground-oriented and shall be a minimum of $5 \%$ of the dwelling unit size or 4.6 square metres [50 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit, whichever is greater.

## K. Subdivision

Lots created through subdivision in this Zone shall conform to the following minimum standards:

| Lot Size | Lot Width | Lot Depth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 590 sq.m. | 20 metres | $\mathbf{2 5}$ metres |
| $[0.15$ acre $]$ | $[66 \mathrm{ft}]$. | $[\mathbf{8 2} \mathbf{~ f t . ]}$ |

Dimensions shall be measured in accordance with Section E. 21 of Part 4 General Provisions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

## L. Other Regulations

In addition to all statutes, bylaws, orders, regulations or agreements, the following are applicable, however, in the event that there is a conflict with the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone and other provisions in Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone shall take precedence:

1. Definitions are as set out in Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. Prior to any use, the Lands must be serviced as set out in Part 2 Uses Limited, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended and in accordance with the servicing requirements for the RM-70 Zone as set forth in the Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, as amended.
3. General provisions are as set out in Part 4 General Provisions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
4. Additional off-street parking requirements are as set out in Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
5. Sign regulations are as set out in Surrey Sign By-law, 1999, No. 13656, as amended.
6. Special building setbacks are as set out in Part 7 Special Building Setbacks, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
7. Building permits shall be subject to the Surrey Building Bylaw, 2012, No. 17850, as amended.
8. Building permits shall be subject to Surrey Development Cost Charge Bylaw, 2017, No. 19107, as may be amended or replaced from time to time, and the development cost charges shall be based on the RM-70 Zone.
9. Tree regulations are set out in Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100, as amended.
10. Development permits may be required in accordance with the Surrey Official Community Plan By-law, 2013, No. 18020, as amended.
11. This By-law shall be cited for all purposes as "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, , No. ."

PASSED FIRST READING on the th day of , 20 .
PASSED SECOND READING on the th day of , 20 .
PUBLIC HEARING HELD thereon on the th day of ,20 .
PASSED THIRD READING on the th day of , 20 .
RECONSIDERED AND FINALLY ADOPTED, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal on the th day of , 20 .

## SURVEY PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CITY OF SURREY BYLAW No. OF THE SOUTH HALF OF LOT 15 SECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 8 NWD PLAN 2468 <br> 0 <br> 50

CITY OF SURREY
GRID BEARINGS ARE DERIVED
FROM Field Survey.

SCALE 1:1000 distances are in metres


CERTIFIED CORRECT THIS 18th DAY OF JUNE, 2018.
PRELIMINARY


[^0]:    

