

## City of Surrey <br> PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT <br> File: 7916-0334-00

Planning Report Date: March 12, 2018

PROPOSAL:

- Rezoning from RA to RM-30
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 14 townhouse units in East Clayton.

LOCATION: $\quad 7048-188$ Street
ZONING: RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: 22-45 upa (High Density)


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to eliminate indoor amenity space.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit No. 7906-0334-oo to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Seeking to reduce the minimum front and side yard setbacks of the RM-30 Zone.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- Complies with the 22-45 upa (High Density) designation in the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of East Clayton and are consistent with the recently-approved townhouse developments directly north of the subject site.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the East Clayton NCP and are reflective of the setbacks of other recently approved townhouse projects in the area. Appropriate yard space and landscaping treatments have been achieved.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)" and a date be set for Public Hearing;
2. Council approve the applicant's request to eliminate the required indoor amenity space;
3. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7916-0334-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
4. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7916-0334-oo (Appendix VI) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum west front yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.9 meters ( 13 ft .) to the balcony;
(b) to reduce the minimum north side yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.8 metres ( 12.5 ft .) to the balcony; and
(c) to reduce the minimum south side yard setback of the RM Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.3 metres ( 10 ft .) to the principal building.
5. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a finalized subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space;
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(i) the applicant adequately address the impact of no indoor amenity space;
(j) registration of a shared access easement with the development to the north at 708o-188 Street; and
(k) registration of a statutory right of way for public access within the multi-use pathway.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project, subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements, as outlined in Appendix III.

School District:
Projected number of students from this development:
3 Elementary students at Hazelgrove Elementary School 1 Secondary students at Clayton Heights Secondary School
(Appendix IV)
The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by 2021.

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:
Surrey Fire Department: 1) Fire department access route shall be designed in conformance with the BC Building Code.
2) If applicable, outdoor storage receptacles, such as dumpsters, used for combustible materials shall be located so that they do not create an undue fire hazard to surrounding buildings. Measures such as those described in NFPA 8oA, Protection of Buildings from Exterior Fire Exposures, must be taken to ensure that buildings are protected from fires in outdoor receptacles containing combustible materials.
3) A Building Permit may not be issued until a Construction Fire Safety Plan has been submitted, reviewed and accepted by the Fire Department.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: Two (2) single family homes.
Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/NCP <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North: | Recently <br> constructed <br> townhouses | Urban/22-45u.p.a <br> High Density | RM-30 |
| East (Across 188A Street): | Single family small <br> lots | Urban/10-15 u.p.a <br> Medium Density | RF-12C |
| South: | Single family <br> homes on large <br> suburban lot | Urban/22-45 u.p.a <br> High Density | RA |
| West (Across 188 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street): | Clayton Dog Park | Urban/Park | RA |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

- The subject site, at 7030 and 7048-188 Street, is located in East Clayton and is approximately 0.28 hectares ( 0.68 acres) in area. There are two (2) single family homes on the site.
- The subject site is designated "Urban" in the Official Community Plan (OCP), 22-45 u.p.a (High Density) in the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) and is zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)".
- The site is located along the east side of 188 Street and along the western edge of the East Clayton NCP. Most of the NCP has been built out around it, with the exception of the properties directly south (7004 and 7014-188 Street).
- A recently constructed townhouse project is located directly north of the site. It is zoned RM-30 and was approved under Development Application No. 7912-0122-oo in July, 2013.
- Single family small lots are located directly east and were approved under Development Application No. 7902-0240-oo in July 2003


## Current Proposal

- The applicant is proposing to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)" in order to allow the development of fourteen (14) townhouse units.
- The applicant is proposing a Development Variance Permit (DVP) to reduce the minimum building setbacks along the front and side lot lines (see By-law Variances section below).
- The net density for the proposed 14 units townhouse development is 50 units per hectare ( 21 u.p.a) with a floor area ratio (FAR) of 0.90 which are below the maximum unit density of 30 u.p.a and maximum FAR of 1.0 as outlined in the RM-30 Zone. The proposed density is slightly below the "22-45 upa (High Density)" range outlined in the East Clayton NCP.
- The site is situated partially next to an existing multi-use pathway (MUP) that runs north/south along a portion of the east property line. This MUP is situated on private properties, and within the strata developments to the north, with statutory rights of way registered for access. A similar statutory right of way will be required as part of the development application to extend the MUP further south.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on November 24, 2017 and staff received a total of four (4) responses, by email and phone. A summary of these responses is as follows (staff comments are in italics):

- Concern regarding the existing parking issues in East Clayton and the additional pressure on parking resulting from the proposed development.
(The project proposes 28 residential parking stalls and three (3) visitor stalls which satisfy the zoning by-law requirement of 2 parking stalls per dwelling unit and visitor parking rate of o.2 stalls per dwelling unit. The provided parking will accommodate future residents, and visitors. The designs of the townhouse units do not accommodate secondary suites and no additional parking requirements are anticipated.)
- Concern regarding the tandem parking configuration from the Cloverdale Community Association, as tandem garages are sometimes not used as intended.
(The project consists of 14 townhouse units. Ten (10) of the units have double side-by-side garages and four (4) have tandem parking. The units with tandem parking consist of a single garage and a parking pad. The RM-30 Zone allows for a maximum $50 \%$ of the total parking requirement as tandem parking. The four (4) units with tandem parking represent $29 \%$ of the total parking provided which is below the maximum allowable under the zone.)
- Concern regarding schools capacity.
(The Clayton area is one of the fastest growing communities. Two new elementary schools in the catchment are scheduled to open in September, 2019 and September, 2020. A new high school is scheduled to open in September, 2018. The Ministry has applied for additional funding for added capacity to Clayton Elementary and is waiting for approval.)
- Concern regarding the limited public transit in the area.
(TransLink is aware of growth in the Clayton area. In September 2017 Translink introduced a new transit route - the 372 Clayton Heights/Langley Centre which provides service on 72 Avenue and 184 Street in Clayton. The new route connects people to transit services on Fraser Highway and at Langley Centre. Service operates every 30 minutes from early morning to the evening 7 days a week.

In addition to the new 372 route, TransLink improved service on the existing 502 Surrey Central Station/Langley Centre. In April 2017 service was improved Monday to Fridays during the AM and PM peak to reduce overcrowding. Service was also extended on weekdays and Saturdays to $2 A M$ in the morning to provide people with access to transit service later in the evening.

TransLink also has plans to introduce a new B-Line service on Fraser Highway in 2019. The new Fraser Highway B-Line will provide high frequency, high capacity, limited stop service using articulated 60 ft . buses. Buses are proposed to operate every 8 minutes during peak periods and every 10 minutes during the off-peak. The new B-Line will increase capacity on the corridor by 80\%. It will be $20 \%$ faster than existing local services and reduce wait times by up to 50\%. The B-Line is proposed to have stops in the Clayton area at 64 Avenue, 188 Street and 184 Street.)

- Concern regarding insufficient public facilities in the area.
(A new Clayton Community Centre is currently under Development Application No. 7917-0075-00, located in Clayton Park, close to the subject site along 72 Avenue and 188 Street. The targeted date for opening is summer, 2020.)
- Concern regarding illegal secondary suites in the area.
(Council endorsed the creation of the Multiple Suite Compliance Program, as proposed by staff in December, 2017. The projected timeline for the program to be established is one year. This will allow time to establish a comprehensive plan to address multiple suites in the area.)
- Comments from the property owner at 7014-188 Street, directly south of the subject site, regarding the impact to the development potential of his/her property as well as some questions regarding potential drainage impacts to his/her property.
(A development concept plan has been prepared, which is shown in the preliminary drawings, attached as Appendix II and on page number DP101. The concept demonstrates this property has been taken into consideration during the development application review process and is not negatively impacted by the proposed development. There are no anticipated drainage impacts to the property.)


## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

- The proposed 14 units townhouse project includes four (4), three-storey buildings with units fronting the streets/walkways and garages accessed internally. The project proposes a high quality design with a strong sense of individuality between unit types.
- Ten (10) of the units have double garages, 4 units have tandem parking with single garages and an additional outdoor parking pad.
- The proposed building materials include hardie board siding with wood texture and hardie board flat panels.
- Natural wood trim is included as an accent material around doors and portion of the balconies. The balconies include tempered glass with black metal frame.
- The colour palette is a combination of white, dark grays and natural wood colours.
- Each proposed townhouse includes a patio at grade and a second-floor balcony.


## Vehicle Access and Parking

- Vehicle access to the subject site will be from a shared drive-aisle (easement) off of 188 Street and shared with the townhouse development directly north of the subject site and approved under Development Application No. 12-0122-oo.
- The subject property will be providing an additional 1.5 m ( 5 ft .) width and a vehicular access easement to complete this drive-aisle.
- Alternate fire access is provided on the east portion of the site to 70A Avenue. This fire access will be accessible to fire vehicles while including low landscaping.
- The required 28 resident parking stalls and the required three (3) visitor parking stalls are provided on site. Ten (10) of the units have double garages and four (4) have tandem parking.


## Amenity Spaces

- The RM-30 Zone requires that 42 sq. m ( 450 sq. ft.) of indoor and outdoor amenity space be provided on the site ( 3.0 sq . m (( 32 sq . ft.)) per dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 53 sq. m ( 570 sq . ft.) of outdoor amenity space, which exceeds the requirement under the RM-30 Zone.
- The proposed outdoor amenity area is central to the site and will consist of an open grass play area and a hardscaped picnic area. Additional details are included below in the Landscape section.
- The applicant is not proposing to provide any indoor amenity space, whereas $42 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$ ( 450 sq . ft.) is required under the RM-3o Zone.
- The applicant's rationale for not providing indoor amenity area is given the total number of units, 14 , the size of the amenity space ( $42 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$ ( 450 sq . ft.)) would not provide for a functional amenity and gathering space.
- The applicant will provide a monetary contribution of $\$ 16,800$ (based on $\$ 1,200$ per unit) in accordance with City Policy to address this shortfall.
- A monetary contribution in-lieu of indoor amenity space for projects with this number of units is typically considered to have merit.


## Landscaping:

- The landscape plan shows a total of 43 trees, and 35 replacement trees, to be planted throughout the subject site including Dogwood, Beech, Magnolia, Maple, Hornbeam and others.
- A significant number of shrubs and ground cover species are proposed throughout the site including Japanese Azalea, Pin Rhododendron, Laurel, Hydrangea and others.
- The applicant proposes a 4.5 m ( 15 ft .) wide landscaped area with patios adjacent to the west front yards along 188 St and most of the north front yard.
- A 4.0 m ( 13 ft .) wide landscaped area is proposed along 188 A Street adjacent to the MUP. This is consistent with the existing townhouse developments to the north and south and provides for an amenity as well as additional storm water management.
- The outdoor amenity area is central to the site and includes an open lawn area as well as a children's bench, play area and seating areas for adults.


## TREES

- Mike Fadum, ISA Certified Arborist of Mike Fadum and Associates, prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder/ Cottonwood | 0 | o | o |
| Deciduous Trees(excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Poplar, White | 1 | 1 | O |
| Plum | 1 | 1 | o |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Norway Spruce | 1 | 1 | o |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 3 | 3 | o |
| Additional Trees in the proposed Open Space Riparian Area | NA | NA | NA |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 35 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 35 |  |

## Contribution to the Green City Fund

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 3 protected trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- Off-site tree no. OS 1 is proposed for removal as it is within the disturbance zone of the construction of the MUP and parking area along 188A Street. A letter of authorization for the removal of the tree has been provided.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 6 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 35 replacement trees, exceeding City requirements.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted on 188 Street. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Dogwood, Beech, Magnolia, Maple, Hornbeam and others.
- In summary, a total of 35 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with no contribution to the Green City Fund required.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on July 14, 2016. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability <br> Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. <br> Location <br> (A1-A2)• The site is located within the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept <br> Plan and is consistent with the land use designation. |  |
| 2.Density \& Diversity <br> (B1-B7)- The maximum allowable density in the East Clayton Neighbourhood <br> Concept Plan is 22-45 u.p.a and the proposed density is 20.58 u.p.a. <br> - Shared gardening beds are proposed as part of the site landscaping <br> plan. |  |
| 3. <br> Stewardship <br> (C1-C4)- A swale that runs north-south along the east property line is <br> proposed. It will be adjacent to the multi-use pathway. |  |


| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4. Sustainable Transport \& Mobility (D1-D2) | - The proposal incorporates a public walkway across the site that connects 188 St. to the public multi-use pathway that runs northsouth along the east property line. |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety (E1-E3) | - CPTED principles have been applied. <br> - The outdoor amenity incorporates play and recreation space for both adults and children. |
| 6. Green Certification (F1) | - N/A |
| 7. Education \& Awareness (G1-G4) | - N/A |

## BY-LAW VARIANCE AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variance:
(a) to reduce the minimum west front yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.9 meters ( 13 ft .) to the balcony;
(b) to reduce the minimum north side yard setback of the RM- 30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.8 metres ( 12.5 ft .) to the balcony; and
(c) to reduce the minimum south side yard setback of the RM Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.3 metres ( 10 ft .) to the principal building.

Applicant's Reasons:

- To design the units that orient the street and achieves a well-designed site plan.


## Staff Comments:

- The RM-3o Zone requires that buildings and structures be sited a minimum of 7.5 m ( 25 ft .) from all property lines. The applicant is proposing reduced setbacks along the north, south and west property lines.
- The reduced setbacks along the west property line for the front yards of the proposed townhouse units will create an appropriate urban, pedestrian-friendly streetscape.
- The proposed north side yard setback allows adequate room for landscaping while keeping uniformity with the adjoining buildings.
- The proposed south side yard setback is appropriate since the property directly south of the subject site is also designated for townhouses which would have similar setbacks.
- The reduced setbacks are in keeping with recently approved townhouse projects immediately north and south of the subject site and in the Clayton area.
- Staff support the requested variances.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary (Confidential) and Project Data Sheets Appendix II. Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI. Development Variance Permit No. 7916-0334-oo
Appendix VII. Aerial Photo

## INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON FILE

- Complete Set of Architectural and Landscape Plans prepared by WG Architecture and Viewpoint Landscape Architects, respectively, dated March 7, 2018.
original signed by Ron Gill

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
IM/da

## APPENDIX I HAS BEEN

REMOVED AS IT CONTAINS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Proposed/Existing Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 2,948 sq. m |
| Road Widening area |  | 473 sq.m |
| Undevelopable area |  | N/A |
| Net Total |  | 2,475 sq. m |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 45\% | 35\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas | 790 | 1055 |
| Total Site Coverage |  | 1845 |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| Front (North) | 7.5 | 3.8 |
| Rear (South( | 7.5 | 3.3 |
| Side \#1 (West) | 7.5 | 3.9 |
| Side \#2 (East) | 7.5 | 7.5 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 13 m | 12.5 m |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  | 2 |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 12 |
| Total |  | 14 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 2,046 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 2,046 |

* If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.


## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) | 30 | 18.23 |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | 30 | 23 |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 1.00 | 0.83 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 42 | 0 |
| Outdoor | 42 | 118 |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor +1 Bedroom |  |  |
| 2 -Bed |  | 4 |
| 3-Bed |  | 24 |
| Residential Visitors |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces |  | 31 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of accessible stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  | 30 |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 14 UNIT TOWNHOUSE PROJECT 7030/7048-188 ST, SURREY, BC

## DRAWING LIST
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|  |  |
| DP201 | FLOOR PLANS BUILDING 1 |
| DP202 | FLOOR PLANS BUILDING 2 |
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|  |  |
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## COLOUR SCHEME



All Trims Reveals to be colour matched as per elevation colour
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## TREE PROTECTION NOTES:


2. ANY EXCAVATION FOR NEN POSTS MUST BE PERFORMED MANUALY \& ANY ROOTS

4. HAND DIG FORALL NEN PLANTNG NTHHN THETPZ. THEMAXMUM ALLONABLE SIIE
5. PROPERLYPRUNE ANY EXPOSED ROOTS. IF LAREEROOTS (OVER 1.5 NCH DAA.) ARE
6. APPLY DEEPR ROOT FERTISATION TO CRITICAL ROOT ZONE OF RETANED TREES

8. EXCAVATIN FOR UNDERGROUND SERYIEES. RRANAGE PIPES, IRREATION LINES OR

10. NATER ALL THE RETANED TREESTHROUUHOUT THE GRONING SEASON ON AEEKLY

TREES MORTALYY DAMAGEDBY THE CONTRACTOR MUST BE REPLACED BY THE
CONTRACTOR AT THE CONTRACTORS SOST.
12. REERRTOTRE RERORT PRRPARED BYTROUECT ARBORIST:



| VI// VIANDSCAPE ARchitrcts |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| prieat |  |
| TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT |  |
|  |  |
| 1073289 B.C. LTD. |  |
|  |  |
| diawiog gleeTREE MANAGEMENT <br> TR PLAN |  |
|  |  |
| projet no: $2017-18$ | daumby |
|  | LCn |
|  | ${ }_{\text {sale: }}^{\text {sas noted }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { date revised: } \\ & 6 \text { MAR } 2018 \end{aligned}$ | steen o: |
|  | L1 of 7 |









BIKERACK:



CHILDREN'S PICNIC TABLE:



BENCHES:







| WII/ Landiccape architccts |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| cithe |  |
| moject |  |
| TONNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT |  |
|  |  |
| 1073289 B.C. LTD. |  |
|  |  |
| dianig stle:d LANT LIST;DETALS |  |
|  |  |
| miet no: | diamb br: |
|  |  |
| OCT. 2017 | ${ }^{\text {asale. }}$ as Shonn |
| date evisest: | steet 0 : |
| 6 MAR 2018 | L7 of 7 |

TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- North Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Project Engineer, Engineering Department
DATE: March 2, $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \quad$ PROJECT FILE. $\quad$ 7816-0334-00

RE: Engineering Requirements
Location: 7030 / 7048188 Street

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) Requirements

- 188 Street: dedicate 1.942 metres for ultimate 12.0 metres road allowance from centerline, register 0.5 metre SRW along site frontage
- 188A Street: dedicate property required to secure 20.0 metre ultimate road allowance, register 3.0 metre SRW for multí use pathway
- register adequate SRW to accommodate public concrete sidewalk along north property line


## Works and Services

- 188 Street: construct east side of 188 Street to collector road standard
- 188A Street: construct west side of 188A Street to through local standard with 4.0 metre multi-use pathway
- construct $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ metre public concrete sidewalk along north property line for connection to multi-use pathway at 188A Street
- install onsite low impact development (LID) storm water measures
- provide water, storm and sanitary connections to service the site

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit


Tommy Buchmann, P.Eng.
Development Engineer
KMH

## APPLICATION \#:

## SUMMARY

The proposed 14 townhouse units
are estimated to have the following impact on the following schools:

## Projected \# of students for this development:

Elementary Students: 3
Secondary Students: 1
September 2017 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Hazelgrove Elementary |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): |  |  |
| Nominal Capacity (K/1-7) | $76 \mathrm{~K}+419$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| Clayton Heights Secondary | 1406 |  |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1000 |  |
| Capacity (8-12): | 1080 |  |
| Maximum Operating Capacity*(8-12); |  |  |

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.
Hazelgrove Elementary was built in 2009, and in 2011, a 4 classroom addition was added. The Clayton area is one of the fastest growing communities because of the build out of the East Clayton NCP and development of the Aloha Estates. Hazelgrove Elementary was built in 2009, and in 2011, a 4
classroom addition was added. As of September 2017, Hazelgrove has 10 portables on site used for enrolling spaces. Currently, there is a 606 elementary seat shortfall in the Clayton area.

To meet the increasing demand for enrolling space in this area, the district is currently in design and construction for two new 605 capacity elementary schools just to the north of Katzie Elementary. One site is to to be open September 2019 and the other September 2020 which will relieve the immediate incatchment enrollment pressure.

As part of the District's 2018/19 Capital Plan submission to the Ministry of Education, the district is asking for a site expansion along with a 445 capacity addition to the existing Clayton Elementary targeted to open September 2024 to meet future growth in the community. This project has yet to be approved for capital funding.

A new 1500 capacity high school, Ecole Salish Secondary, is scheduled to open September 2018. The new boundaries has been established dividing the existing Clayton Heights Secondary into two catchments. Hazelgrove Elementary will feed the new Salish Secondary when it opens in September 2018.

## Hazelgrove Elementary




* Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students. Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.


## Tree Preservation Summary

Surrey Project No: 16-0334-00
Address: 7030 \& 7048188 Street, Surrey, BC
Registered Arborist: Vanessa Melney

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 3 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 3 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times$ one (1) $=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $3 \times$ two (2) $=6$ | 6 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | TBD |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | TBD |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | NA |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 1 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times$ one (1) = 0 <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $1 \times$ two (2) = 2 | 2 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | TBD |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | TBD |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.

| Signature of Arborist: | Date: February 26, 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |

Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd. \#105, 8277-129 Street, Surrey, BC, V3W 0A6


## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

NO.: 7916-0334-00
Issued To:
(the "Owner")

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 009-762-361
Lot "D" Section 16 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 13071
7048-188 Street

Parcel Identifier: 009-762-388
Lot "E" Section 16 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 13074
7030-188 Street
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Section F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30), the minimum west front yard setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft ) to 3.9 metres ( 13 ft.) to the balcony;
(b) In Section F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30), the minimum north side yard setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft. ) to the building face and 3.8 metres ( 12.5 ft .) to the balcony; and
(c) In Section F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30), the minimum south side yard setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.3 metres ( 10 ft .) to the principal building.
5. This development variance permit applies to the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 . ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20 .

Mayor - Linda Hepner



