

# City of Surrey PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT File: 7916-0301-00 

Planning Report Date: September 12, 2016

## PROPOSAL:

- NCP Amendment for changes to the road network
- Rezoning from RA to CD (based on RM-23)
- Development Permit
to permit the development of 50 fee simple row houses.
LOCATION: 16650-25A Avenue

OWNER: Qualico Developments (Vancouver) Inc.

ZONING:
RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION:
Townhouse, 15-30 upa, Habitat Corridor, Potential Orchard Preservation, and Walkway


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposed amendment to the Orchard Grove Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to adjust the road layout.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Urban designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The proposal complies with the Townhouse 15-30 units per acre (upa) and Habitat Corridor designation in the Orchard Grove NCP.
- Commemoration of the existing orchard is proposed on the future parkland located to the South in lieu of preserving the orchard on site. This meets the intent of the Orchard Grove NCP and will promote place making in the neighbourhood.
- The proposed amendments to the Orchard Grove NCP road network are minor and will meet the intent of the NCP by maintaining the integrity of the local road network.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of Orchard Grove in the Grandview Heights area and meet the design guidelines in the OCP. The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the Orchard Grove NCP.
- The proposal complies with the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Green Infrastructure Network objectives through the conveyance of a Habitat Corridor to the City.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7916-0301-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
3. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey, arborist report, landscape plan and landscape cost estimate to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) finalization of a landscape plan, cost estimate and provide cash-in-lieu for $50 \%$ of the planting required in the Habitat Corridor on 166 Street to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(e) finalization of the landscape plan, cost estimate and provide cash-in-lieu for the commemoration and establishment of the orchard in the future parkland, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(f) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department; and
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture.
4. Council pass a resolution to amend the Orchard Grove NCP for changes to the road network when the project is considered for final adoption (Appendix VII).

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

## Projected number of students from this development:

17 Elementary students at Pacific Heights Elementary School 8 Secondary students at Earl Marriot Secondary School

## (Appendix IV)

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by September 2017.

No concerns. The applicant is required to finalize the landscape plans, cost estimates and to provide cash-in-lieu for the Habitat Corridor on 166 Street and the orchard commemoration in the future park.

No concerns. The Fire Department may require one (1) additional walkway connection through the Habitat Corridor to the units facing 166 Street. This access will be coordinated prior to final approval of this project.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: Single family house and an Asian Pear orchard farm.

Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/NCP <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North (Across 25A <br> Avenue): | Under construction for small lot <br> single family homes and <br> continuation of the Habitat <br> Corridor as approved under <br> development application No. 7913- <br> o241-oo. | Urban/ Small Lot <br> Single Family <br> w/wo Coach <br> House 10-15 upa <br> and Habitat <br> Corridor | RF-10 and RF- <br> 12 |
| East: | Vacant site | Urban/ <br> Townhouse 15-30 <br> upa | RA |
| South (Across 25 <br> Avenue): | Currently under development <br> application No. 7914-o354-oo for 79 <br> townhouse units, 117 apartment | Urban/ Park and <br> Habitat Corridor <br> units and continuation of the <br> Habitat Corridor. | RA |
| West (Across 166 <br> Street): | Currently under development <br> application No. 7915-0217-oo for 56 <br> townhouses and 13 single family <br> lots. | Urban/Townhouse <br> $15-30$ upa | RA |

## JUSTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- The site is designated Urban in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and Townhouse 15-30 upa, Habitat Corridor and Potential Orchard Preservation in the Orchard Grove Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The applicant is proposing to amend the Orchard Grove NCP through changes to the road network (Appendix VII). The proposed adjustments include:

0 the removal of one (1) North-South lane between 25 A Avenue and 25 Avenue in the middle of the site;
o the addition of one (1) East-West lane between 166 Street and the future Green lane;
0 the addition of one (1) North-South 14.5 metre ( 48 feet) Green lane where the current 10 metre ( 33 feet) walkway is identified in the Orchard Grove NCP; and
o changes to the alignment of 25 Avenue between 166 Street and 167A Street.

- The intent of the proposed changes is to use the land more efficiently and to accommodate a row housing built form with rear lane accessed units. As a result of the proposed addition of the Green lane, the dedication required on $16710-25$ A Avenue is reduced from 5 metres ( 16 feet) to 4.5 metres ( 15 feet); thereby not negatively impacting this property. The proposed Green lane includes a 14.5 metre ( 48 feet) cross section consisting of sidewalks on both sides of the lane, with the sidewalk on the East side of the Green lane aligning with the greenway North of 25 A Avenue.
- As part of Development Application No. 7914-0354-oo, for the lands located to the South of the subject property, the alignment of 25 Avenue between 166 Street and 167A Street is proposed to be adjusted North of its current location in order to maintain the overall road dedications consistent with the Orchard Grove NCP. Since the subject application is advancing ahead of Development Application No. 7914-0354-oo, the proposed amendment to the alignment of 25 Avenue is proceeding with this application.
- Overall, the proposed changes to the Orchard Grove NCP are minor and maintain the integrity of the local road network as envisioned in the NCP.


## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

- The applicant proposes to rezone the site from One-Acre Residential Zone (RA) to Comprehensive Development Zone (CD) based on the Multiple Residential 23 Zone (RM-23) in order to permit the development of 50 fee simple row houses.
- The subject property is located within a Land Consolidation Area in the Orchard Grove NCP, extending from 166 Street to 167A Street as shown in Figure 13 of the NCP. The applicant has demonstrated that they have made reasonable efforts to secure the remnant lots (16710 and 16736-25A Avenue) to the East with no success of a land assembly. The applicant has provided a concept plan to show how these properties can develop independently of the subject site. As noted above, the proposed addition of the Green lane to the NCP area will not increase the road dedication required for 16710-25 Avenue.
- The form, character and density of the proposed development comply with the Orchard Grove NCP. Table 1 outlines the Orchard Grove NCP requirements pertaining to this site and how the applicant has addressed these requirements:

Table 1: Summary of Orchard Grove NCP

|  |  | NCP Requirements | Proposed Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Townhouse 15-30 upa | Density | 15-30 upa net density | 17 upa net density |
|  | Form \& Character | Row houses or townhouses | Row houses |
|  |  | Access from rear lanes or a local street | Rear lane access is proposed for the row houses |

## Habitat Corridor:

- The Orchard Grove NCP identifies a combined 166 Street road alignment and Habitat Corridor from 24 Avenue to 26 Avenue. This allows the Habitat Corridor to connect North of 26 Avenue to an existing greenway in the NCP 5 area, and South of 24 Avenue to drainage corridors in the Sunnyside Heights NCP Area.
- In 2014, as part of the development applications on lands directly to the North (Nos. 7914-o118-oo and 7913-0241-oo), Council approved amendments to the 166 Street/ Habitat Corridor between 25 Avenue and 26 Avenue to be reduced in overall width from 40 metres ( 131 feet) to 34 metres ( 112 feet). As consideration for reducing the overall corridor width, Council approved Staff recommendations of:

0 reducing the pavement width from 11.0 metres ( 36 feet) to 9.0 metres ( 29 feet) that would still support the function of the roadway;
o combining the Easterly boulevard with the Habitat Corridor to maintain the effective width of the Habitat Corridor; and
o dedicating the entire Habitat Corridor as road allowance in order to address the multiple tenure issues, and to ensure that the Habitat Corridor is protected and maintained in the long term.

- The proposed development will provide a combined 166 Street Habitat Corridor that is consistent with the alignment, width, and land tenure approved for the subject site through NCP amendments to the North.
- As part of the proposed development, the applicant has agreed to provide a landscape plan, cost estimate, and to provide cash-in-lieu for $50 \%$ of the planting required in the Habitat Corridor consistent with the other developments in the area.


## Commemoration of the Orchard:

- The Orchard Grove NCP identifies the subject site as a Potential Orchard Preservation area. The subject site is the location of an existing 2 hectare ( 5 acre) Asian pear orchard in the NCP area that is reflective of the historical agricultural uses that once were established in the neighbourhood. The applicant explored the possibility of retaining the existing orchard trees in the proposed development; but after examination by the applicant's arborist, it was determined that the existing orchard may not be suitable for retention once the area is redeveloped. As a way to commemorate the orchard, the applicant is proposing to provide cash-in-lieu for the establishment of a new orchard in the future park. Since the parkland has not been secured by the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department yet, the applicant has prepared a design for the new orchard and will be providing cash-in-lieu to the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department to cover the costs of establishing a new orchard in the future (Appendix VI).
- The proposed design of the orchard in the future park includes:
o the planting of fruiting trees in a grid pattern;
o a timber fence with a laser cut plaque containing an Orchard logo designed by Parks staff;

0 an in-ground concrete band with Orchard Grove signage at the walkway entrance to the orchard; and
o complementary landscaping of ornamental grasses, shrubs and a hedge at the perimeter of the orchard.

- The final design of any future orchard in the park will be determined by the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department through a public consultation process once the land is secured by the City. If it is determined that an orchard is not feasible in the future park, the monies collected will be used to create an amenity or a design that still commemorates the orchard in the NCP area.
- Overall, the proposed commemoration of the orchard in the future park meets the intent of the Orchard Grove NCP and will promote place making in the neighbourhood.


## Proposed CD Zone:

- The proposed Comprehensive Development Zone (CD) is based on the Multiple Residential 23 Zone (RM-23). The table below outlines the differences between the RM-23 Zone and the proposed CD Zone (Appendix VIII).

Table 2: Comparison of the RM-23 and Proposed CD Zone:

|  | RM-23 | CD Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permitted Uses | One dwelling unit on each lot <br> contained within a row house <br> building | One dwelling unit on each lot <br> contained within a row house <br> building |
| Maximum Density | 23 units per acre | 23 units per acre |
| Maximum Floor Area | 144 square metres (1,550 square | 176 square metres (1,894 square |


|  | RM-23 | CD Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | feet) | feet) |
| Maximum Lot <br> Coverage | Internal lot: $60 \%$ <br> End lot: $50 \%$ <br> Corner lot: $50 \%$ | Internal lot: $55 \%$ <br> End lot: $45 \%$ <br> Corner lot: $40 \%$ |
| Maximum Building <br> Height | $9 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~m}$ (31 ft.) | 9.5 m (31 ft.) |
| Minimum Lot Area | Internal lot: 165 square metres <br> (1,776 square feet) <br> End lot: 200 square metres <br> (2,150 square feet) <br> Corner lot: 226 square metres <br> (2,430 square feet) | Internal lot: 190 square metres <br> (2,045 square feet) <br> End lot: 245 square metres <br> (2,637 square feet) <br> Corner lot: 290 square metres <br> (3,121 square feet) |

- The proposed maximum floor area is higher than what is permitted in the RM-23 Zone. However, the proposed minimum lot area is also greater than is required in the RM-23 Zone, therefore ensuring that units with a larger floor area are also located on larger lots. Accordingly, the proposed maximum lot coverage is lower than what is permitted in the RM-23 Zone, therefore yielding a building form and building massing that will be consistent with the intent of the RM-23 Zone. The proposed building setbacks will also be generally consistent with the RM-23 Zone, with the exception that projections are permitted for end or corner units adjacent to a lane or a road.


## Building Design:

- The proposed development consists of fifty, three-bedroom fee simple row houses with basements that are contained within five (5) unit clusters. All of the units provide at least two (2) resident parking spaces, including a single garage.
- All of the proposed dwelling units are street-oriented with individual entries and walkways connected directly to the street. The units that front onto 166 Street will have direct walkway access to the enhanced sidewalk within the Habitat Corridor. Overall, the proposed streetscapes will create a pedestrian friendly and visually pleasant environment.
- The proposed development is inspired by a contemporary interpretation of rural and agricultural forms such as farm houses and barns. This approach reflects the historical context of the area, and provides a character that is individual, yet complementary to the existing development in the NCP area.
- Through the use of articulated bay, expressed gables, strong and simple detailing and unique end units in five (5) unit clusters, the proposed design provides a rhythm to the development that creates a sense of individuality to each unit. Front doors are proposed to be either recessed to provide protection from the elements, or are sheltered by the expressed gable that reaches down to the ground. Window bays, over emphasized window frames, and bump outs on the end units add a finder grain of detail to the units.
- The primary cladding materials include: cedar siding, hardi board panels, hardi shingles, and brick. In accordance with the Orchard Grove NCP, no vinyl siding is proposed. The colour scheme proposed consists of white, grey, natural cedar and black accents. The proposed
materials have been selected based on durability, architectural quality and overall composition of the project.


## Parking and Access:

- Access to the site will be from 25 Avenue, the proposed Green lane and 25 A Avenue. All of the units are proposed to have a single detached car garage accessed from a rear lane. Many of the proposed lots are quite deep and will accommodate two vehicles to park in front of the detached garages. Consequently, 166 residential parking spaces are provided on-site, which exceeds the RM-23 Zone requirement of 100 parking spaces.


## Public Art

- The proposed development application is subject to the public art on private property policy, which will be secured by a Section 219 No-Build Restrictive Covenant.
- The applicant has agreed to provide a monetary contribution at the Building Permit stage, based on the estimated value of the project, to the Public Art Reserve Fund, to satisfy the requirements of the policy.
- The traffic circle at the intersection of 166 Street and 25 Avenue has been identified as a potential site for a public art installation in the Orchard Grove NCP. The installation will be funded by the Private Development Public Arts Program. The theme of the public art may be influenced by the orchard heritage in the neighbourhood.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

- Pre-notification letters were sent on June 30, 2016 to 26 properties located within 100 metres ( 328 feet) of the site, including the Grandview Heights Stewardship Association. A Development Proposal Sign was also installed on site on July 15, 2016.
- To date, staff has received three (3) letters of support from surrounding property owners, and one (1) e-mail from an area resident concerned about the quality of the design of the proposed development.


## TREES

- Max Rathburn and Trevor Cox, ISA Certified Arborists of Diamond Head Consulting Limited prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species for trees protected by the City's Tree Protection By-law:

Table 3: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder | 5 | 5 | o |


| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deciduous Trees (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Cherry/ Plum | 2 | 2 | o |
| Japanese Maple | 2 | 2 | o |
| Bigleaf Maple | 3 | 3 | o |
| Weeping Willow | 1 | 1 | o |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 8 | 8 | o |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 30 |  |
| Total Retained and Repla Trees |  | 30 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of eight (8) protected trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Five (5) existing trees, approximately $38 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that none of the trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- Table 3 does not include the existing Asian pear orchard farm that consists of approximately 500 trees since all these trees are below by-law size, and therefore are not protected by the City's Tree Protection By-law. The Asian pears were assessed by the arborist and it was determined that due to their prolonged pruning over the past several decades, they lack the ability to adapt to new site changes, and changes in the growing environment, making them not suitable for retention or transplantation. As indicated above, the applicant has proposed to commemorate the existing orchard by providing cash-in-lieu to plant a new orchard in the future park as shown in Appendix VI.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 21 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 30 replacement trees, exceeding City requirements.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted on 166 Street, 25A Avenue and 25 Avenue. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including: Canadian Serviceberry, River Birch, Spring Snow Crabapple, Norway Spruce, Aspen and Snowbell trees. The tree planting is proposed to be complemented by a variety of ground cover including the following shrubs: Evergreen Azalea, Wood's compact Kinnikick, Summer Lilac, Dwarf Red Osler Dogwood, Wintergreen, Witch Hazel Jelena, Russian Laurel, Japanese Spurge, Rhododendron, Sweet Box and Thyme.
- In summary, a total of 30 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site.


## BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION STRATEGY

- The City of Surrey Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Green Infrastructure Network (GIN) map, adopted by Council on July 21, 2014 (Corporate Report No. R141; 2014), identifies a Local BCS Corridor on the Western portion of the subject site, in the Redwood Biodiversity Management Area within the BCS, with a Low ecological value.
- The BCS further identifies the GIN area of the subject site as having a Low habitat suitability rating, derived from species at risk presence, species accounts and known ecosystem habitat inventories. The BCS recommends a target Corridor width of 20 metres ( 66 feet) and target area of 2,040 square metres ( 0.50 acres), half of which is to be provided by the subject site ( 1,010 square metres/ 0.25 acres), which is approximately $5 \%$ of the subject property, and half ( 1,010 square metres/ o. 25 acres) to be provided by the site to the West (16588-26 Avenue).
- Protecting green infrastructure Hubs (large habitat areas) and Sites (smaller habitat areas) are critical to preserving natural habitat refuges and a diversity of habitat features while maintaining/enhancing Corridors ensures connectivity between fragmented hubs for genetic variation throughout the City. The closest Biodiversity Hub connection in the GIN to the subject site is Hub F, and is located in an area roughly bounded by 16 Avenue, 168 Street, 8 Avenue and Highway 99, centered on the Fergus Watershed Biodiversity Preserve. The BCS Management Area Objectives that the proposed corridor dedication helps to achieve are:

0 increased landscape permeability (amount of natural and semi-natural habitat) on public and private land; and
o increased tree canopy cover.

- The development proposal conserves a 20 metre ( 66 feet) wide corridor for the North-South 166 Street Habitat Corridor (of which a 10 metre ( 33 feet) wide corridor is to be dedicated on the subject site). This method of GIN retention/enhancement will assist in the long term protection of the natural features and allows the City to better achieve biodiversity at this location consistent with the guidelines contained in the BCS.
- The total Habitat Corridor area is 1,010 square metres (o.25 acres) or $5 \%$ of the total gross area of subject site, meeting the BCS target for the subject site.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on June 15, 2016. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability <br> Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Location <br> (A1-A2) | - The site is located within the Orchard Grove NCP area. The proposed <br> development will help support the $24^{\text {th }}$ Avenue transit corridor and is <br> in close proximity to a large commercial area, Morgan Crossing. |
| 2. Density \& Diversity | - The proposal is consistent with the OCP and the Orchard Grove NCP. |


| (B1-B7) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3. Ecology \& Stewardship (C1-C4) | - The following rain water management techniques are proposed to be utilized: <br> o Absorbent soils; <br> o Downspout connections; <br> o Natural landscaping; and <br> o Permeable pavers and surfaces. |
| 4. Sustainable Transport \& Mobility ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D} 2$ ) | - N/A |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety (E1-E3) | - Units are proposed to be oriented to the street in accordance with Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles. |
| 6. Green Certification (F1) | - N/A |
| 7. Education \& Awareness (G1-G4) | - Public notification has taken place and surrounding residents have had the opportunity to voice their concerns through the notification process. |

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

- This application was not referred to the Advisory Design Panel (ADP), but was reviewed by City Staff and found to be satisfactory. The proposed development was evaluated based on compliance with the design guidelines in the OCP and the Orchard Grove NCP.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Proposed Subdivision Layout, Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI. Proposed Commemoration of the Orchard in the Future Park
Appendix VII. Proposed NCP Amendment
Appendix VIII. Proposed CD By-law
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development

## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. Agent: Name: Marc MacCaull

Address: 5620-152 Street, Suite 310 Surrey, BC V3S $3_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{2}$

Tel: 604-579-0094
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 16650-25A Avenue
(b) Civic Address: 16650-25A Avenue

Owner: Qualico Developments (Vancouver) Inc
PID:
o01-622-625
Lot 17 Section 24 Township 1 Plan 28377 New Westminster District Legal Subdivision 1
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce a By-law to rezone the site.

## SUBDIVISION DATA SHEET

Proposed Zoning: CD based on RM-23


## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Proposed Zoning: CD based on RM-23

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed in RM-23 Zone | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 20,796 square metres |
| Road Widening area |  | 7,400 square metres |
| Lanes |  | 1,334 square metres |
| Net Total |  | 12,062 square metres |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 60\% Internal lot 50\% End lot 50\% Corner lot | 55\% Internal lot 45\% End Lot 40\% Corner Lot |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| Front | 3.5 metres | 3.5 metres |
| Rear | 12.5 metres | 12.5 metres |
| Side Yard | o.o metres Internal lot 1.2 or o.o metres End lot o.o metres Corner lot | o.o metres Internal lot 1.2 or o.o metres End lot o.o metres Corner lot |
| Side Yard Flanking Street | 2.7 metres Corner lot | 2.7 metres Corner lot |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 9.5 metres | 9.5 metres |
| Accessory | 3 to 5 metres | 3 to 5 metres |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  |  |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 50 |
| Total |  | 50 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 8,126 square metres |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 8,126 square metres |

## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / <br> Maximum Allowed in <br> RM-23 Zone | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  | 0.67 |
| FAR (net) |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor + 1 Bedroom |  |  |
| 2-Bed |  |  |
| 3-Bed |  | 166 |
| Residential Visitors |  |  |
| Institutional |  | 166 |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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Building 1 - North Elevation

TKA+D

## 
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(4) Building 2 -West Elevation

(2) Building 2 -East Elevation

(3) $\begin{gathered}\text { Building } \\ 1 / 8^{"}=1-1.0^{\prime \prime} \\ 2 \text { - South Elevation }\end{gathered}$

(1) $\begin{gathered}\text { Building } 2 \text { - North Elevation } \\ 1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1-1.0^{\prime \prime}\end{gathered}$

TKA+D
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(4) $\underset{\substack{1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1-1-0^{\prime \prime}}}{\text { Building } 3 \text {-West Elevation }}$

(2) Building 3 - East Elevation

(3) Building 3 -South Elevation
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(4) Building 4 -West Elevation


Building 4 -East Elevation
$1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$

(3) Building 4 -South Elevation


TKA+D

(4) Building 5 -West Elevation

(2) Building 5 - East Elevation

(3) Building 5 -South Elevation

(1) Building 5 - North Elevation
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keynote legend
LEGEND




TAYGOR KURTZ
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TKA+D

(4) Building 7 -West Elevation
(4) $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{1}-0 "$

(2) Building 7 - East Elevation

(3) Building 7 - South Elevation
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(4) Building 8 -West Elevation

(2) Building 8 - East Elevation

(3) Building 8 -South Elevation

(1) Building 8 - North Elevation

TKA+D

## 

KEYNOTE LEGEND



TAYLOR KURTZ Orchard Park

A317

TKA+D

(3) ${ }^{\text {Building }} 1 / 1 \cdot-0^{\prime \prime}-$ South Elevation
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## 

KEYNOTE LEGEND



TAYLOR KURTZ

## Prachard Park <br> \%rghadd

A318

(4) Building 10 -West Elevation

(2) Building 10 - East Elevation

(3) $\underset{\substack{\text { Building } \\ 1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1.0^{\prime \prime}}}{\text { 10 - South Elevation }}$

(1) $\underset{1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1: 100^{\prime \prime}}{\text { Building } 10 \text { - North Elevation }}$

TKA+D

KEYnote Legend



## TKA+D


(1) $\begin{gathered}\text { North } \\ t^{\prime \prime}=10^{\prime} \cdot 0^{\prime \prime}\end{gathered}$ - South Section

(2) East-West Section A

# TKA+D 


(1) East-West Section B
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3D View-25 Avenue

(3) 3D View-Building 9 (Type B)
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- South Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: September 7, $2016 \quad$ PROJECT FILE: 7816-0301-00

RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements
Location: 16650 25A Ave

## NC AMENDMENT

There are no engineering requirements relative to the NCP Amendment.

## REZONING \& SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- dedicate 10.0 m along 25 A Avenue for ultimate 20.0 m road allowance;
- dedicate varying widths from 15.5 metres (west end) to 20.0 m (east end) along 25 Avenue for ultimate 20.0 m road allowance;
- dedicate 17.0 m along 166 Street for ultimate 34.0 m road allowance;
- dedicate 10.0 m along 167 Street for ultimate 14.5 m road allowance;
- dedicate 6.0 m along all lanes for ultimate 6.0 m road allowance;
- dedicate corner cuts at all road intersections, as required; and
- register 0.5 m statutory right-of-ways along road frontages for inspection chambers and sidewalk maintenance, as required.


## Works and Services

- construct 25A Avenue to local road standard;
- construct 25 Avenue to local road standard;
- construct 166 Street interim road with minimum 6.0 m pavement width, extruded asphalt curbs, interim streetlights, and CIL for removal of all interim works;
- construct ultimate 3.0 m concrete sidewalk along 166 Street;
- construct west side of 167 Street to flex road standard;
- construct lanes to residential standard;
- construct storm, sanitary, and water systems to service the proposed lots and frontage roads;
and
- provide service connections to all lots (complete with inspection chambers/water meters).

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezoning \& Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit.

[^4]Wednesday, August 10, 2016 Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

## SUMMARY

The proposed
50 townhouse units
are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:

## Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 17 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Secondary Students: | 8 |

September 2015 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Pacific Heights Elementary |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $40 \mathrm{~K}+307$ |  |
| Capacity (K/1-7): |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Earl Marriott Secondary | 1912 |  |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1500 |  |
| Nominal Capacity (8-12): | 1620 |  |
| Functional Capacity*(8-12); |  |  |

## School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:

The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Pacific Heights Elementary is currently over capacity with rapid enrolment growth expected to continue. A new elementary school site has been purchased south of 23rd Avenue - Site \#206 near Edgewood Drive. The construction of a new elementary school on this site is a high priority in the District's 5-Year Capital Plan and feasibility planning is underway. The school district has also purchased land for a new secondary school in the Grandview area adjoining the City of Surrey future aquatic centre and recreation property. Capital project approval has been granted for the new 1,500 student secondary schools (likely opening 2020). A proposed addition to Pacific Heights Elementary is included in the capital plan, but as a lower priority than the two capital projects mentioned above. The enrolment projections include anticipated residential growth from the Grandview and Sunnyside and Orchard Grove NCPs . The actual enrolment growth rate will be driven by the timing of development, demographic changes and market factors. Enrolment pressures in this area of Surrey are extreme and capital project approval timelines for new elementary space are unknown at this time. Additional portables will be required at area schools for September 2016 and options for placing portables on neighbouring sites are under investigation.

Pacific Heights Elementary


Earl Marriott Secondary

*Functional Capacity at secondary schools is based on space utilization estimate of 27 students per instructional space. The number of instructional spaces is estimated by dividing nominal facility capacity (Ministry capacity) by 25.

## TREE PRESERVATION SUMMARY



Summary prepared and
June 13, 2016
submitted by:


Arborist
Date


City of Surrey Concept Designs


Timber Fence and Laser Cut Plaque


Gateway


In-Ground Concrete Band

-
… - .
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Orchard Commemoration Site Plan



## Orchard Grove - Grandview Heights 5A Neighbourhood Land Use Concept Plan

## Legend

Large Lot Duplex or Large Lot Single Family (2-10 upa)
Small Lot Single Family w/wo Coach House (10-15 upa)
Single Family (10 upa) or Townhouse with Tree Preservation (15 upa)
Townhouse (15-30 upa)
Townhouse (15 upa) or Multi-Family with Tree Preservation (30 upa)
Multi-Family (30-45 upa)
Mixed-Use Commercial/Residential (30-45 upa)
Stormwater Detention Pond

City of Surrey Planning \& Development Department

[^5]BYLAW NO.

A by-law to amend Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended

THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Surrey ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, is hereby further amended, pursuant to the provisions of Section 479 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C. 2015 c. 1, as amended by changing the classification of the following parcels of land, presently shown upon the maps designated as the Zoning Maps and marked as Schedule "A" of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended as follows:

FROM: ONE-ACRE RESIDENTIAL ZONE (RA)
TO: COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT ZONE (CD)

> Parcel Identifier: oor-622-625
> Lot 17 Section 24 Township 1 Plan 28377 New Westminster District Legal Subdivision 1
> $16650-25$ A Avenue
> (hereinafter referred to as the "Lands")
2. The following regulations shall apply to the Lands:

## A. Intent

This Comprehensive Development Zone is intended to accommodate and regulate attached dwelling units on lots contained in a row housing building, which are to be developed in accordance with a comprehensive design, and where density bonus is provided.

## B. Permitted Uses

The Lands and structures shall be used for only one dwelling unit on each lot contained within a row housing building and customarily accessory uses.

## C. Lot Area

Not applicable to this Zone.

## D. Density

1. The unit density shall not exceed 2.5 dwelling units per hectare [1 u.p.a.]. The maximum density may be increased to that prescribed in Section D. 2 of this Zone if amenities are provided in accordance with Schedule G of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. (a) The unit density shall not exceed 57 dwelling units per hectare [23 u.p.a.]; and
(b) The maximum allowable floor area of the dwelling unit shall be 176 sq. m. [ 1,894 sq. ft.], excluding any basement, garage, carport, or accessory buildings and structures.

## E. Lot Coverage

1. Internal Lot pursuant to Section K. 2 of this Zone: The lot coverage shall not exceed $55 \%$.
2. End Lot pursuant to Section K. 2 of this Zone: The lot coverage shall not exceed $45 \%$.
3. Corner Lot pursuant to Section K. 2 of this Zone: The lot coverage shall not exceed $40 \%$.

## F. Yards and Setbacks

Buildings and structures shall be sited in accordance with the following minimum setbacks:

| Use Setback | Front <br> Yard | Rear <br> Yard | Side <br> Yard | Side Yard on Flanking Street |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internal Dwelling Unit | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \mathrm{~m} .{ }^{1} \\ & {[11.5 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.5 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & {[41 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 m . <br> [o ft.] | - |
| End Dwelling Unit | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \mathrm{~m} .{ }^{1} \\ & {[11.5 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.5 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & {[41 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.2. m. } .^{4,5} \\ & \text { [4 ft.] } \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Corner Dwelling Unit | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \mathrm{~m} .{ }^{1} \\ & {[11.5 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.5 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & {[41 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o.o m. } \\ & \text { [o ft.] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \mathrm{~m} .{ }^{7,8} 8 \\ {[9 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{gathered}$ |
| Accessory Buildings and Structures | $-{ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \mathrm{~m} .{ }^{3} \\ & {[1.64 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { o.o m. }{ }^{6} \\ {[\mathrm{oft.]}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1.2. m. }{ }^{9} \\ {[4 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{gathered}$ |

Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
${ }^{1}$ The front yard setback of the principal building may be reduced to a minimum of 2.0 metres [6.ft 7 in .] for the first storey by an unenclosed and uninhabitable space such as a porch or veranda provided that the said porch or veranda is a minimum of 1.5 metres [ 5 ft .] deep, covered from above and is an integral part of the principal building.
${ }^{2}$ Accessory buildings and structures shall not be located in the front yard setback of the principal building.

The side yard setback on a flanking street of the principal building may be reduced to a minimum of 1.2 metres [ 4 ft .] for the first storey by an unenclosed and uninhabitable space such as a porch or veranda provided that the said porch or veranda is a minimum of 1.5 metres [ 5 ft .] deep, covered from above and is an integral part of the principal building. At any corner cut at the intersection of two roads, the said side yard on flanking street of a minimum of 1.2 metres [ 4 ft .] shall be applicable either to the veranda or to the portion of the principal building other than a veranda.

The side yard setback on a flanking street of the principal building may be reduced to a minimum of 2.4 metres [ 8 ft .] for projections to a maximum of 4.0 metres [ 13 ft .] in length.

9 At any corner cut at the intersection of a road and a rear lane, the side yard setback on a flanking street may be reduced to a minimum of 0.5 metres [1 ft. 6 in.].

## G. Height of Buildings

Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

1. $\quad$ Principal buildings: The building height shall not exceed 9.5 metres [ 31 ft .].
2. Accessory buildings and structures: The building height shall not exceed 3 metres [10 ft.] except that where the roof slope and construction materials of an accessory building are the same as that of the principal building, the building height of the accessory building may be increased to 5.0 metres [16.5 ft.].

## H. Off-Street Parking

1. Resident and visitor parking spaces shall be provided as stated in Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. A driveway to the lot shall be permitted only from a rear lane.
3. A minimum of 2 off-street parking spaces shall be provided for each dwelling unit at the rear of the lot.
4. Where a garage or carport is provided on the lot, no more than one off-street parking space shall be within the garage or carport.
5. The floor area of the garage or carport shall not exceed 22 sq. metres [ 240 sq . ft.].
6. Where a detached garage or carport is provided on the lot, such detached garage or carport shall:
(a) Be located at a minimum of 6 metres [ 20 ft .] from the rear wall of the dwelling unit; and
(b) The driveway width shall not exceed 6 metres [20 ft.].
7. Outside parking or storage of campers, boats or house trailers shall not be permitted.

## I. Landscaping

1. All developed portions of the lot not covered by buildings, structures or paved areas shall be landscaped including the retention of mature trees. This landscaping shall be maintained.
2. Non-porous or paved surfaces, excluding a driveway, shall not cover more than 12 sq. m. [ 129 sq. ft.] in area.
3. The boulevard areas of highways abutting a lot shall be seeded or sodded with grass on the side of the highway abutting the lot, except at driveways.

## J. Special Regulations

No more than five dwelling units shall be attached in a row to one another within each row housing building.

## K. Subdivision

1. Where amenities are not provided in accordance with Schedule G of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, the lots created shall conform to the minimum standards prescribed in Section K of Part 12 One-Acre Residential Zone (RA) of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. Where amenities are provided in accordance with Schedule G of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, the lots created shall conform to the minimum standards:

|  | Lot Size | Lot Width | Lot Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internal Lot | $\begin{aligned} & 190 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m} . \\ & {[2,045 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.4 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & {[21 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.5 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & \text { [96 ft.] } \end{aligned}$ |
| End Lot | $\begin{aligned} & 245 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m} . \\ & {[2,637 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & {[24 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.5 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & \text { [96 ft.] } \end{aligned}$ |
| Corner Lot | $\begin{aligned} & 290 \text { sq. m. } \\ & \text { [3,121 sq. ft.] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & \text { [30 ft.] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.5 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & {[96 \mathrm{ft} .]} \end{aligned}$ |

Dimensions shall be measured in accordance with Section E. 21 of Part 4 General Provisions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

## L. Other Regulations

In addition to all statutes, bylaws, orders, regulations or agreements, the following are applicable, however, in the event that there is a conflict with the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone and other provisions in Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone shall take precedence:

1. Definitions are as set out in Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. Prior to any use, the Lands must be serviced as set out in Part 2 Uses Limited, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended and in accordance with the servicing requirements for the RM-23 Zone as set forth in the Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, as amended.
3. General provisions are as set out in Part 4 General Provisions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
4. Additional off-street parking requirements are as set out in Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
5. Sign regulations are as set out in Surrey Sign By-law, 1999, No. 13656, as amended.
6. Special building setbacks are as set out in Part 7 Special Building Setbacks, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
7. Building permits shall be subject to the Surrey Building Bylaw, 2012, No. 17850, as amended and the Surrey Development Cost Charge Bylaw, 2016, No. 18664, as may be amended or replaced from time to time, and the development cost charges shall be based on the RM-23 Zone.
8. Tree regulations are set out in Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100, as amended.
9. Development permits may be required in accordance with the Surrey Official Community Plan By-law, 2013, No. 18020, as amended.
10. This By-law shall be cited for all purposes as "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, , No. ."

PASSED FIRST READING on the th day of , 20 .
PASSED SECOND READING on the th day of , 20 .
PUBLIC HEARING HELD thereon on the th day of ,20 .
PASSED THIRD READING on the th day of , 20 .
RECONSIDERED AND FINALLY ADOPTED, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal on the th day of ,20 .
$\qquad$


[^0]:    Building 3-North Elevation

[^1]:    (1) Building 7 - North Elevation

[^2]:    (1) Building 9 - North Elevation

[^3]:    2 East-West Section C

[^4]:    Con Rémi Dubé, P.Eng.
    Development Services Manager
    $M_{51}$

[^5]:    Approved By Council 23 January 2012 Amended: 3 August 2016

