

## City of Surrey PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT File: 7916-0229-00 <br> Planning Report Date: July 9, 2018

## PROPOSAL:

- Rezoning from RF and RM-D to RM-30
- LAP Amendment from Townhouses 15 u.p.a. to Townhouses 30 u.p.a.
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of a 71-unit townhouse project.
LOCATION: 1635 and 1647-162 Street 1620 - King George Boulevard 16179, 16189, 16147 and 16149-16 Avenue
ZONING: RF and RM-D

OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
KING GEORGE HIGHWAY Townhouses (15 u.p.a.)


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The proposal requires an amendment to the King George Highway Corridor Local Area Plan to redesignate the site from "Townhouses (15 u.p.a.)" to "Townhouses (30 u.p.a.)".
- Development Variance Permit for reduced building setbacks and to allow visitor parking stalls within the required setback.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The project represents a comprehensive assembly of 6 parcels, and provides a significant public benefit with the delivery of a public lane/road that connects the existing frontage road along King George Boulevard to the north/west, with 162 Street to the east. This road connection will offer existing and future residents at both ends with the enhanced connectivity via 162 Street.
- The net density of the project would be significantly lower, had it not been for the lane/road dedication being provided by the applicant which is excluded from the area that can be included in the density calculation.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate along this portion of King George Boulevard and 16 Avenue, which is envisioned as a significant east-west transportation corridor within South Surrey. Similarly, the proposal is in close proximity to Semiahmoo Town Centre, the City of White Rock, and to King George Boulevard, where amenities are available.
- The proposed amendment to the King George Corridor Land Use/Development Concept Plan is reflective of other recent townhouse projects in south Surrey, including two townhouse developments to the north and west (Development Application Nos. 7912-oo61-oo \& 7915-0311-00).
- The proposed Development Variance Permit to reduce setbacks and allow parking within the required setbacks are supportable and allow for a more functional site plan, and provide for better street presence along 16 Avenue.
- The proposed reductions in the westerly and southerly setbacks are minimal, will accommodate appropriate yard space and are not expected to have a significant impact on the neighbouring properties. Furthermore, appropriate landscaping and fencing will provide visual separation and privacy between the subject site and the existing single family properties to the north.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" and "Duplex Residential Zone (RM-D)" to "Multiple Residential (30) Zone (RM-30)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7916-o229-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
3. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7916-0229-oo (Appendix VIII) varying the following provisions of the RM-3o Zone, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum south setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Building 5 , and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.6 metres ( 1 ft .) for Building 4;
(b) to reduce the minimum southwest setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Building 6 , and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for Building 7 ;
(c) to reduce the minimum north setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .) for Building 4;
(d) to reduce the minimum northwest setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for Building 7;
(e) to reduce the minimum east setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Building 4 ;
(f) to reduce the south setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 9,10 \& 11 ;
(g) to reduce the north setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) for the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 1.2 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 13,14 and the amenity building, and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 2.3 metres ( 7.5 ft ) for Building 16;
(h) to reduce the northwest setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 1.2 metres ( 15 ft .) for Building 8 and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 2.0 metres ( 6.5 ft .) for Building 12;
(i) to reduce the east setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 11 and 16 ; and
(j) to allow a total of 4 visitor parking stalls to be located within the required setback area.
4. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) approval from the Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure;
(d) submission of an acceptable tree survey and arborist report;
(e) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(h) the applicant address the concern that the development will place additional pressure on existing park facilities to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(i) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space;
(k) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture; and
(1) submission of an acoustical report for the units adjacent to King George Boulevard and 16 Avenue and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures.
5. Council pass a resolution to amend King George Highway Corridor Local Area Plan to redesignate the land from Townhouses ( 15 upa ) to Townhouses ( 30 upa ) when the project is considered for final adoption.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

Ministry of Transportation
\& Infrastructure (MOTI):

## Projected number of students from this development:

## 18 students at South Meridian Elementary School

 9 students at Earl Marriot Secondary School
## (Appendix IV).

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy in phases. Phase 1 (Lot 1 - south of lane) is to be completed by November 2019, and Phase 2 (Lot 2 - north of lane) will be completed by July 2020.

Parks have some concerns about the pressure this project will place on existing Parks, Recreation and Culture facilities in the neighbourhood. The applicant has proposed an amenity contribution of $\$ 53,250$, representing $\$ 750$ per unit, which is acceptable to Parks staff.

Conditional approval granted.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use:
Single family and duplex dwellings.

## Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North: | Single family <br> residential | Single Family Residential <br> (6 upa) \& Low Density <br> Multiple Residential (1o <br> upa) | RF \& CD By- <br> law No. 16177A |
| East (Across 162 Street): | Manufactured <br> homes | OCP: Urban | RM-M |
| South (Across 16 Ave): | Townhouses |  <br> Single Family Residential <br> (6 upa) | RM-15 |
| West (Across King George <br> Blvd): | Single family <br> residential | Townhouses (15 upa) | RF \& RM-D |

## JUSTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- The application proposes an amendment to the King George Highway Corridor Land Use/Development Concept Plan to redesignate the site from Townhouses ( 15 u.p.a.) to Townhouses (30 u.p.a.). (Appendix VII)
- The project represents a comprehensive assembly of 6 parcels, and provides a significant public benefit with the delivery of a public lane/road that connects the existing frontage road along King George Boulevard to the north, with 162 Street to the east. This road connection will offer existing and future residents at both ends with the enhanced connectivity via 162 Street.
- The net density of the project would be significantly lower, had it not been for the lane/road dedication being provided by the applicant which is excluded from the area that can be included in the density calculation.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate along this portion of King George Boulevard and 16 Avenue, which is envisioned as a significant east-west transportation corridor within South Surrey. Similarly, the proposal is in close proximity to Semiahmoo Town Centre, the City of White Rock, and to King George Boulevard, where amenities are available.
- The proposed unit density is reflective of many recent townhouse developments in the area, including the project at 1711 King George Boulevard (7915-0311-00), and 1708 King George Boulevard (7912-oo61-oo).
- The Townhouse (30 u.p.a.) designation in the King George Highway Corridor Land Use/Development Concept Plan was introduced as part of Development Application No. 7915-0311-oo. This application received Final Adoption on March 12, 2018. This designation is most appropriate for the subject application, which proposes a density of 69 units per hectare (u.p.h.) or 27.9 units per acre (u.p.a.).
- The location of the subject site on King George Boulevard and 16 Avenue, and the potential for increased bus service further supports the increase in density.


## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background and Site Context

- The subject site consists of six (6) lots currently zoned "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" and "Residential Multiple - Duplex (RM-D)" and designated Urban in the OCP. The site is designated Townhouses (15 u.p.a.) in the King George Highway Corridor Land Use/Development Concept Plan.


## Proposal

- The applicant proposes:
o to rezone the site from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" and "Duplex Residential Zone (RM-D)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)";

0 an amendment to the King George Highway Corridor Land Use/Development Concept Plan to redesignate the land from "Townhouse (15 u.p.a.)" to "Townhouse ( 30 u.p.a.)"; and
o Development Permit to allow the development of a 71-unit townhouse development.

- A Development Variance Permit (DVP) is also proposed in order to reduce the minimum setback requirements under the RM-30 Zone, and to allow 4 visitor stalls to be located within the required setbacks.
- The proposal has a net Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 0.95 which is less than the maximum 1.0o FAR permitted under the RM-30 Zone.
- A 10.0 metre ( 33 ft .) wide new east-west lane will be dedicated as part of this application, connecting the frontage road along King George Boulevard to 162 Street. The lane dedication divides the site into two: the parcel south of the dedicated lane is identified as Lot 1 , and the parcel north of the dedicated lane is identified as Lot 2 on the site layout. The north and south side of the lane will be "hooked", creating one fee simple property. Therefore, the 71 proposed townhouse units will be developed as one Strata.
- In accordance with the provisions identified in the Official Community Plan, the applicant will be required to have an acoustical engineer determine appropriate sound mitigation measures to address the traffic noise from King George Boulevard and 16 Avenue. The recommendations will be reflected on the final Development Permit drawings for this application. Similarly, a Restrictive Covenant will be required to ensure the implementation of the measures that are identified.


## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

- The proposed seventy-one (71) ground-oriented townhouse unit development is comprised of 3-bedroom units that are contained within 16 buildings, as well as indoor and outdoor amenity space.
- Each unit has a distinct entry and unique visual identities. The variation of exterior finishes and horizontal and vertical rhythms reinforces the individuality of each unit.
- Design features include flat roofs and a strong vertical expression through the use of projecting bay windows on upper floors and entry projections with heritage stone and hardi-board. These complementary vertical façade elements reduce the horizontality of the design.
- Exterior building cladding consists of mainly Hardi board siding (white, brown, wood texture and grey), heritage stone cladding (mixture of browns), brown front entry doors, vinyl windows (grey) and flat roofs.
- Roof-top patios are proposed for most units, which will provide private outdoor amenity space for the enjoyment of owners.


## Landscaping

- The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs and ground cover.
- 131 trees are proposed to be planted on the site, including a mix of maple, katsura, beech, magnolia, sourwood, spruce, pine, oak, ash and Japanese snowbell trees.
- Other plantings include a variety of flowering shrubs, grasses and ground covers that are used to soften the hard surfaces of the site, mark walkways and driveways and help define the transitions between private and semi-private spaces.
- Dogwood, pin oak, maple and magnolia trees and a variety of shrubs and grasses are proposed within the front yard of each unit along King George Boulevard and along 16 Avenue and 162 Street.
- Decorative pavers are proposed at the site's entrance, along each driveway, and in visitor parking spaces.


## Access, Pedestrian Circulation \& Parking

- A 10.0 metre ( 33 ft .) wide new east-west lane will be dedicated as part of this application, connecting the frontage road along King George Boulevard to 162 Street. This connection is valuable to existing and future residents along the frontage road and 162 Street due to future right-in/right-out movement restrictions along King George Boulevard and 16 Avenue respectively.
- The primary access to the site will be via the frontage road along King George Boulevard, and 162 Street from the new east-west dedicated lane. As 16 Avenue is dedicated as an arterial road, direct access to 16 Avenue will no longer be permitted with redevelopment of the site.
- Street parking pockets are proposed on the south side of the dedicated lane.
- All of the street-fronting units are proposed to have individual pedestrian access to the street.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 142 resident parking spaces and 14 visitor parking spaces, which meets the Zoning By-law requirement of 156 spaces for resident and visitor parking.
- Four (4) units have tandem garages, while the remaining sixty-seven (67) units have double side by side garages.


## Amenity Space

- The Zoning By-law requires that 213 square metres ( $2,293 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of both indoor and outdoor amenity space be provided for this project, based on 3 square metres ( $32 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) per dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 219 square metres ( $2,357 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of outdoor amenity space located centrally within the site, on the south side of the proposed lane. The outdoor amenity space consists of a treed area, a formal patio adjacent to the indoor amenity building, bike racks, a seating bench, shrubs, grasses, groundcover, and a children's play structure.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 244 square metres ( $2,626 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of indoor amenity space, adjacent to the outdoor amenity area, in the form of a 2 -storey building containing an exercise room, lounge, office and washrooms. The indoor amenity building is located adjacent to the outdoor amenity space.


## TREES

- Vanessa Melney, ISA Certified Arborist of Mike Fadum \& Associates prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder \& Cottonwood | 102 | 102 | o |
| Deciduous Trees (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Apple | 3 | 3 | o |
| Birch, European | 2 | 2 | o |
| Cherry | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Maple, Bigleaf | 1 | 1 | o |
| Pear | 2 | 2 | o |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Cedar, Western Red | 10 | 10 | o |
| Douglas Fir | 16 | 16 | o |
| False Cypress | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Fir, Grand | 1 | 1 | o |
| Pine | 3 | 3 | o |
| Spruce | 1 | 1 | o |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 44 | 44 | o |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 131 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 131 |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Fund |  | \$23,600 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 44 protected trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. 102 existing trees, approximately $70 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- The applicant proposed to retain 5 additional trees within the outdoor amenity area.

However, during the re-assessment of the arborist report, the applicant's arborist discovered the proposed trees to be retained had failed due to wind and gravity. The City Arborist met with the applicant on site and confirmed that the tree species had failed.

- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 190 replacement trees on the site. Since only 131 replacement trees can be accommodated on the site, the deficit of 59 replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 23,600$, representing $\$ 400$ per tree, to the Green City Fund, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- In summary, a total of 131 trees are proposed to be replaced on the site with a contribution of $\$ 23,600$ to the Green City Fund.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on March 28, 2017. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Site Context \& Location (A1-A2) | - The subject site is located in the King George Highway Corridor Plan. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Density \& Diversity } \\ & \left(\mathrm{Bi}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{7}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - Not being provided. |
| 3. Ecology \& Stewardship (C1-C4) | - Absorbent soils, swales and natural landscaping are proposed. <br> - 1 tree is proposed to be retained and a total of 144 trees are proposed to be replanted on the site. |
| 4. Sustainable Transport \& Mobility ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{2}$ ) | - Bike parking is providing. <br> - The development is adjacent to public transit. <br> - The development is connected to pedestrian pathways. |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety (E1-E3) | - The site has been designed to minimize CPTED concerns. <br> - Indoor and outdoor gathering amenity spaces are proposed to be provided. <br> - A children's play area is proposed to be provided. |
| 6. Green Certification (F1) | - Not being provided. |
| 7. Education \& Awareness (G1-G4) | - Not being provided. |

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

The application was not referred to the ADP for review. The design and landscaping plans were reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable.

## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on May 9, 2018 and the development proposal sign was installed on the property on May 14, 2018. To date, staff have received 4 emails from area residents that have expressed concerns with the proposal.

The following is a summary of the issues raised by area residents; with staff comment noted in italics:

- Concerns about tree retention.
(70\% of the trees on site are cottonwood or alder trees. The applicant was not able to retain any trees on site due to recent tree failures. A total of 131 trees are proposed to be replaced on the subject site.)
- Concerns about privacy and screening from the resident adjacent to the north property line.
(The proposed setback along the north property line to the face of the new units is 7.5 metres (25ft.), which in in compliance with the minimum setback of the RM-30 zone, and includes a 3 metre ( 10 ft .) landscaped buffer consisting of a combination of trees, shrubs and grasses. In response to the concerns, the applicant has increased the height of the conifers along the north property line to 3 metres ( 10 ft. ), and added another row of evergreen shrubs.)


## BY-LAW VARIANCE AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variances to the RM-3o Zone:

- to reduce the minimum south setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 5 , and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.6 metres ( 10 ft .) for Building 4;
- to reduce the minimum southwest setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 6 , and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres (10 ft.) for Building 7;
- to reduce the minimum north setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .) for Building 4;
- to reduce the minimum northwest setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for Building 7;
- to reduce the minimum east setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Building 4;
- to reduce the south setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 9, 10 \& 11;
- to reduce the north setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 1.2 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 13,14 and the amenity building, and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 2.3 metres ( 7.5 ft ) for Building 16;
- to reduce the northwest setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 1.2 metres ( 15 ft .) for Building 8 and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 2.0 metres ( 6.5 ft .) for Building 12;
- to reduce the east setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 11 and 16 ; and
- to allow a total of 4 visitor parking stalls to be located within the required setback area.


## Applicant's Reasons:

- The proposed setbacks do not negatively impact adjacent developments and they are sufficient to ensure an appropriate interface with public streets.
- Visitor stalls within required setback areas are not visible from the public realm. Additional landscaping (hedging) has been provided to allow for buffering from the residential lots to the north.
- The north and northwest setback for Lot 2 (north of lane) contains a 3 metre ( 10 ft .) wide landscape buffer consisting of trees, shrubs and plants to provide a visual buffer from residential uses to the north.
- The north and northwest setbacks for Lot 1 (south of lane) requesting a variance to 1.2 metres ( 4 ft .) and 2.3 metres ( 7.5 ft ) is adjacent to a dedicated lane, and considered adequate separation from lane to unit.


## Staff Comments:

- A reduced front yard setback for street-fronting units located along the frontage road and 16 Avenue and the internal dedicated lane will help the development engage the public realm by bringing the buildings closer to the sidewalk. A reduced setback will also encourage neighbourhood interaction and neighbourhood surveillance through 'eyes on the street'.
- The proposed reductions in the westerly and southerly setbacks are minimal and are not expected to have a significant impact on the neighbouring properties. The proposed townhouse buildings are oriented to the adjacent properties as rear yard conditions (except for 4 and 7 buildings which are side yard conditions), therefore a 3.0 metre ( 10 ft .) wide green and landscaped area will separate the townhouse units from the adjacent properties. It is anticipated that the adjacent properties will develop with similar setbacks and building forms in the future.
- The reduced setbacks will still accommodate appropriate yard space and landscaping treatments.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary (Confidential) and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Site Plan, Building Elevations, and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI. Tree Preservation, Removal and Replacement Plans
Appendix VII. NCP Redesignation Map
Appendix VIII. Development Variance Permit No. 7916-0229-oo
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
HS/cm

## APPENDIX I HAS BEEN

REMOVED AS IT CONTAINS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Proposed Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Min Required /Max Allowable | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 12,567 sq.m. |
| Road widening |  | 2,283 sq.m. |
| Net Total |  | 10,284 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures |  | 45 |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  | 23 |
| Total Site Coverage |  | 68 |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| LOT 1: |  |  |
| South | 7.5 m | $3.0-4.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| North | 7.5 m | $6.0-7.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| North West | 7.5 m | $3.0-7.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| East | 7.5 m | 4.5 m |
|  |  |  |
| LOT 2: |  |  |
| North | 7.5 m | 1.2 m |
| North West | 7.5 m | $1.2-2.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| South | 7.5 m | $4.0-7.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| East | 7.5 m | 4.5 m |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 15.0 | 12.5 m (3 storeys) |
| Accessory |  | 6.5 m |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  | - |
| One Bed |  | - |
| Two Bedroom |  | - |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 71 |
| Total |  | 71 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 9,790 sq. m. |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 9,790 sq. m. |

* If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

Development Data Sheet cont'd


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- South Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Engineer, Engineering Department
DATE: June 12, $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \quad$ PROJECT FILE: $\quad \mathbf{7 8 1 6 - 0 2 2 9 - 0 0}$

RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements
Location: 1620 King George Boulevard (KGB), 1635/1647-162 Street, 16147/16149/16179/16189 24 Avenue

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

Property and Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) Requirements

- 16 Avenue: dedicate 6.504 to 8.442 metres for 18.5 metre road allowance to centreline, existing gazetted road, 5.0 by 5.0 metre corner cut at KGB, 3.0 by 3.0 meter corner cut at 162 Street, and register 0.5 metre SRW along property line
- KGB: dedicate 1.0 metre for the frontage road, 3.0 by 3.0 metre corner cut at the Lane, and register 0.5 metre SRW along property line
- Lane: dedicate 10.0 metres, north side 3.0 by 3.0 metre corner cut at KGB, and 3.0 by 3.0 metre corner cuts at 162 Street, and register 0.5 metre SRW along property lines
- 162 Street: dedicate 3.0 by 3.0 metre corner cuts at Lane, and register 0.5 metre SRW along property line


## Works and Services

- construct KGB frontage road, Lane, and west side of 162 Street
- implement onsite low impact development drainage features
- build water main on 162 Street between 16 and 16A Avenues
- provide water, sanitary, and storm sewer service connections

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit beyond those listed above.

## LOCAL AREA PLAN (LAP) AMENDMENT

There are no engineering requirements relative to the LAP Amendment.


Tomm Buchmann, P.Eng.
Development Engineer
KMH

## Surrey Schools

## Planning

July 5, 2018



THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

APPLICATION \#:
16022900 (Revised)

## SUMMARY

The proposed
are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:
Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 18 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Secondary Students: | 9 |

September 2017 Enrolment/School Capacity

| South Meridian Elementary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $39 \mathrm{~K}+291$ |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) | $38 \mathrm{~K}+210$ |
|  |  |
| Earl Marriott Secondary | 1857 |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1500 |
| Capacity (8-12): |  |

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.
Since 2015, South Meridian Elementary has been operating over capacity and it is projected to continue over the next 10 years. As of September 2017, there are 3 portables on site used as enrolling space. It is projected that enrolment will be over 300 students with an operating capacity of $130 \%$. With a significant number of proposed townhouse development permits in process, South Meridian will have to continue to rely on portables to meet the growing in-catchment demand. With Peace Arch Elementary currently operating at $185 \%$, there is no ability to do a boundary change to relieve enrolment pressure. Currently there are no plan to expand the school, however, this facility will be reviewed, over the next year, to be considered for a future capital plan project request to the Ministry of Education, for an addition.

To relieve the pressure at Earl Marriot, a new 1500 capacity high school located on 26th Ave next to the existing Pacific Heights Elementary is currently in design and construction; and is targeted to open for September 2020. This new high school has been officially named Grandview Heights Secondary.


Earl Marriott Secondary

*Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students. Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.

## Tree Preservation Summary

## Surrey Project No: 16-0229-00

Address: 1620 King George Boulevard, 16147 - $1618916^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, 1635 \& $1647162^{\text {nd }}$ Street, Surrey, BC
Registered Arborist: Vanessa Melney

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 146 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 146 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio 102 X one $(1)=102$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio 44 X two (2) $=88$ | 190 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 131 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 59 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | NA |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times \text { one }(1)=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times \text { two }(2)=0$ | NA |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | NA |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | NA |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
Date: July 4, 2018






## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

NO.: 7916-0229-oo
Issued To:

> (the "Owner")

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: ou1-427-141
Lot 3 Section 13 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 9660

> 1647-162 Street

Parcel Identifier: ou1-687-576
Lot 11 Section 13 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 9660
1620 - King George Boulevard
Parcel Identifier: 005-572-428
Lot "A" Section 13 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 13279 Except Plan EPP36490
16179-16 Avenue
Parcel Identifier: oo8-582-483
Lot "B" Section 13 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 13279 Except Part in Plan EPP33637
16189-16 Avenue
Parcel Identifier: 002-275-872
Lot "C" Section 13 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 13279
1635-162 Street

Parcel Identifier: 001-816-730
Strata Lot 1 Section 13 Township 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW1489 Together with an Interest in the Common Property in Proportion to the Unit Entitlement of the Strata Lot as Shown on Form 1
16147-16 Avenue

Parcel Identifier: 001-816-748
Strata Lot 2 Section 13 Township 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW1489 Together with an Interest in the Common Property in Proportion to the Unit Entitlement of the Strata Lot as Shown on Form 1

16149-16 Avenue
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once titles has been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic addresses change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic addresses for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) to reduce the minimum south setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) for the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 5, and from 7.5 metres $(25 \mathrm{ft}$.) to 3.6 metres ( 10 ft .) for Building 4;
(b) to reduce the minimum southwest setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) for the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 6, and from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for Building 7;
(c) to reduce the minimum north setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) for the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .) for Building 4;
(d) to reduce the minimum northwest setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) for the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft. ) for Building 7;
(e) to reduce the minimum east setback of Lot 2 (north of lane) for the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Building 4;
(f) to reduce the south setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) for the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 9 , 10 \& 11 ;
(g) to reduce the north setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) for the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 1.2 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 13,14 and the amenity building, and from 7.5 metres $(25 \mathrm{ft}$.) to 2.3 metres $(7.5 \mathrm{ft})$ for Building 16;
(h) to reduce the northwest setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) for the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 1.2 metres ( 15 ft .) for Building 8 and from 7.5 metres $(25 \mathrm{ft}$.) to 2.0 metres ( 6.5 ft .) for Building 12;
(i) to reduce the east setback of Lot 1 (south of lane) for the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for Buildings 11 and 16 ;
(j) to allow a total of 4 visitor parking stalls to be located within the required setback area.
5. This development variance permit applies to only the portion of the Land OR that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule $A$, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 .
ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20 .

Mayor - Linda Hepner

LOT 2 (North of Lane)


LOT 1 (South of Lane) VARIANCES

## Visitor Parking Spaces VARIANCES

