

## City of Surrey PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT File: 7916-0174-00

Planning Report Date: July 10, 2017

## PROPOSAL:

- NCP Amendment from Half-Acre Residential to 15-25 upa (Medium-High Density)
- Rezoning from RA to CD (based on RM-3o)
- Development Permit
to permit the development of 52 townhouse units.
LOCATION: $\quad 19342$ and 19372-72 Avenue
OWNER: Avtar S Saran and Baljinder K Saran

ZONING:
RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: Half-Acre Residential
INFILL PLAN Townhouse (20-25 upa)
DESIGNATION:


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Although the application complies with the Aloha Estates Infill Area Concept Plan, an amendment to East Clayton NCP is required.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- Complies with the Aloha Estates Infill Area Concept Plan, which was approved by Council on October 28, 2013 (Corporate Report No. R219; 2013).
- The proposed 52 -unit townhouse development, with 50 units (96\%) having side-by-side garages, is based on the RM-30 Zone, however, the floor area ratio (FAR) has been increased slightly from 0.9 to 1.0 and the building setbacks have been reduced.
- The applicant is proposing to construct a townhouse development that attains the high efficiency "Energy Star" green building standard, resulting in a townhouse development that according to the applicant, improves upon the British Columbia Building Code (BCBC) requirements for reducing energy use by at least $20 \%$.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the Aloha Estates Infill Area Development Plan and the East Clayton NCP.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA )" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7916-0174-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
3. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space;
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(i) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department; and
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to require energy efficiency certification.
4. Council pass a resolution to amend the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to redesignate the lands from Half-Acre Residential to 15-25 upa (Medium-High Density), when the application is considered for final adoption.

## REFERRALS

Engineering:

School District:

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

Surrey Fire Department: The Surrey Fire Department has no concerns.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: Acreage lots with single family dwellings, which will be removed.

## Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North (Across 72 Avenue): | Single family small lots and manor home. | 10-15 u.p.a. Medium Density and 15-25 u.p.a. Medium-High Density | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RF-9C and CD } \\ & \text { (By-law No. } \\ & \text { 15798A) } \end{aligned}$ |
| East (Across <br> future 193A <br> Street): | Single family dwelling on acreage under Application No. 7916-0158oo (received Third Reading on June 12, 2017 to rezone the site from RA to CD based on RM-30) | Townhouse (20-25 upa) in Infill Area Concept Plan | RA |
| South (Across future Aloha Drive): | Single family dwelling on acreage under Application No. <br> 7916-0198-oo (pre-Council to rezone the site from RA to RF-13) and a single family dwelling on an acreage. | Single Family Front Accessed (6-10 upa) in Infill Area Concept Plan | RA |
| West (Across future 193 Street): | Single family dwelling on an acreage under Application No. 7915-0050-00 for townhouses (Council granted Third Reading on July 27, 2015). | Townhouse (20-25 upa) or Townhouse and Commercial in Infill Area Concept Plan | RA |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background

- The two subject lots are located within the Aloha Estates subdivision, which was approved in 1978.
- The East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) (Appendix VI) was approved by Council on March 3, 2003 (Corporate Report No. Coo6) to guide the development of the eastern portion of a larger area covered by the Clayton General Land Use Plan.
- At the time the East Clayton NCP was developed through the public consultation process, the residents and property owners within the Aloha Estates neighbourhood of East Clayton indicated that they were not in favour of redeveloping their properties but were willing to support a Half-Acre Residential designation for their neighbourhood.
- In recent years, there has been interest in amending the current Half-Acre Residential designation in order to permit redevelopment of the area.
- On May 27, 2013, the owners of three properties in Aloha Estates (7091 - 193 Street, 19289-71 Avenue, and 7130-192 Street) submitted Application No. 7913-0111-00 to rezone and subdivide these properties into a mix of small single family lots and a remnant parcel for future multi-family development.
- As the above-noted application did not comply with the Half-Acre Residential designation within the East Clayton NCP, the Planning \& Development Department consequently sought Council authorization to proceed with the preparation of an infill development plan to guide redevelopment for the entire Aloha Estates neighbourhood.
- Following a public consultation process, staff prepared the Aloha Estates Infill Area Concept Plan ("Aloha Estates Plan") (Appendix VII), which was approved by Council on October 28, 2013 (Corporate Report No. R219). As noted in Corporate Report No. R219, the intent of the Aloha Estates Plan is to guide future amendments to the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan ("NCP") through individual land development applications.


## Site Context

- The approximately 1.14-hectare (2.81-ac.) subject site is located along the north boundary of the Aloha Estates neighbourhood of East Clayton between 193 Street and 194 Street.
- The subject site is designated "Urban" in the Official Community Plan (OCP), "Half-Acre Residential" in the East Clayton NCP, and "Townhouse 20-25 upa" in the Aloha Estates Plan, and is zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)".


## Justification for NCP Amendment

- The applicant is seeking an amendment to the East Clayton NCP to redesignate the subject site from "Half-Acre Residential" to "15-25 upa (Medium - High Density)".
- The intent of the Aloha Estates Plan is to guide future amendments to the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) through individual land development applications in the plan area.
- The proposed East Clayton NCP designation is consistent with the land use designation in the Aloha Estates Plan. For this reason, the proposed NCP amendment has merit.


## Current Application

- In addition to the proposed amendment to the East Clayton NCP, the applicant proposes to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" and to obtain a Development Permit in order to allow the development of fifty-two (52) three-storey townhouse units in ten (10) buildings.
- With a proposed floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.0 and a proposed unit density of 59 uph (units per hectare) or 24 upa (units per acre), the proposed development is consistent with the "Townhouse 20-25 upa" designation in the Aloha Estates Plan and the "15-25 upa (Medium-High Density)" designation in the East Clayton NCP.
- The applicant proposes a 1.0 floor area ratio (FAR) which is above the maximum o.9 FAR permitted in the RM-3o Zone. The applicant is therefore requesting rezoning to a CD Zone, based on the RM-3o Zone, to allow for this proposed FAR increase. As a rationale for permitting this increase, the applicant is proposing to construct a townhouse development that attains the high efficiency "Energy Star" green building standard, resulting in a townhouse development that improves upon the British Columbia Building Code (BCBC) requirements for energy use by at least $20 \%$.
- The West Clayton NCP, which was approved on July 27, 2015, includes "Energy Efficient Density Bonusing Policy" as a method to reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The City of Surrey has committed to reducing per capita GHG emissions by $33 \%$ by 2020 and by $80 \%$ by 2050 (from 2007 levels), as outlined in the City's OCP.
- The applicant and City staff believes that there is an opportunity to apply the requirements in Energy Efficient Density Bonusing Policy to the subject application. Similar neighbouring applications are also proceeding under this green building initiative in Aloha Estates (File Nos. 7915-0050-00 and 7916-0158-00, both currently at Third Reading).
- In order to apply the requirements in the Energy Efficient Density Bonusing Policy, the applicant will be required to register a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant on the subject site agreeing to comply with specific requirements of the policy. In particular, at the time of building permit, the proposed development must:

0 provide a security bond to the City of approximately $1 \%$ of building construction costs, to be released after final occupancy; and

0 attain third party certification that the development improves the energy efficiency of the development by at least $20 \%$ above the BC Building Code, based on an independent rating standard (such as "Energy Star" or similar).

- In addition, the applicant is proposing reduced building setbacks along all property lines, from the standard 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) in the RM- 30 Zone to a minimum of 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .).
- The site is oriented towards public frontages on all four sides, fronting 72 Avenue to the north, the new Aloha Drive to the south, a new 193A Street to the east and 193 Street to the west. As a result of these public frontages, the proposed townhouse units have been designed with a "front of unit expression" with habitable rooms and front entrance porches to provide for a strong, pedestrian-oriented presence.


## Proposed CD By-law

- The proposed CD By-law (see Appendix IX) is based on the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)", with modifications to density, and building setback requirements.
- The maximum allowable floor area ratio (FAR) is proposed to be increased from the o.9 FAR in the RM-30 Zone to 1.0 FAR. In order to increase the allowable density, the applicant has agreed to comply with the Energy Efficient Density Bonusing Policy developed for West Clayton.
- The maximum allowable unit density of 24 upa is less than the 30 upa permitted in the RM- 30 Zone, to reflect the proposed 52 -unit development.
- Although the proposed FAR is higher than the RM-30 Zone allows, the proposed site plan still accommodates appropriate building design and massing, indoor and outdoor amenity space and private yard spaces, tree preservation, setbacks and off-street parking.
- The setbacks in the CD By-law are proposed to be reduced from those in the RM-3o Zone, to allow for better public frontage-oriented units along street-fronting property lines (north, south, east and west), and are in accordance with the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan design guidelines.
- Tandem parking provisions are modified from the RM-30 Zone, permitted a maximum of 2 dwelling units (i.e. $4 \%$ ) with tandem parking, versus $50 \%$ permitted in the RM-30 Zone. The tandem parking spaces will be fully enclosed.
- The table below shows a comparison between the RM-30 Zone and the proposed CD By-law:

|  | RM-30 Zone | Proposed CD By-law |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Units Per Acre (upa) | 30 | 24 |
| Lot Coverage | 45\% | 40\% |
| Building Height <br> Principal <br> Amenity Building <br> Accessory | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \mathrm{~m}(43 \mathrm{ft} .) \\ & 11 \mathrm{~m}(36 \mathrm{ft} .) \\ & 4.5 \mathrm{~m}(15 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5 \mathrm{~m}(31 \mathrm{ft} .) \\ & 6.5 \mathrm{~m}(21 \mathrm{ft} .) \\ & 5.5 \mathrm{~m}(18 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ |
| Building Setbacks | 7.5 m ( 25 ft .) from all lot lines | 4 m (13 ft.) from all lot lines |
| Tandem Parking | 50\% | 2 dwelling units (i.e. 4\% ) |

## Access and Road Dedication Requirements

- The proposed townhouse development will have one vehicular access from 193A Street.
- The applicant will be required to dedicate and construct 193 Street, 193A Street and Aloha Drive to the neo-traditional Through Local Road standard.
- The proposed 193A Street has been relocated approximately 50 metres ( 165 ft .) to the west from the location within the Aloha Estates Infill Area Land Use Concept Plan (see Appendix VII). The applicant worked with staff and the neighbouring development to the west and relocation was confirmed acceptable to all parties.


## Public Art Policy

- The applicant will be required to provide public art in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy requirement. The applicant will be required to resolve this requirement prior to consideration of Final Adoption.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent out on March 6, 2017 and a Development Sign was installed on March 6, 2017. Staff did not receive any responses.

## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

- The applicant proposes to construct a 52-unit, 3-storey townhouse development.
- The townhouse units are all three-bedroom units, and range in size from 122 square metres ( 1,335 sq. ft.) to 175 square metres ( 1,884 sq. ft.).
- Of the 52 townhouse units 50 will contain an attached, side-by-side double garage and 2 units will contain tandem parking. All units will contain a flex-room located at grade. Those units along the public frontages incorporate a front porch and flex-room oriented towards their respective public frontage.
- The kitchen, dining, family and living rooms of each unit are located on the second floor, with bedrooms on the third floor.
- The townhouses are contemporary in character incorporating substantial windows into the design. The proposed major exterior finishes on the townhouses consist of dark grey and beige hardie-plank and hardie-panel siding. The applicant proposes accent colours (orange, red or yellow) for the doors which residents will choose. To create stronger street presence the units fronting streets include additional windows and details such as wraparound balconies.
- Each unit will have a small private ground level patio or front yard space that provides for individual outdoor use opportunities.


## Indoor Amenity Space

- A 157.6-square metre ( 1,696 sq.ft.), two-storey amenity building is located in the southern portion of the proposed townhouse development. The proposed CD Zone requires that 156 square metres ( $1,679 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) of indoor amenity space be provided ( $3.0 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. / $32 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. per dwelling unit).
- The main floor of the amenity building consists of a lounge area with partial kitchen and accessible restroom. The second floor of the amenity building consists of a second lounge area and games area.


## Landscaping and Outdoor Amenity Space

- Landscaping consisting of Maple, Katsura, Spruce and Japanese Snowbell trees interspersed with shrubs and perennials will be planted throughout the development.
- In addition, as per the Site Design Development Permit Guidelines for publically accessible open space within the new Official Community Plan, the applicant will be installing four corner plazas on private property at the intersections adjacent to all four corners of the site. These plazas will consist of trees, shrub planting, special paving and a bench.
- The proposed CD Zone, like the RM-3o Zone, requires that 156 square metres ( 1,679 sq.ft.) of outdoor amenity space be provided ( $3.0 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. / $32 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. per dwelling unit). The applicant is providing a total of 244.5 square metres ( 2,632 sq.ft.) within two areas which meets the requirement.
- The programmed portion of the outdoor amenity space will consist of a children's play area to the west of the amenity building. The majority of the outdoor amenity space is grassed play field encircled by trees.


## Parking

- A total of 104 resident parking spaces and 10 visitor parking space are to be provided on-site. The parking spaces to be provided meet the minimum parking requirements in Surrey Zoning By-law No. 12000.
- The off-street resident parking spaces will be provided in a double side-by-side parking arrangement for each 50 of the 52 proposed units and two (2) units will have tandem parking.


## TREES

- Vanessa Melney and Mike Fadum, ISA Certified Arborists of Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder/ Cottonwood | 9 | 9 | o |
| Deciduous Trees(excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Cherry | 5 | 5 | o |
| English Oak | 1 | 1 | o |
| Magnolia | 4 | 4 | o |
| Maple | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Pin Oak | 1 | o | 1 |
| Weeping Willow | 6 | 6 | o |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Dawn Redwood | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Deodar Cedar | 52 | 51 | 1 |
| Douglas Fir | 5 | 5 | O |
| False Cypress | 2 | 2 | o |
| Giant Sequoia | 2 | 2 | o |
| Norway Spruce | 1 | 1 | o |
| Sitka Spruce | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Western Red Cedar | 1 | 1 | o |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 84 | 81 | 3 |
| Additional Trees in the proposed Open Space / Riparian Area | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 169 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 172 |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Fund |  | \$2,000 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 84 mature trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Nine (9) existing trees, approximately $10 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that 3 trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication, sidewalk construction and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 171 replacement trees on the site. Since only 169 replacement trees can be accommodated on the site, the deficit of 2 replacement trees will require a cash-
in-lieu payment of $\$ 800$, representing $\$ 400$ per tree, to the Green City Fund, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted along all street frontages. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Maple, Sweet Gum, Katsura, Spruce and Japanese Snowbell trees.
- In summary, a total of 172 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with a contribution of $\$ 800$ to the Green City Fund.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on June 29, 2017. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Site Context \& Location <br> (A1-A2) | - Consistent with the Aloha Estates Infill Plan area. |
| 2. Density \& Diversity (Bı-B7) | - The proposed development provides 3-bedroom townhouse units of varying unit size. |
| 3. Ecology \& Stewardship (C1-C4) | - The development incorporates Low Impact Development Standards, such as perforated pipes, absorbent soils and rain water detention areas. <br> - The development will retain 3 existing, mature trees on the site. No other trees are feasible for retention. |
| 4. Sustainable Transport \& Mobility ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D} 2$ ) | - Each unit will provide opportunities for bike storage. <br> - Connected to off-site pedestrian and multiuse pathways. |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety (E1-E3) | - The development incorporates CPTED principles, such as providing "eyes on the street", and provides outdoor amenity space accessible to and suitable for different age groups. |
| 6. Green Certification (F1) | - The applicant will attain third party certification of an independent green building standard, such as Energy Star or similar, in order to achieve energy efficiency of at least $20 \%$ beyond the requirements of the BC Building Code. |
| 7. Education \& Awareness (G1-G4) | - A Public Hearing for the rezoning will be held. <br> - The Cloverdale Community Association was consulted by the applicant in the development of the site plan. <br> - New owners will receive maintenance manuals for the project. |

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

This application was not referred to the ADP but was reviewed by staff and found satisfactory.

## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Proposed Subdivision Layout, Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI. East Clayton NCP Plan
Appendix VII. Aloha Estates Plan
Appendix VIII. Proposed CD By-law
original signed by Ron Gill

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development

JKS/da

## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Douglas Johnson

Douglas R. Johnson Architect Ltd.
Address: $\quad 901$ - West 3rd Street, Unit 374
North Vancouver, BC $\mathrm{V}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{9}$
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 19342-72 Avenue 19372-72 Avenue
(b) Civic Address: 19342-72 Avenue Owner: Ekam 68 Project Ltd PID: 005-240-492 Lot 18 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 54452
(c) Civic Address: 19372-72 Avenue Owner: Ekam 68 Project Ltd PID: 005-240-522
Lot 19 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 54452
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce a By-law to rezone the site.

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Proposed/Existing Zoning: CD (Based on RM-30)

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 11,380 m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Road Widening area |  | 2,459 m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Net Total |  | 8,921 m ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 45\% | 40\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  | 16\% |
| Total Site Coverage |  | 56\% |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| South Yard (Aloha Drive): | 7.5 m | 4.0 m |
| East Yard (193A Street) | 7.5 m | 4.0 m |
| West Yard (193 Street) | 7.5 m | 4.0 m |
| North Yard (72 Avenue) | 7.5 m | 4.0 m |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 13 m | 9.5 m |
| Amenity Building | 11 m | 6.5 m |
| Other Accessory Buildings | 4.5 m | 5.5 m |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  | N/A |
| One Bed |  | N/A |
| Two Bedroom |  | N/A |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 52 |
| Total |  | 52 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 8,946 m ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  | N/A |
| Retail |  | N/A |
| Office |  | N/A |
| Total |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 8,946 m² |

[^0]
## Development Data Sheet cont'd



| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development<br>- North Surrey Division<br>Planning and Development Department<br>FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department

DATE:
June 8, 2017
PROJECT FILE: $\quad$ 7816-0174-00
RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements
Location: 19342/72-72 Avenue

## NCP AMENDMENT

There are no engineering requirements for to the NCP Amendment beyond those noted below.

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- dedicate 3.808 -metre along 72 Avenue for the ultimate 32-metre arterial road;
- dedicate 10.0-metre along 193 Street to achieve the ultimate 20.0-metre local road;
- dedicate 11.5 -metre for 194 Street to achieve the minimum half road standard, ultimately 14.5 -metre flex road, if this application proceeds in advance of 7816-0158-00. If 7816-0158oo precedes this application, adequate dedication will be required to complete the 14.5-metre dedication;
- dedicate 11.5 -metre for Aloha Drive for half road of ultimate 20.0 metre local road;
- dedicate corner cuts; and
- register 0.5 -metre statutory right-of-ways along all road frontages.


## Works and Services

- construct east side of 193 Street, west side of 194 Street and north side of Aloha Drive to the local road standard;
- construct onsite drainage features in accordance the East Clayton NCP (as amended); and
- construct storm, water, and sanitary mains and service connections to service the development.
A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements for the Development Permit beyond those noted above.


Rémi Dubé, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
SK2

## Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

## SUMMARY

The proposed
52 townhouse units
are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:

## Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 10 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Secondary Students: | 5 |

September 2018 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Hazelgrove Elementary |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $86 \mathrm{~K}+578$ |  |
| Capacity (K/1-7): | $80 \mathrm{~K}+450$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| Clayton Heights Secondary | 1359 |  |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1000 |  |
| Nominal Capacity (8-12): | 1080 |  |
| Functional Capacity*(8-12); |  |  |

## School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:

The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Hazelgrove Elementary, which opened in 2009, is currently over capacity. Katize, Hazelgrove and Clayton Elementary have a combined capacity utilization of $130 \%$. A boundary change recently increased the size of Hazelgrove's catchment to help relieve pressure on neighbouring catchments. Funding approval has been granted for a new 605 student elementary school (site 184 on 78 Ave) and is expected to open in 2019 or 2020 . Another 605 students elementary school has been requested as the second priority in the District's 5-Year Capital Plan. The District has received capital project approval for the new 1,500 student Salish Secondary that will relieve overcrowding at Clayton Heights Secondary and Lord Tweedsmuir Secondary. Until new elementary and secondary space is built and much needed subsequent approvals are granted, the schools in this area remain under extreme enrolment pressure.

## Hazelgrove Elementary



Clayton Heights Secondary

*Functional Capacity at secondary schools is based on space utilization estimate of 27 students per instructional space. The number of instructional spaces is estimated by dividing nominal facility capacity (Ministry capacity) by 25.

MIKE FADUM AND ASSOCIATES LTD.
VEGETATION CONSULTANTS
Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder/ Cottonwood | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| Deciduous Trees <br> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Cherry | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Magnolia | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Maple | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Oak, English | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Oak, Pin | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Willow, Weeping | 6 | 6 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cedar, Deodar | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Cedar, Western Red | 52 | 51 | 1 |
| Douglas-fir | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Falsecypress | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Redwood, Dawn | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Sequoia, Giant | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Spruce, Norway | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Spruce, Sitka | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 84 | 81 | 3 |
| Additional Trees in the proposed Open Space / Riparian Area | NA | NA | NA |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  |  |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  |  |  |

## Tree Preservation Summary

## Surrey Project No: 16-0174-00

Address: 19342 \& 19372 72 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, Surrey, BC
Registered Arborist: Vanessa Melney

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 93 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 90 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 3 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $9 X$ one $(1)=9$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio 81 X two (2) = 162 | 171 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | TBD |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | TBD |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | NA |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 30 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times$ one (1) $=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $30 X \text { two }(2)=60$ | 60 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | TBD |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | TBD |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.

| Signature of Arborist: PER | Date: June 29, 2017 |
| :--- | :--- |








BYLAW NO.

A by-law to amend Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended

THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Surrey ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, is hereby further amended, pursuant to the provisions of Section 479 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C. 2015 c. 1, as amended by changing the classification of the following parcels of land, presently shown upon the maps designated as the Zoning Maps and marked as Schedule "A" of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended as follows:

FROM: ONE-ACRE RESIDENTIAL ZONE (RA)
TO: COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT ZONE (CD)

Parcel Identifier: 005-240-492
Lot 18 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 54452
19342-72 Avenue
Parcel Identifier: 005-240-522
Lot 19 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 54452
19372-72 Avenue
(hereinafter referred to as the "Lands")
2. The following regulations shall apply to the Lands:

## A. Intent

This Comprehensive Development Zone is intended to accommodate and regulate the development of medium density, ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings and related amenity spaces which are to be development in accordance with a comprehensive design, where density bonus is provided.

## B. Permitted Uses

The Lands and structures shall be used for the following uses only, or for a combination of uses, provided such combined uses are part of a comprehensive design:

1. Ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings.
2. Child care centres, provided that such centres:
(a) Do not constitute a singular use on the lot; and
(b) Do not exceed a total area of 3.0 square metres [32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit.

## C. Lot Area

Not applicable to this Zone.

## D. Density

1. The maximum floor area ratio shall not exceed 0.1 and the unit density shall not exceed 2.5 dwelling units per hectare [1 u.p.a.]. The maximum density of development may be increased to that prescribed in Section D. 2 of this Zone if amenities are provided in accordance with Schedule G of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. (a) The floor area ratio shall not exceed 1.0; and
(b) The unit density shall not exceed 59 dwelling units per hectare [24 u.p.a.].
3. The indoor amenity space required in Sub-section J.1(b) of this Zone is excluded from the calculation of floor area ratio.

## E. Lot Coverage

The lot coverage shall not exceed $40 \%$.

## F. Yards and Setbacks

1. Buildings and structures shall be sited in accordance with the following minimum setbacks:

| Setback | West <br> Yard | East <br> Yard | North <br> Yard | South <br> Yard |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Use |  |  |  |  |
| Principal Buildings and 4.0 m 4.0 m 4.0 m | 4.0 m |  |  |  |
| Accessory Buildings and | [13 ft.] | $[13 \mathrm{ft}$ ] | $[13 \mathrm{ft}]$. | $[13 \mathrm{ft}]$ |
| Structures |  |  |  |  |

Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. Notwithstanding the definition of setback in Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, eaves may encroach up to a maximum of o. 8 metre [ 2.6 ft .] into the required setbacks for roofs over entryways.

## G. Height of Buildings

Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

1. Principal buildings: The building height shall not exceed 9.5 metres [ 31 ft.$]$.
2. Accessory buildings and structures:
(a) Indoor amenity space buildings: The building height shall not exceed 6.5 metres [21 ft.]; and
(b) Other accessory buildings and structures: The building height shall not exceed 5.5 metres [ 18 ft .].

## H. Off-Street Parking

1. Resident and visitor parking spaces shall be provided as stated in Table C.1. of Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. All required resident parking spaces shall be provided as parking within building envelope.
3. Parking within the required setbacks is not permitted.
4. Resident parking spaces may be provided as tandem parking provided:
(a) A maximum of 2 dwelling units on the Lands may contain tandem parking spaces;
(b) Dwelling units with tandem parking spaces shall not be permitted direct vehicular access to an adjacent highway;
(c) Tandem parking spaces must be attached to each dwelling unit; and
(d) Both tandem parking spaces must be held by the same owner.

## I. Landscaping

1. All developed portions of the lot not covered by buildings, structures or paved areas shall be landscaped including the retention of mature trees. This landscaping shall be maintained.
2. Along the developed sides of the lot which abut a highway, a continuous landscaping strip of not less than 1.5 metres [ 5 ft .] in width shall be provided within the lot.
3. The boulevard areas of highways abutting a lot shall be seeded or sodded with grass on the side of the highway abutting the lot, except at driveways.
4. Garbage containers and passive recycling containers shall be screened to a height of at least 2.5 metres [ 8 ft .] by buildings, a landscaping screen, a solid decorative fence, or a combination thereof.

## J. Special Regulations

1. Amenity space shall be provided on the lot as follows:
(a) Outdoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [ 32 sq. ft.] per dwelling unit and shall not be located within the required setbacks; and
(b) Indoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [32 sq. ft.] per dwelling unit.
2. Child care centres shall be located on the lot such that these centres:
(a) Are accessed from a highway, independent from the access to the residential use permitted in Section B of this Zone; and
(b) Have direct access to an open space and play area within the lot.

Lots created through subdivision in this Zone shall conform to the following minimum standards:

| Lot Size | Lot Width | Lot Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| o.8 hectares | 65 metres | 70 metres |
| $[2$ acres $]$ | $[213 \mathrm{ft}]$ | $[230 \mathrm{ft}]$. |

Dimensions shall be measured in accordance with Section E. 21 of Part 4 General Provisions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

## L. Other Regulations

In addition to all statutes, bylaws, orders, regulations or agreements, the following are applicable, however, in the event that there is a conflict with the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone and other provisions in Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone shall take precedence:

1. Definitions are as set out in Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. Prior to any use, the Lands must be serviced as set out in Part 2 Uses Limited, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended and in accordance with the servicing requirements for the RM-30 Zone as set forth in the Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, as amended.
3. General provisions are as set out in Part 4 General Provisions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
4. Additional off-street parking requirements are as set out in Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
5. Sign regulations are as set out in Surrey Sign By-law, 1999, No. 13656, as amended.
6. Special building setbacks are as set out in Part 7 Special Building Setbacks, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
7. Building permits shall be subject to the Surrey Building Bylaw, 2012, No. 17850, as amended.
8. Building permits shall be subject to Surrey Development Cost Charge By-law, 2016, No. 18664, as may be amended or replaced from time to time, and the development cost charges shall be based on the RM-30 Zone.
9. Tree regulations are set out in Surrey Tree Protection By-law, 2006, No. 16100, as amended.
10. Development permits may be required in accordance with the Surrey Official Community Plan By-law, 2013, No. 18020, as amended.
11. Provincial licensing of child care centres is regulated by the Community Care and Assisted Living Act R.S.B.C. 2002. c. 75, as amended, and the Regulations pursuant thereto including without limitation B.C. Reg 319/89/213.
12. This By-law shall be cited for all purposes as "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, , No. ."

PASSED FIRST READING on the th day of , 20 .
PASSED SECOND READING on the th day of , 20 .
PUBLIC HEARING HELD thereon on the th day of ,20 .
PASSED THIRD READING on the th day of , 20 .
RECONSIDERED AND FINALLY ADOPTED, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal on the th day of , 20 .


[^0]:    *If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

