

# City of Surrey PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT File: $\quad$ 7915-0337-00 

Planning Report Date: September 12, 2016

## PROPOSAL:

- NCP Amendment from Detention Pond, Townhouses ( 15 upa max) and Proposed Schools \& Parks to Townhouses ( 20 upa max), Single Family Residential Flex 6-14 upa and Proposed Schools and Parks, with road network and park boundary adjustment
- Rezoning from RA to RF-12 and RM-30
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 57 townhouses, 2 single family small lots and one park lot.

LOCATION: 6173-144 Street and Portion of 62 Avenue
OWNER: Surinder S Purewall
ZONING:
RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: Proposed School \& Park, Detention Pond, Townhouses (15 upa max)

## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Seeking variances to the setbacks of the Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30).
- Seeking amendments to the South Newton NCP, including the removal of the Detention Pond designation, a housekeeping amendment to Proposed Schools and Parks, road network and park boundary adjustment, and to re-designate a portion of the site from Townhouses ( 15 upa max) to Townhouses (20 upa max) and Single Family Residential Flex 6-14 upa.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the OCP Designation of the site.
- The proposed amendment to the South Newton NCP is supportable, as the detention pond is no longer required, the adjustment to the road network and park boundary allows for a more functional park layout, and the proposed density is consistent with the existing density of the townhouse site to the north, and other recently approved townhouse projects to the south along 144 Street.
- The proposed amendment reflects the intent of the NCP to establish ground-oriented units, and further supports a variety of housing choices.
- The development incorporates significant tree retention.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the South Newton Plan.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of South Newton.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA )" to:

- "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)" for the portion of the site shown as Block A on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Single Family Residential (12) Zone (RF-12) " for the portion of the site shown as Block B on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
and a date be set for Public Hearing.

2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7915-0337-0o generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
3. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7915-0337-oo (Appendix IX) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum north yard of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.6 metres ( 15 ft .) for proposed buildings 3,4 and 5 ;
(b) to reduce the minimum east yard of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building, and 3.6 metres ( 12 ft .) to the front porch along 144 Street for proposed buildings 5 and 6;
(c) to reduce the minimum east yard of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for proposed building 11 ;
(d) to reduce the minimum west yard of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building, and 3.3 metres ( 11 ft .) to the front porch for proposed buildings 12 and 13;
(e) to reduce the minimum south yard of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.8 metres ( 16 ft .) for the building, and 3.3 metres ( 11 ft .) to the front porch along 61A Avenue for proposed building 11;
(f) to reduce the minimum south yard of the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for proposed building 8 ; and
(g) to allow for parking within the east setback of the RM-3o Zone, for visitor stall \#10 and \#11.
4. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) resolution of all urban design issues, including the Fire Department's concerns with entrance locations within Buildings 5 and 6, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) completion of the road closure and acquisition of a portion of 62 Avenue;
(h) submission of an acoustical report for the units adjacent to 144 Street and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures;
(i) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space; and
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture.
5. Council pass a resolution to amend the South Newton NCP to re-designate the land from Detention Pond, Townhouses ( 15 upa max) and Proposed Schools \& Parks to Townhouses (20 upa max), Single Family Residential Flex 6-14 upa and Proposed Schools and Parks, as well as an adjustment to the road network and park boundary when the project is considered for final adoption.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

Projected number of students from this development:
16 Elementary students at Goldstone Park Elementary School 10 Secondary students at Sullivan Heights Secondary School

## (Appendix IV)

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by December 2018.

Parks requests that any fencing adjacent to parkland be permeable, located on the private property line, and not higher than 1.2 metres ( 4 ft .) in height. Landscape material at mature growth should not exceed 1.2 metres ( 4 ft .) in height to protect sight lines over time. This applies specifically to the future RF-12 lot north of the proposed park. Fence height should be limited to 1.2 metres ( 4 ft .) in height through restrictive covenant or within the building scheme.

Surrey Fire Department: $\quad$ Surrey Fire Department requests the site to be addressed from 61A Avenue and that units in Blocks 5 and 6 be provided with an entrance facing the internal driveway. The applicant has confirmed that these requirements will be resolved prior to Final Adoption.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: single family acreage property.
Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP / NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North: | Townhouses | Urban / Townhouses (20 <br> upa max) | CD (By-law <br> No. 16268) |
| East (Across <br> 144 Street): | Sullivan Heights Secondary <br> School | Urban / Existing \& Future <br> School | A-1 |
| South: | Single family acreage property | Urban / Townhouses (15 upa <br>  <br> Park, and Detention Pond | RA |
| West: | Single family acreage property <br> under development application <br> for single family small lots <br> (Development Application No. <br> 7916-0326-oo) | Urban / Single Family <br> Residential Flex 6-14 upa <br> and Single Family Small Lots | RA |

## JUSTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- The South Newton NCP designates this property as "Townhouses (15 upa max)", "Detention Pond", and "Proposed Schools \& Parks". The applicant is proposing an amendment to "Townhouses (20 upa max)" and "Single Family Residential Flex 6-14 upa", and an adjustment to the road network and park boundary (Appendix VII).
- The detention pond is no longer required, and the adjustment to the road network and park boundary will allow for a more functional park layout and reflects previously approved changes to the road network on adjacent sites. The amendment will also facilitate the development of a 57 -unit townhouse development (density of 47.4 units per hectare [ 19.2 upa]) and two small single family lots. The park area included in this application is 1,538 square metres ( 16,555 sq. ft.).
- The applicant is proposing a maximum unit density of 47.4 units per hectare (19.2 u.p.a) for the townhouse portion of the development. This density is consistent with development to the north, and other recently approved townhouse projects to the south of the subject site along 144 Street.
- The proposed amendment reflects the intent of the NCP to establish ground-oriented units, and further supports a variety of housing choices.
- The applicant is also proposing significant tree retention as part of the proposal.


## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

- The 1.2-hectare (3.0-acre) subject site is located on the west side of 144 Street, north of 61A Avenue in South Newton.
- The subject site is designated Urban in the Official Community Plan (OCP), zoned One-Acre Residential (RA) Zone, and designated Proposed School \& Park, Detention Pond, Townhouses ( 15 upa max) in the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The applicant proposes the following:
o An amendment to the South Newton NCP from Detention Pond and Townhouses (15 upa max) to Townhouses (20 upa max), with a housekeeping amendment to Proposed Schools and Parks, road network and park boundary adjustment, and the addition of Single Family Residential Flex 6-14 upa;
o Rezoning from One-Acre Residential (RA) Zone and Comprehensive Development Zone to Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30) and Single Family Residential (12) Zone (RF-12), and a Development Permit to permit the development of 57 townhouse units, 2 proposed RF-12 lots, and a proposed park lot; and
o A Development Variance Permit to vary building setbacks (see By-law Variance section).
- The closure and purchase of an unopened road allowance for 62 Avenue along the northern portion of the site is also proposed for consolidation with the proposed townhouse site.
- The townhouse proposal comprises a total floor area of 9,443 square metres ( $101,650 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) representing a net floor area ratio (FAR) of 0.8 , which is below the maximum 0.9 FAR allowed in the RM-30 Zone.
- Two RF-12 lots are proposed north of the proposed park. These lots are part of a parks reconfiguration, proposed to allow for more usable parkland.
- An amendment to the South Newton NCP is proposed to allow for small lots. The area of the proposed lots is proposed to be amended to Single Family Residential Flex 6-14 upa.
- The two proposed lots are Type I RF-12 lots, with 12 metres ( 40 ft .) minimum frontage and minimum area of 320 square metres ( $3,445 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.). The proposed lots will provide an adequate transition between the townhouses to the east and small lots to the west.
- The proposed lots will have access from the new 62 Avenue / 61 A Avenue.
- The applicant has retained Tynan Consulting Ltd. as the design consultant. The design consultant conducted a character study of the surrounding homes and based on the findings, has proposed a set of building design guidelines (summary attached as Appendix V).
- The proposal allows for the expansion of the Woodward Hill Park through the acquisition of approximately 1,538 square metres ( 16,555 sq. ft.) of parkland, which follows the requirements of the South Newton NCP.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on July 27, 2016 to 430 properties within 100 metres ( 328 ft .) of the subject site, including the Panorama Neighbourhood Association and Sullivan Amateur Athletic and Community Association. A development proposal sign was also installed on the site on August 10, 2016. In response, staff have received five emails and one phone call. A summary is provided below with staff comments provided in italics:

- Timelines for the application and clarification on what the application was for.
(Additional information was provided and the resident did not have any additional comments or concerns).
- School Capacity
(The School District has received funding to add capacity to some of the elementary schools in the neighbourhood, and has requested additional funding for an additional elementary school in the area, as well as funding to increase capacity for secondary schools).
- Increased demand for parks
(Expansion of the Woodward Hill Park is planned as part of this development ( 1,538 square metres [16,555 sq. ft.] of parkland will be acquired as part of this application), and as part of the South Newton NCP. Additional parkland is also to be acquired as development occurs to the south).
- Parking demand
(The applicant is providing resident and visitor parking to meet the Zoning By-law requirements. The extension of 62 Avenue / 61 A Avenue will also provide additional on-street parking. All units are proposed with a double car garage parking arrangement).


## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

## Townhouses

- The proposal consists of fifty-seven (57) three-storey townhouse units that are contained within 13 buildings. All of the units are provided as three-bedroom townhouse units and include two resident's parking spaces. The proposal also includes an indoor amenity building and an outdoor amenity area centrally located on the north portion of the site.
- Individual unit entries are provided to both 61A Avenue and 144 Street. The number of dwelling units within each individual building varies from 3 to 6 units.
- All units are proposed with double car garage parking arrangement.
- Building materials include hardie shingle siding, horizontal vinyl siding, vinyl shingle siding, brick veneer, decorative wood column and louvre, hardie plank siding and high profile asphalt shingle roofing. The colour scheme is coal creek-mission, western juniper, autumn maple, stratus, and whitewater bay (Appendix VIII). The natural slope along 144 Street will increase roof articulation along that elevation.
- An acoustical report is required for units facing 144 Street, and recommendations from the report will be incorporated on the drawings before issuance of the Development Permit.


## Landscaping

- Landscaping is provided along all property lines, with two groups of trees retained at the centre of the site.
- The proposed landscaping includes a variety of plants, including Glossy Abelia, Little-Leaf Box, Common Boxwood, Winged Burning Bush, Pinky Winky Hyndrangea, Japanese Holly, Honeysuckle Lemon Beauty, False Holly, Portuguese Laurel, Rhododendron Yellowmay, Himalayan Sweet Box, Goldflame Spirea, Hick's Yew, Emerald Green Cedar, David's Viburnum, Gwenllian Spring Bouquet, as well as a variety of shrubs, grass and perennials.


## Vehicular Access \& Parking

- Access to the subject site will be provided on 62 Avenue, at the northwest corner of the site.
- A temporary turn-around will be provided between units \#47 and \#48, that will be utilized until 61A Avenue connects to 144 Street. This connection will be achieved as part of future developments.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 114 resident parking spaces and 11 visitor parking spaces, which meets the parking requirements of the Zoning By-law.


## Amenity Space

- The Zoning By-law requires 171 square metres ( $1,840 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of both indoor and outdoor amenity space for this project, based on 3 square metres ( 32 sq . ft.) per dwelling unit. The applicant proposes indoor and outdoor amenity spaces that exceed the minimum requirements.
- The applicant is providing 174 square metres ( 1,876 sq. ft .) of indoor amenity building, which is located centrally along the north portion of the townhouse site. The amenity building is proposed as a two-storey building with a meeting room, exercise room and two lounges.
- The outdoor amenity space totalling 642 square metres ( $6,918 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.), is provided adjacent to the indoor amenity space along the centre-north portion of the site, where a group of trees is proposed to be retained.
- The outdoor amenity areas consist of a patio, lawn, benches, a picnic table, and a tree house play structure.
- Meredith Mitchel, ISA Certified Arborist of M2 Landscape Architecture prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder | 10 | 10 | o |
| Cottonwood | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Deciduous Trees(excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Beech | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Paper Birch | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Red Maple | 1 | o | 1 |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Douglas Fir | 20 | 5 | 15 |
| Picea Omorika | 1 | o |  |
| Western Red Cedar | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 40 | 15 | 25 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 46 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 71 |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Fund |  | \$0 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 46 protected trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. 12 existing trees, approximately $26 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that 25 trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 45 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 46 replacement trees, exceeding City requirements.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Hedge Maple, Japanese Maple, Katsura Tree, Forest Pansy Redbud, White Wonder Dogwood, Magnolia, Weeping Siberian Spruce, and Amanosawa Cherry.
- In summary, a total of 71 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on August 23, 2016. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Site Context \& Location |  |
| (A1-A2) |  |$\quad$| - The proposal conforms with the site's OCP land use |
| :--- |
| designation. |
| - The proposal includes an NCP amendment to allow increased |
| density, to remove the detention pond that is no longer |
| required, and to do some housekeeping amendments to the |
| park layout and road configuration. |

## BY-LAW VARIANCE AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variance:

- Reduce the setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
o 4.6 metres ( 15 ft .) for the north yard setback for proposed buildings 3, 4 and 5;
o 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building, and 3.6 metres ( 12 ft .) to the front porch for the east yard setback along 144 Street for buildings 5 and 6;
03.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for the east side yard for proposed building 11 ;
$0 \quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) for the building, and 3.3 metres ( 11 ft .) to the front porch for the west yard setback for proposed buildings 12 and 13;
$0 \quad 4.8$ metres ( 16 ft .) for the building, and 3.3 metres ( 11 ft .) to the front porch along 61A Avenue for the south yard setback for proposed building 11 ; and
o 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for the south yard setback for proposed building 8 .


## Applicant's Reasons:

- Setback reductions along a public street frontage provide more interaction between the public realm and the private realm. This vastly improves livability of the units and the street.
- Setback reductions are better for CPTED design, as they provide "eyes on the street"
- Setback reductions allow for more density and for better use of decreasing land that is available for human habitation.
- Setbacks reductions assist in providing an open space in the centre of the site for tree retention and outdoor amenity space.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed setbacks are consistent with other recently approved developments in the area. The reduced setbacks along 144 Street and 61A Avenue provide a more urban streetscape.
- The proposed south setback of 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) is further reduced for side yard conditions. For back yard conditions, the setback is 6.0 metres ( 20 ft. ), and along 61A Avenue the south setback is proposed as 4.8 metres ( 16 ft .).
- The proposed north setback of 4.6 metres ( 15 ft .) is for side yard conditions. For back yard conditions as well as the proposed indoor amenity space, the setback is measured to 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .).
- Staff support the proposed variance to proceed to Public Notification.
(b) Requested Variance:
- Allow parking within the east setback of the RM-30 Zone for visitor parking stall \#1o and \#11.


## Applicant's Reasons:

- Moving parking spaces \#10 and \#11 to the east allows for additional tree retention.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed parking stall is adjacent to an internal drive aisle and not visible from the street.
- A setback reduction is proposed for the east side yard of building 11 to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .), and parking space \#1 is an additional 1.0 metre ( 3 ft .) to the east.
- The proposed relaxation assists with tree retention to the west of the proposed parking space.
- Staff support the proposed variance to proceed to Public Notification.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Survey Plan, Proposed Subdivision Layout, Offsite Servicing Plan, Site Plan, Building Elevations and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Building Design Guidelines Summary
Appendix VI. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VII. NCP Plan
Appendix VIII Proposed Exterior Color Scheme
Appendix IX. Development Variance Permit No. 7915-0337-00

## INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON FILE

- Complete Set of Architectural and Landscape Plans prepared by Barnett Dembek Architects Inc. and M2 Landscape Architecture respectively, dated June 1, 2016 and September 2, 2016
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
RJG/ar

## APPENDIX I HAS BEEN

REMOVED AS IT CONTAINS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

## SUBDIVISION DATA SHEET

Proposed Zoning: RF-12


Proposed Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 13,959 m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Road Widening area |  | 2,88o m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Undevelopable area (park + RF-12 area) |  | 2,317 m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Net Total |  | 12,018 m ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 45\% | 34\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  | 33\% |
| Total Site Coverage |  | 67\% |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| East |  |  |
| West |  |  |
| North |  |  |
| South |  |  |
| South at 61A Avenue |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 13 m | 10.7 m |
| Accessory | 11 m | 7.2 m |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  | - |
| One Bed |  | - |
| Two Bedroom |  | - |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 57 |
| Total |  | 57 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 9,395 m ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 9,395 m ${ }^{2}$ |

## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required <br> /Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  | $40.8 \mathrm{uph} / 16.5 \mathrm{upa}$ |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | $75 \mathrm{uph} / 30 \mathrm{upa}$ | $47.4 \mathrm{uph} / 19.2 \mathrm{upa}$ |
| FAR (gross) | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| FAR (net) |  | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) | $171 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $174 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Indoor |  | $642 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Outdoor |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) | 114 | 114 |
| Residential 3 Bed |  | 11 |
| Residential Visitors |  | 124.5 |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces |  | - |
| Number of disabled stalls |  | None |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of |  |  |
| Total Number of Units |  |  |
| 年 |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## NEST ELEVATION

SCHEDULE OF FINISHES

| (1) | HIGH PROFLE ASPHALT SHMGLE R ROFFING | (16) | HAPDIE PLANK SIDING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) | PREFINSHED AUMINM GUTIER | (17) | COncrete front Porch |
| (3) | $1 \times 4$ WCOD TRIM ON $2 \times 10$ WCOD FASCIA | (18) | $2 \times 6$ WOOD TRIM |
| (4) | KNEE BRACKET | (19) | POWDER COATED AUMINMM RALIMS |
| (5) | VIML FRANED WINDOWE CM $2 \times 6$ WOOD TRIM | (20) | HREDIE PANEL SIDING |
|  | $2 \times 10$ WOOD TRIM | (21) | EXIERICR LIGHT |
|  | HORIZONTAL VIMM SIDING |  |  |
|  | $2 \times 8$ WOOD TRIM |  |  |
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$\stackrel{\text { prolect }}{ }$
TOWNHOUSE
DEVEOPMENT 6173144 STT, SURREY, BC

| PART ONE-GENERAL |
| :---: |
| 1.1 COPYRIGHT <br> The Structural Soil specification is provided as an instrument of servite and remains the property of M2 landscape Arcchitecture. The information provided in this <br> part, without the permission of M2 Landscape Architecture. |
| 1.2 SCOPE OF WORK <br> 1 The work of this section shall govern the supply of all equipment, materials and labour necessary for the preparing and placing and conpacting Structural Soil Mix on a pemanco <br> 2 It is the intent that the structural soil nixture vill provide the necessary load bearing characteristics for light load hard surface paving areas while allowing and promoting the development of tree roots. The long tern goals the pronotion of healthy, long lived trees while reducing the potential negative implications of large scale root development under hard surface areas. 3 Refer to drawings for location and dimension of structural soil mixture. <br> 4. All other related work as described in the drawings ant/or this specification |
|  |
| 1.4 RELATED MASTER MUNCIPAL SPECIFICATIONS <br> Contractor to report all conflicts with civil engineering to Landscape Architect <br> Section 02210, Site Grading Section 02223, Extayating, I <br> Section 02223, Extayating, Trenching, and Batkfilling <br> Section 02666, Waterworks <br> Section 02721, Storn Sewers Section 02725, Manholes and Catch Basins |
| 15 STANDARDS <br> 1 BCSLA/BCLNA Landscape Standard (nost current edition) <br> 2 Canadian System of Soil Classification |
| 1.6 QUALITY ASSURANCE <br> 1 All structival soil material used in street tree planting shall be from a source approved by the Consultant and all simiar naterials supplied to the site shall be of similar <br> recognized femsting aggle sourcy approved dy thy the owner, at the Contractor's expense and indicating the particief size characteristics of the proposed material in written form as liaid out <br> 2 All nutritive admixutures to structural soil eaterial supplied to the site shall be from a source approved by the Consultant and all similar nutritive adnixtures supplied to the <br> site shall be of simiar nature and fron a single source. 14 days prior to supplying any nutrititye admixurure, inform the Consult and of proposed sourre and provide a copp of an <br> admyisture: <br> admixture: .21 Gravel, <br> Gravel, sand and fines content each as a $\%$ of dry weight mineral Organic naterial content as a percentage of dry weight. <br> 3 Aciaity Iphll <br> Salinity in nillimhos $/ \mathrm{cm}$ at 25 degrees C <br> Basic fertitity (fotal nilrogen avalible $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{I}$ Recommendation for incorporation of necessary anendments. <br> 3 Provide and pay for all required testing of materials proposed for use on this project. At the Consultant's discretion, all materials may be re-tested. Contractor will be <br> 隹 <br> 4 Cost of imported materiats shall include cost of modifications fron source to ensure that these materials meet specifications. <br> . 5 Acceptante of material at source does not preclude future re jection if naterial fails to conform to requirenents spetified. <br> . 6 Confirm compartion of subgrade and structural soil by Geotechnical Reports from qualified Geotechnical Engineer. <br> .7 Aggregate Test: 7.1 Provide source <br> 7.1 Provide source and sieve designation of intended aggregate material prior to ordering. 72. At the Landscape Architect's discretion, materials may be retested. Contractor is responsible for costs of testing if sample does meet specification and for correction of <br> any deficienty. .73 Subnit 25 kk sample of stone to Landscape Architect prior to mixing. Sample should be labelled to include source of material submitted. <br> ${ }_{8}^{8}$ Structural Soin Mix Designe <br>  <br> minimum 2 days prior to mixing samples. 82 Landscape Architects may request additional samples of Structural Soll mixture to be tested in the event that further refinement of the nixture is necessary. |
| 1.7 SCHEDULING <br> Obtain approval from Consultant of schedule 14 days in advance of structural soil preparation or delivery of material to site. Co-ordination of the installation of the <br> 2 Schedule to incluce; <br> 2 date for cormmencement of preparation of structural soil at source sub grade preparation at site <br> 23 shipping dates <br> 24 arrival dates on site 25 installation dates <br> 3 Schedule work to co-ordinate with installation of any drainage, irrigation, tree grate footings, lighting, paving etc. <br> 4 Complete work to ensure tree planting will occur under optimum conditions <br> 5 Do not handle or place structural soik mix in rain. |
| 1.8 FIELD REVIEW <br> Start up neeting with Consultant is required to confirm the areas of installation and nixing If not previously subnitted, ensure croving medium sample and test report, <br> Co-ordinate site meeting with Consultant at the following times <br> 22 irrigation installation <br> mixing of structural soil mixture <br> 24 installation of structural soil mix <br> sub grade preparation <br> 3 Where naterials are installed in phases, it is the contractors responsibitity to inform the Consultant of critical installation tines for each phase as noted in Section 18.2 |
| 1.9 SAMPLES <br> 1 Provide 2 kg samples of all materials required for the preparation of strutural soil mininun 14 days prior to conmencement of installation Sanples of all material shall be <br>  |
| 1.10 PRODUCT HANDLING <br> All materials used in the composition of structural soil shall not be prepared, worked or traveled upon when in a wet or frozen condition. <br> 2 Supply end handile dolonite line, fertiizer, stabilizer and other chenical amendments in standard, sealed, waterproof conta iners with net weight and proouctrtanalysyis clearly |
| 1.11 DELIVERY, STORAGE AND PROTECTION <br> 1 For structural soil prepared at source and delivered to site, detiver all materials to site in such a manner as to prevent damage to or separation of all materials used in the ion of structural soil. <br> 2 On-site storage of prepared structural soil shall be undertaken in such a manner as to prevent damage or separation of any materials. <br> 3 Structural soils to be installed as soon as practicable after nixing, any structural sols stored overnight whether on-site or at source shall be covered vith tarpaulin of <br> aterial approved by the Consultant until such tite as materials instailled <br> 4 All material to be stockpiled shall be protected in accordance With B. C. Ninistry of Environment guidelines. |
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INDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE 220 - 26 Lorne Mews V3M 3L7.
Tel: 604.553 .0044 Tel: 60.553 .50044
Fax 60.553 .0045
Email: office@mpla.com


TOWNHOUSE
DEVELOPMENT 6173144 ST, SURREY, BC

## structural soil SPECIFICATIONS

mail: office@mla.com

TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- South Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: September 6, $\mathbf{2 0 1 6} \quad$ PROJECT FILE: $\quad \mathbf{7 8 1 5}$-0337-00

## RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements

Location: 6173144 Street

## NCP AMENDMENT

There are no engineering requirements relative to the NCP Amendment.

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate 2.808 metres along 144 Street for the ultimate 30 metre Arterial Road Standard.
- Provide a 0.500 metre Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) along the development road frontages.
- Provide a SRW for a temporary turnaround at the east end of 62 Avenue.


## Works and Services

- Construct 62 Avenue to the Through Local Road Standard complete with 11.0 metre asphalt pavement, barrier curb and gutter, 1.50 metre concrete sidewalks adjacent to the property line, 3.0 metre topsoil and sod boulevards, and street lighting.
- Construct a temporary turnaround at the east end of 62 Avenue. The temporary turnaround is not to be located on the proposed Park Lot.
- Construct the ultimate driveway letdown and connection for 6299144 Street with cash-in-lieu previously provided under Surrey Project 7806-0144-oo.
- Construct 6.0 metre concrete letdowns to lots 1 and 2 . The driveways are to be paired.
- Construct a 7.3 metre concrete driveway letdown for Lot 3 to service the proposed townhouse development.
- Construct a $\mathbf{1 . 8 0 0}$ metre concrete sidewalk adjacent to the property line along 144 Street including upgrading of the existing bus stop to be funded by the City.
- Construct onsite stormwater management features per the NCP and Hyland Creek ISMP.
- Construct water, storm, and sanitary mains along 62 Avenue.
- Construct water, storm, and sanitary service connections to service each lot.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit.

## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Variance Permit.


Fon
Rémi Đubé, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager

CE4
NOTE: Detailed Land Development Engineering Review available on file

Wednesday, August 10, 2016 Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

## SUMMARY <br> The proposed <br> 2 single family

are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:

## Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 16 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Secondary Students: | 10 |

September 2015 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Goldstone Park Elementary |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $78 \mathrm{~K}+439$ |  |
| Capacity (K/1-7): |  |  |
|  |  | 1536 |
| Sullivan Heights Secondary | 1000 |  |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1080 |  |
| Nominal Capacity (8-12): |  |  |
| Functional Capacity*(8-12); |  |  |

## School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:

The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Goldstone Park Elementary opened in February 2014 and was built to relieve overcrowding at both Cambridge Elementary and Woodward Hill Elementary. Goldstone Park will soon be significantly over capacity, like all neighbouring elementary schools. For the 2016/17 school year a section of the Woodward Hill Elementary catchment is moving to Goldstone Park. The school district has received funding approval for additions to Woodward Hill Elementary and Sullivan Elementary and will request, as a high priority, in the next iteration of the capital plan, another new elementary school for the South Newton area. There are still extreme enrolment pressures at Sullivan Heights Secondary and the school district is requesting funding for an addition to this school as a high priority in the capital plan. Incoming enrolment at Sullivan Heights Secondary is being capped for the 2016/17 school year and the school district is currently considering various short and long-term measures to accommodate existing and projected elementary and secondary enrolment in South Newton.

Goldstone Park Elementary


Sullivan Heights Secondary

*Functional Capacity at secondary schools is based on space utilization estimate of 27 students per instructional space. The number of instructional spaces is estimated by dividing nominal facility capacity (Ministry capacity) by 25.

## BUILDING GUIDELINES SUMMARY

Surrey Project no: 7915-0337-00<br>Project Location: 6173-144 Street, Surrey, B.C.<br>Design Consultant: Tynan Consulting Ltd., (Michael E. Tynan)


#### Abstract

The draft Building Scheme proposed for this Project has been filed with the City Clerk. The following is a summary of the Residential Character Study and the Design Guidelines which highlight the important features and form the basis of the draft Building Scheme.


## 1. Residential Character

### 1.1 General Description of the Existing and/or Emerging Residential Character of the Subject Site:

The subject site is a two lot single family residential residual component of a much larger multifamily project, which will be area-defining due to its density. The two lots subject to new design guidelines are located at the northwest corner of the site at the entrance to the larger project, and the subject lots will be located directly across the street from the multi-residential site. Due north of the subject site is a large multifamily CD zoned project identified as Surrey project 7906-0144. The "Neo-Heritage" style units have three storey vertical massing with bold gabled projections, and are clad with stone at the base, vinyl at the second floor level and vinyl and wood wall shingles at the third level. West of the lot is a large vacant site. Northwest of the site is a relatively new RF-12 project approved under Surrey project 7905-0368-00. Homes fronting 62 Avenue in this area are a consistent group of mixed "Neo-Heritage" and "NeoTraditional", 2400-2800 sq.ft. Two-storey type homes with mid-scale massing characteristics, constructed to a common modern urban standard. The landscapes on most of these lots are considered be of a modest standard.

All single family residential homes in the immediate area were constructed after 2005. All of these homes have a floor area in the 2400-2800 sq.ft. size range. Styles found in this area include: "Neo-Heritage" (50\%), and "Neo-Traditional" (50\%), though many homes are a hybrid of these two styles. All homes are Two-Storey type.

Massing scale (front wall exposure) characteristics include: mid-scale massing (75\%), midscale massing with proportionally consistent, well balanced massing design (13\%), and mid to high scale massing (13\%). The scale (height) range for front entrance structures include: one storey front entrance (25\%), one storey front entrance veranda in heritage tradition (63\%), and proportionally exaggerated $1 \frac{1}{2}$ storey high front entrance (13\%).

The range of roof slopes found in this area is: 8:12 (63\%), and 12:12 (38\%). Main roof forms (largest upper floor truss spans) include: main common hip roof (75\%), and main common gable roof (25\%). Feature roof projection types include: Common Hip (20\%), and Common Gable ( $80 \%$ ). All roofs have a shake profile asphalt shingles surface.

All homes are clad in horizontal vinyl siding. Feature wall trim materials used on the front facade include: brick feature veneer (4\%), stone feature veneer ( $22 \%$ ), wood wall shingles accent (19\%), Hardiplank shingles accent (4\%), Horizontal Hardiplank accent (30\%), and 1x4
vertical battens over Hardipanel in gable ends (22\%). Wall cladding and trim colours include: Neutral (20\%), and Natural (80\%).

All homes have a rear garage, and rear driveway. A variety of landscaping standards are evident, including: "modest" modern urban landscape standard with sod and a few shrubs only ( $75 \%$ ), average modern urban landscape standard ( $13 \%$ ), and an above average modern urban landscape standard featuring numerous shrub plantings (13\%).

### 1.2 Features of Surrounding Dwellings Significant to the Proposed Building Scheme:

1) Context Homes: 88 percent of existing neighbouring homes provide potential architectural context for use at the subject site. Context homes include: 14299-62 Ave., 14295-62 Ave., 14289-62 Ave., 14283-62 Ave., 14271-62 Ave., 14263-62 Ave., and 14253-62 Ave. However, massing design, construction materials, and trim and detailing standards for new homes constructed in RF-12 zone subdivisions now exceed standards evident on the context homes. The recommendation therefore is to adopt standards commonly found in post year 2014 RF-12 zoned subdivisions, rather than to specifically emulate the aforesaid context homes.
2) Style Character: Existing surrounding homes are of styles typical of those found in post year 2000 modern compact lot developments in Surrey. Styles recommended for this site include "Neo-Traditional" and "Neo-Heritage", and hybrids of these two styles, and compatible styles as determined by the consultant. Note that style range is not restricted in the building scheme. However, the consultant refers to the character study when reviewing plans for meeting style-character intent.
3) Home Types: All surrounding homes are Two-Storey type, and it is expected that all new homes constructed at the subject site will be Two-Storey type. However, home type (Two-Storey, Bungalow, Basement Entry, Split Level, etc..) will not be regulated in the building scheme.
4) Massing Designs : Massing designs should meet new standards for RF-12 zoned subdivisions. New homes should exhibit "mid-scale" massing. Various elements and projections on the front of the home should be interesting architecturally, and should be in pleasing natural proportions to one another. These elements and projections should be located so as to create balance across the façade.
5) Front Entrance Design : Front entrance porticos range from one to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ storeys in height. The recommendation is to limit the range of entrance portico heights to between one storey and $11 / 2$ storeys to ensure there is not proportional overstatement of this one element.
6) Exterior Wall Cladding: A wide range of cladding materials have been used in this area, including Vinyl, cedar, fibre cement board, brick, and stone. Reasonable flexibility should therefore be permitted, including the use of vinyl siding, provided the overall quality of wall cladding materials meets or exceeds common standards for post 2014 developments.
7) Roof surface: All homes have asphalt shingle roofs. For continuity, asphalt shingles are recommended. However, where opportunities arise to introduce new environmentally sustainable products, they should be embraced. Generally, these materials have thicknesses between asphalt shingles and cedar shingles and will not appear out of place texturally. Therefore, to ensure consistency of character, only shake profile asphalt shingles and shake profile sustainable products are recommended.
8) Roof Slope: Roof slopes of $8: 12$ or higher have been used on context homes. This is a suitable minimum roof slope given the objectives of ensuring continuity with context homes and to ensure that homes appear style-authentic within the proposed style range. Some exceptions can be approved by the consultant.

Streetscape: North of the site is a large CD zoned multifamily site featuring three storey high "Neo-Heritage style units with garages surrounded in stone cladding at the lowest level, vinyl siding at the second floor, and vinyl and wood shingles at the upper floor. The units have bold steeply pitched gabled projections, and roofs are surfaced with asphalt shingles. West of the lot is a large vacant site. Northwest of the site is a relatively new RF-12 project approved under Surrey project 7905-0368-00. Homes fronting 62 Avenue in this area are a mixed "Neo-Heritage" and "Neo-Traditional", 2400-2800 sq.ft. Two-storey type homes with mid-scale massing characteristics, and rear garages, constructed to a common modern urban standard. The landscapes on most of these lots are considered be of a modest standard.

## 2. Proposed Design Guidelines

### 2.1 Specific Residential Character and Design Elements these Guidelines Attempt to Preserve and/or Create:

- the new homes are readily identifiable as one of the following styles: "Neo-Traditional", "NeoHeritage", or compatible style as determined by the design consultant. Note that the proposed style range is not contained within the building scheme, but is contained within the residential character study which forms the basis for interpreting building scheme regulations.
- a new single family dwelling constructed on any lot meets year 2000's design standards, which include the proportionally correct allotment of mass between various street facing elements, the overall balanced distribution of mass within the front facade, readily recognizable style-authentic design, and a high trim and detailing standard used specifically to reinforce the style objectives stated above.
- trim elements will include several of the following: furred out wood posts, articulated wood post bases, wood braces and brackets, louvered wood vents, bold wood window and door trim, highly detailed gable ends, wood dentil details, stone or brick feature accents, covered entrance verandas and other style-specific elements, all used to reinforce the style (i.e. not just decorative).
- the development is internally consistent in theme, representation, and character.
- the entrance element will be limited in height (relative dominance) to 1 to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ storeys.


### 2.2 Proposed Design Solutions:

Interfacing Treatment with existing dwellings)

There are homes in this area that could be considered to provide acceptable architectural context. However, massing design, construction materials, and trim and detailing standards for new homes constructed in most new (post year 2014) RF12 zone subdivisions now exceed standards evident on the context homes. The recommendation therefore is to adopt standards commonly found in post year 2014 RF12 zoned subdivisions, rather than to specifically emulate the aforesaid two context homes.

| Exterior Materials/Colours: | Stucco, Cedar, Vinyl, Hardiplank, Brick, and Stone. <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> "Natural" colours such as browns, greens, clays, and other <br> earth-tones, and "Neutral" colours such as grey, white, and <br> cream are permitted. Primary colours are not recommended for <br> this development, except on trim. "Warm" colours such as pink, <br> rose, peach, salmon are not permitted. Trim colours: Shade <br> variation of main colour, complementary, neutral, or subdued <br> contrast only. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Roof Pitch: | Minimum 8:12, with exceptions to prevent roof ridges from <br> becoming too high (overshadowing of neighbouring lots), to <br> allow for veranda roofs that do not cover upper floor windows, to <br> allow for artistic expression in feature roofs, and to provide a <br> path for exceptional designs with lower slope roofs to be <br> approved subject to consultant approval. |
| Roof Materials/Colours: | Only shake profile asphalt shingles with a raised ridge cap and <br> new environmentally sustainable roofing products providing that |
| aesthetic properties of the new materials are equal to or better |  |
| than the traditional roofing products. Greys, browns, or black. |  |

Compliance Deposit: $\$ 5,000.00$

Summary prepared and submitted by: Tynan Consulting Ltd. Date: March 14, 2016

Reviewed and Approved by:


## Tree Preservation Summary (On Site only)

## Surrey Project No: <br> Address: $\quad 6155$ and 6173 144th Street, Surrey, BC <br> Registered Arborist: Meredith Mitchell, ISA \# 6089A

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 46 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 30 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 16 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ 15 $X$ one (1) $=15$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ 15 $X$ two (2) $=30$ | 45 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 46 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | - |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | - |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ X one (1) $=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ $X$ two (2) $=0$ | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 0 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |

Summary, report and plan prepared and submitted by:


APPENDIX VII


# PROPOSED TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT 6173144 STREET, SURREY, BC EXTERIOR COLOUR SCHEME 

Laminated Asphalt Shingles<br>IKO -Dynasty - "Granite Black"<br>Hardi \& Vinyl Shingle Cladding Mitten "Frost"<br>Vinyl Soffits - Mitten "Bone"

Fibre Cement and Wood Trims \& Fascia Benjamin Moore OC-70 "Whitewater Bay"

Horizontal Hardi \& Vinyl Plank Siding Mitten "Stratus"

> Balcony \& Decorative Railings, Eavestroughs and Downspouts, Black

Garage and Man Doors Accent Colours
"Autumn Maple"

Garage and Man Doors Accent Colours " Western Juniper"

Brick
Mutual Materials "Coal Creek - mission"

BARNETT DEMBEK ARCHIETCTS INC.
Project Number 15011

# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7915-0337-oo
Issued To:

(the Owner)

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: oo2-340-852<br>Lot 2 Section 9 Township 2 Plan 60041 New Westminster District

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6173144 \text { St } \\
& \text { (the "Land") }
\end{aligned}
$$

3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Subsection F. Yard and Setbacks, of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone, the minimum setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.6 metres ( 15 ft .) for the north yard setback for buildings 3, 4 and 5;
(b) In Subsection F. Yard and Setbacks, of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone, the minimum setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building, and 3.6 metres ( 12 ft .) to the front porch of the east yard setback on 144 Street for buildings 5 and 6;
(c) In Subsection F. Yard and Setbacks, of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone, the minimum setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for the east side yard setback for building 11;
(d) In Subsection F. Yard and Setbacks, of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone, the minimum setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building, and 3.3 metres ( 11 ft .) to the front porch of the west yard setback for buildings 12 and 13;
(e) In Subsection F. Yard and Setbacks, of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone, the minimum setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.8 metres ( 16 ft .) for the building, and 3.3 metres ( 11 ft .) to the front porch for the south yard setback along 61A Avenue for building 11; and
(f) In Subsection F. Yard and Setbacks, of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone, the minimum setback is reduced from 7.5 metres 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for the south yard setback for building 8 .
5. This development variance permit applies to only the portion of the Land OR that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 . ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20 .

Mayor - Linda Hepner

City Clerk - Jane Sullivan


