

## City of Surrey PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT File: $\quad \mathbf{7 9 1 5 - 0 2 6 4 - 0 0}$

Planning Report Date: December 14, 2105

## PROPOSAL:

- OCP Amendment from Urban to Multiple Residential
- Rezoning from RF to RM-30
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of twelve (12) townhouse units.

| LOCATION: | $14274-108$ Avenue |
| :--- | :--- |
| OWNERS: | Kirpal S Bassi |
|  | Gurdeep K Bassi |
| ZONING: | RF |
| OCP DESIGNATION: | Urban |



## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for:
o OCP Amendment; and
o Rezoning.
- Approval to eliminate indoor amenity space.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is requesting setback variances along all lot lines of the proposed townhouse development.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposed development facilitates road dedication along 108 Avenue (north), continuation of the east-west dedicated lane through the subject site (south) and another housing option in the Whalley area.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of Whalley given the established townhouse development to the west, adjacency to a community amenity in the form of Hawthorne Park to the south, nearby schools to the east and proximity to the boundary of Surrey City Centre further to the west.
- The applicant is proposing to retain a cluster of mature trees (approximately 4) within the proposed outdoor amenity area.
- The applicant is volunteering a community benefit in the form of a cash contribution in the amount of $\$ 1,500$ per townhouse unit to satisfy the OCP Amendment Policy.
- The proposed reduced setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to amend the OCP by redesignating the subject site from Urban to Multiple Residential and a date for Public Hearing be set.
2. Council determine the opportunities for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities that are considered to be affected by the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan, as described in the Report, to be appropriate to meet the requirement of Section 879 of the Local Government Act.
3. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "Single Family Residential Zone" (RF) to ""Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
4. Council approve the applicant's request to eliminate the required indoor amenity space.
5. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7915-0264-00 generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
6. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7915-0264-oo (Appendix VII) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum front (north) yard setback in the RM-3o Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) 4.0 metres;
(b) to reduce the minimum east side yard setback in the RM-30 Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 2.3 metres ( 7.5 ft .);
(c) to reduce the minimum west side yard setback in the RM-30 Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) 3.2 metres ( 10 ft .); and
(d) to reduce the minimum rear (south) yard setback in the RM-30 Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .).
7. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(c) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(d) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) the applicant address the concern that the development will place additional pressure on existing park facilities to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(f) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) provision of a community benefit to satisfy the OCP Amendment policy for OCP Amendment applications;
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(i) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department; and
(j) the applicant adequately address the impact of no indoor amenity space.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

School District:
Projected number of students from this development:
3 Elementary students at Mary Jane Shannon School
2 Secondary students at Guildford Park School
(Appendix IV)
The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by late 2016.

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:
Parks, Recreation and Culture has concerns with the pressure this project will place on existing Parks, Recreation and Culture facilities in the area.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: $\quad$ Single family dwelling which will be removed.

Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North (Across 108 Avenue): | Single family <br> dwellings | Urban | RF |
| East: | Single family <br> dwellings | Urban | RF |
| South: | Hawthorne Park | Conservation and <br> Recreation | RF |
| West: | Two-storey <br> townhouses | Urban | RM-15 |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION

- The subject lot, located at 14274-108 Avenue, is located on the south side of 108 Avenue between 142A Street and 144 Street in Whalley and is designated "Urban" in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and is currently zoned "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)".
- The applicant is proposing an OCP Amendment from "Urban" to "Multiple Residential" (see Justification for Plan Amendment section), and rezoning from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" to "Multiple Residential (30) Zone (RM-30)", in order to allow the development of twelve (12) townhouse units with a proposed unit density of 21 units per acre (upa) ( 52 upha) and a floor area ratio (FAR) of o.83.
- The proposed density of 21 upa ( 52 upha) and FAR of o. 83 conform to the maximum 30 upa ( 74 upha) and o.90 FAR of the RM-30 Zone.
- In addition, a Development Permit and a Development Variance Permit are required. The applicant is proposing to reduce the minimum 7.5 -metre ( 25 ft .) building setback requirement of the RM-30 Zone along all property lines (see By-law Variance section).


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on November 9, 2015 to a total of 67 neighbouring property owners and one development proposal sign was erected on the property. Staff received one phone call and one written response from nearby property owners. The property owners expressed concerns regarding the proposed townhouse form and future neighbouring development, traffic, access from 108 Avenue, preservation of trees and form of tenancy, as described in more detail below, with staff comments in italics:

- One resident expressed concern with the townhouse form and future development of neighbouring properties to the east.
(Staff explained there is merit for the proposed townhouse form as the subject property and three neighbouring properties to the east are located in a pocket bound by an existing townhouse development to the west, Hawthorne Park to the south, 108 Avenue to the north and Bon Acord Creek to the east. The site is also in close proximity to schools.
The applicant is volunteering a community benefit in the form of a cash contribution in the amount of $\$ 1,500$ per townhouse unit to satisfy the OCP Amendment Policy.)
- One resident expressed concern with the impact of the proposed development on traffic.
(The applicant is providing required road widening along 108 Avenue and dedicating a 6 -metre (20-ft.) wide east-west lane along the south property line of the subject property for access to an internal driveway. The proposed 12-unit townhouse project is not expected to greatly increase traffic volumes in the area.)
- One resident expressed concern with access from 108 Avenue.
(Staff explained that 108 Avenue is an arterial road and direct access is not permitted. The proposed townhouse development will gain access from the east-west lane along the south property line.)
- Concern with tree retention.
(The applicant is proposing to retain 4 mature trees within the outdoor amenity area in the southeast portion of the site.)
- One resident expressed concern with the provision of low income housing.
(The applicant has confirmed the proposed townhouse development is intended for market housing.)


## JUSTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- The 0.24 -hectare ( 0.58 -acre) site is designated "Urban" in the Official Community Plan (OCP). The applicant is seeking an OCP Amendment from "Urban" to "Multiple Residential" (see Appendix VI) to allow the development of a 12 -unit townhouse project.
- The OCP states that multiple residential developments with a density of 30 units per acre (e.g. RM-3o Zone) on Urban-designated lands, are conditionally permitted through secondary plans like a Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP). The subject site, however, is not within an NCP area. Therefore, due to this proposed density an OCP Amendment is required.
- In accordance with the OCP, the provision of a community benefit is required for an OCP Amendment located outside of a secondary plan area. The applicant has agreed to provide a community benefit contribution for the proposed 12 townhouse units in the amount of $\$ 1,500$ per unit, for a total of $\$ 18,000$ prior to the rezoning being considered for Final Adoption.
- The proposed townhouse development will offer a greater diversity of housing options in the neighbourhood. The site is adjacent to a neighbourhood amenity in the form of Hawthorne Park to the south and close to both an elementary school and secondary school to the east.


## PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS FOR OCP AMENDMENT

Pursuant to Section 879 of the Local Government Act, it was determined that it was not necessary to consult with any persons, organizations or authorities with respect to the proposed OCP amendment, other than those contacted as part of the pre-notification process.

## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

## Building Design, Access and Circulation

- The applicant proposes to construct a 12 -unit, 3-storey townhouse development.
- The townhouse units range in size from 177 square metres ( 1,905 sq.ft.) to 233 square metres ( 2,508 sq.ft.) and are comprised of twelve (12) 3-bedroom units.
- The proposal includes only fully enclosed side-by-side double garages, which complies with the recent amendments to the Zoning By-law with respect to the maximum of $50 \%$ tandem parking allowed in the RM-3o Zone.
- The townhouse units will be built in a traditional design, and incorporate exterior finishes in hardi siding and hardi panels and will be finished in a warm colour scheme of brown, beige and taupe. Roof fascia and trim will be finished in white as an accent with entry doors in burgundy and mustard yellow.
- Each unit will have a private patio or balcony.
- Building 1 fronts 108 Avenue and consists of four (4) street-oriented units with direct street access from the front yard.
- Vehicular access is proposed from the new 6-metre ( $20-\mathrm{ft}$.) wide lane along the south property line.
- Two separate pedestrian entrances are proposed from 108 Avenue providing a connection to the internal drive-aisle.
- There is also an additional pedestrian entrance to the site from the lane that leads to an internal sidewalk to Building Nos. 4 and 5.


## Landscaping and Outdoor and Indoor Amenity Space

- Each townhouse unit fronting 108 Avenue includes a front porch with a small front yard. The streetscape elevation includes a 1.0-metre (3.5-ft.) high aluminum fence and layered planting consisting of a variety of grasses and shrubs. Each private entrance also includes a Katsura tree.
- A 1.o-metre ( 3 ft .) wide landscape buffer consisting of October Glory Maple trees and Dawyck Gold Beech shrubs is proposed along the west property line to soften the interface between the existing internal driveway for the existing townhouse development to the west and the proposed internal driveway on the site and provide a visual and acoustical screen for future homeowners.
- An outdoor amenity area is provided in a central location on the site, adjacent the east property line. This area includes planting consisting of shrubs and ground cover in addition to the retention of four (4) mature trees. Two benches are proposed for seating.
- The proposed outdoor amenity space totals 76 square metres ( 818 sq . ft.), exceeding the minimum 36 square metres ( 388 sq.ft.) required under the $\mathrm{RM}-3$ o Zone based on 3 square metres ( 32 sq.ft.) per dwelling unit.
- No indoor amenity space is proposed for this townhouse development. The applicant has indicated that due to the small, boutique nature of the development and the total number of units proposed (12), the project does not have the economy-of-scale to warrant the additional maintenance costs for an amenity building.
- The RM-3o Zone requires that 36 square metres ( $388 \mathrm{sq.ft}$.) of indoor amenity space be provided ( $3.0 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. $/ 32$ sq.ft. per dwelling unit). The applicant has agreed to provide a monetary contribution of $\$ 14,400$ (based on $\$ 1,200$ per unit) in accordance with the City policy, to address the shortfall in the required indoor amenity space.


## Parking

- The proposed development includes a total of 26 parking spaces for the 12 units, consisting of 24 resident parking spaces and 2 spaces for visitors, which complies with the Surrey Zoning By-law. Visitor parking spaces are located centrally on the site.
- Resident parking spaces will be provided in a fully enclosed side-by-side double car garage for all twelve (12) of the units.


## TREES

- Mike Fadum, ISA Certified Arborist of Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deciduous Trees <br> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Clack Locust |  |  | 1 |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 24 mature trees on the site. It was determined that 4 trees, within the outdoor amenity area can be retained as part of this development proposal.
- The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all trees. This will require a total of 38 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 40 replacement trees, exceeding City requirements.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted on 108 Avenue. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including October Glory Maple, Golder Catalpa, Katsura, Dawyck Gold Beech and Green Pillar Pin Oak.
- In summary, a total of 44 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site.


## BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION STRATEGY

- While the subject site is not identified as a Hub or Corridor through the City of Surrey Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Green Infrastructure Network (GIN) map, adopted by Council on July 21, 2014 (Corporate Report No. R141; 2014), the proposed tree retention will contribute to the City's overall network of environmental features.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on November 26, 2015. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Site Context \& Location <br> (A1-A2) | - The site is located within the Whalley area. |
| 2. Density \& Diversity ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{7}$ ) | - The proposed density is 0.83 FAR and 21 upa. |
| 3. Ecology \& Stewardship (C1-C4) | - Retention of 4 mature trees and addition of a variety of new landscaping and 38 new trees. <br> - Recycling and organic waste programs will be made available. |
| 4. Sustainable Transport \& Mobility (D1-D2) | - Bike rack provided. |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety $\left(E_{1}-E_{3}\right)$ | - The building design incorporates large street-facing windows and unobstructed spaces for clear line of vision and eyes on the street. |
| 6. Green Certification (F1) | - N/A |
| 7. Education \& Awareness (G1-G4) | - The typical notifications to area residents has occurred (i.e. development proposal sign and Pre-notification letter). |

## BY-LAW VARIANCES AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variances:

- To reduce the minimum front (north) yard setback in the RM-3o Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) 4.0 metres;
- To reduce the minimum east side yard setback in the RM-3o Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 2.3 metres ( 7.5 ft .);
- To reduce the minimum west side yard setback in the RM-3o Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) 3.2 metres ( 10 ft .); and
- To reduce the minimum rear (south) yard setback in the RM-3o Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .).

Applicant's Reasons:

- The reduced front (north) yard setback along 108 Avenue reinforces the urban character.
- The units are closer to the street and will present a friendly face to the street, promoting social interaction, as well as casual surveillance.
- The east and west side yard setbacks are to adjacent lots, although less than the 7 •-5-metre ( 25 ft .) setback required by the RM-3o Zone, provide sufficient separation from the adjacent lots.
- The rear (south) yard setback is 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .) to the face of the garage from the lane.


## Staff Comments:

- The reduced setbacks along the front (108 Avenue) property line reinforce the urban character, create a strong street orientation and the fronting units have direct pedestrian access from the street.
- The reduced setback along the east and west side property lines are a side-of-unit condition thereby not impacting functional yard space. Landscape screening is provided along the west lot line to screen and provide privacy for the existing townhouse development to the west.
- The reduced setback along the rear (south) property line improve the proposed townhouse development layout and allow four (4) mature trees to be retained within the outdoor amenity area. The orientation of Building 5 with direct driveway access from the lane also assists the proposed tree retention.
- Staff support the requested variances.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI. OCP Redesignation Map
Appendix VII. Development Variance Permit No. 7915-0264-00
original signed by Judith Robertson

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
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## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. Agent: Name: Wojciech Grzybowicz

WG Architecture Inc.
Address: \#1030, 470-Granville Street
Vancouver, BC V6C ${ }_{1} V_{5}$
Tel: 604-331-2378
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 14274-108 Avenue
(b) Civic Address: 14274-108 Avenue

Owner: Gurdeep Bassi
Kirpal Bassi
PID: ol1-352-957
Lot 2 Except: Parcel "l" (Bylaw Plan 39597) Section 24 Block 5 North Range 2 New Westminster District Plan 9014
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce a By-law to amend the Official Community Plan to redesignate the property.
(b) Introduce a By-law to rezone the property.
(c) Proceed with Public Notification for Development Variance Permit No. 7915-0264-00 and bring the Development Variance Permit forward for an indication of support by Council. If supported, the Development Variance Permit will be brought forward for issuance and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with the final adoption of the associated Rezoning By-law.

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Proposed Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 2,635 m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Road Widening area |  | $269 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Net Total |  | 2,366 m ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures |  | 20\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  | 25\% |
| Total Site Coverage | 45\% | 45\% |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| Front (108 Avenue) | 7.5 m | 4.0 m |
| Rear (south) | 7.5 m | 6.0 m |
| Side \#1 (west) | 7.5 m | 3.0 m |
| Side \#2 (east) | 7.5 m | 2.3 m |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 13 m | 10.5 m |
| Accessory | 4.5 m | n/a |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  |  |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 12 |
| Total |  | 12 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 1,984 m ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA | 2,129 m ${ }^{2}$ | 1,984 m ${ }^{2}$ |

[^1]
## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | 75 units/ha \& 30 upa | $\begin{gathered} 52 \text { units/ha \& } 21 \\ \text { upa } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 0.90 | 0.83 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | $36 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | o m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Outdoor | $36 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $76 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor +1 Bedroom |  |  |
| 2 -Bed |  |  |
| 3-Bed | 24 | 24 |
| Residential Visitors | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 26 | 26 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls | 1 | 1 |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units | o | o |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Appendix II
















## OC AMENDMENT

There are no engineering requirements relative to the OCP Amendment.

## REZONE

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- dedicate 2.808 m on 108 Avenue towards the ultimate 30.000 m Arterial standard;
- dedicate Bylaw Parcel L Plan 38587;
- dedicate 6.000 m wide east-west lane along south property line of the site; and
- register 0.500 m wide SROW along 108 Avenue


## Works and Services

- construct lane to City standard;
- construct storm main in the lane;
- Register restrictive covenant for water quality/sediment control;
- Register restrictive covenant for on-site detention, if applicable.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezoning.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit/ Development Variance Permit.


Rémi Dubé, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
HB

NOTE: Detailed Land Development Engineering Review available on file

Tuesday, November 10, 2015 Planning

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

There are no capital capacity projects proposed at Mary Jane Shannon Elementary or Guildford Park Secondary. The proposed development will not have a major impact on these projections.

Mary Jane Shannon Elementary


Guildford Park Secondary

*Functional Capacity at secondary schools is based on space utilization estimate of 27 students per instructional space. The number of instructional spaces is estimated by dividing nominal facility capacity (Ministry capacity) by 25.

## MIKE FADUM AND ASSOCIATES LTD.

## Tree Preservation Summary

## Surrey Project No: TBD

Address: 14274-108 Avenue, Surrey, BC

## Registered Arborist: Mike Fadum and Peter Mennel

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 24 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 20 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 4 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio 0 X one (1) $=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $20 \times \text { two }(2)=40$ | 40 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | TBD |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | TBD |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | NA |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\mathrm{X} \text { one (1) }=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $X \text { two (2) }=0$ | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 0 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
Signature of Arborist: $\quad$ Date: December 2, 2015



Appendix VI


## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

NO.: 7915-0264-oo
Issued To:
KIRPAL S BASSI
GURDEEP K BASSI
("the Owner")
Address of Owner: 12728-97A Avenue
Surrey, BC $\mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

## Parcel Identifier: ou1-352-957

Lot 2 Except: Parcel "l" (Bylaw Plan 39597) Section 24 Block 5 North Range 2 New Westminster District Plan 9014

> 14274-108 Avenue

> (the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

## Parcel Identifier:

(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) To reduce the minimum front (north) yard setback in the RM-3o Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) 4.0 metres;
(b) To reduce the minimum east side yard setback in the RM-30 Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 2.3 metres ( 7.5 ft .);
(c) To reduce the minimum west side yard setback in the RM-30 Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) 3.2 metres ( 10 ft .); and
(d) To reduce the minimum rear (south) yard setback in the RM-30 Zone for the principal building from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .).
5. This development variance permit applies to only the portion of the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
8. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 . ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20 .

Schedule A
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