

# City of Surrey <br> PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT <br> File: $\quad 7914-0354-00$ 

Planning Report Date: July 11, 2016

## PROPOSAL:

- NCP Amendment from Multi-Family (30-45 upa) and Park to Townhouse ( $15-30$ upa) and MultiFamily ( 65 upa) for the subject site and various amendments for the lands to the east
- Rezoning from RA to RM-30 and CD (based on RM-70)


## - Development Permit

- Development Variance Permit to vary setbacks
to permit the development of approximately 79 townhouse units and approximately 117 apartment units.

| LOCATION: | $16613 / 37 / 67-24$ Avenue |
| :--- | :--- |
| OWNER: | 101787 B.C. Ltd. et al. |
| ZONING: | RA |

OCP DESIGNATION: Urban \& Multiple Residential
NCP DESIGNATION: Multi-Family (30-45 upa) \& Park

## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0354-oo to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is proposing a Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) amendment from Multi-Family (30-45 upa) and Park to Townhouse (15-30 upa) and Multi-Family ( 65 upa) for the subject site and various amendments for the lands to the east (Appendix VIII).
- The applicant is proposing various setback reductions on the proposed townhouse site.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- Complies with the Official Community Plan (OCP) designation.
- The proposed NCP amendment stems from concerns raised by property owners in the NCP area over difficulties they were having selling their lands for development, due to the split land use designations in the NCP on their lands involving the proposed park site. The current NCP shows the Park designation partially on a total of 9 properties. Where a property is not wholly designated Park in an NCP, it is City practice to hold off purchasing these park lands until the lands are developed and the park site is legally created. Property owners have indicated that they have been unable to sell their lands because developers have tended to avoid purchasing lands with these partial Park designations on them.
- The proposed NCP amendment on the subject site and the lands to the east will aid in the implementation of the proposed NCP park site, by aligning property lines with land use designations, thereby making it easier to purchase and redevelop parcels in line with the NCP's intended land uses.
- The proposal achieves the north-south Habitat Corridor shown in the NCP and also provides a $10-m e t r e ~(33$ feet) wide east-west Habitat Corridor that was not shown in the NCP and a 12-metre ( 39 feet) wide north-south "green lane" on the subject site that was shown in the NCP on the lands to the east.
- The proposed density increase along 24 Avenue is appropriate as 24 Avenue is served by transit, and locating density along transit routes helps attract transit investment along these corridors.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of the Orchard Grove NCP.
- Complies with the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Green Infrastructure Network objectives.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the Orchard Grove NCP.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to rezone Blocks $A, B$, and $C$ shown on the survey plan attached in Appendix II from "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) to "Comprehensive Development Zone" (CD) and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. a By-law be introduced to rezone Blocks 1,2 and 3 shown on the survey plan attached in Appendix II from "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) and a date be set for Public Hearing.
3. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7914-0354-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
4. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0354-oo (Appendix V) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum front yard (east) setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building face, to 4.1 metres ( 13 ft .) for the bay projection, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, and to 2.2 metres ( 7 ft .) to the third riser;
(b) to reduce the minimum side yard (south) setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for the building face, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, to 2.6 metres ( 9 ft .) for the bay projection, to 1.8 metres ( 6 ft .) to the third riser, and to 1.0 metre ( 3 ft .) for the amenity patio;
(c) to reduce the minimum rear yard (west) setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building face, to 4.1 metres ( 13 ft .) for the bay projection, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, and to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the third riser; and
(d) to reduce the side front yard (north) setback of the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building face, to 4.1 metres ( 13 ft .) for the bay projection, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns and to 2.7 metres ( 9 ft .) to the third riser.
5. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issue prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture; and
(h) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department.
6. Council pass a resolution to amend the Orchard Grove NCP to redesignate the land from Multi-Family ( $30-45$ upa) and Park to Townhouse (15-30 upa) and Multi-Family ( 65 upa) for the subject site and various amendments for the lands to the east, as shown in Appendix VIII, when the project is considered for final adoption.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

School District:

## Projected number of students from this development:

35 Elementary students at Pacific Heights Elementary School 17 Secondary students at Earl Marriott Secondary School

## (Appendix IV)

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by Fall 2017.

Parks, Recreation \&
Parks supports the proposed NCP amendment. No concerns.
Culture:
Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: Single family residential. The existing dwellings are to be demolished.

## Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/NCP Designation | Existing <br> Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North: | Single family residential <br> acreage. | Urban/ "Townhouse (15-30 <br> upa)" and "Habitat Corridor <br> (20m SROW)" | RA |
| East: | Single family residential <br> acreage. | "Urban" and" "Multiple <br> Residential"/ "Park" and <br> "Multi-Family (30-45 upa)" | RA |
| South (Across 24 <br> Avenue): | Metro Vancouver water <br> reservoir, and proposed <br> South Surrey Operations <br> Centre (File No. 7915-ol33- <br> oo). | Urban/ "Civic Utility" and <br> "Cluster Residential 10-15 <br> upa" | RA |
| West: | Single family residential <br> acreage, under application <br> for townhouses and single <br> family lots (File No. 7915- <br> o217-oo; pre-Council). | "Urban" and "Multiple <br> Residential/ "Townhouse <br> (15-30 upa)" and "Multi- <br> Family (30-45 upa)" | RA |

## USTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- The applicant is proposing to amend the Orchard Grove Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to redesignate the subject site from Multi-Family (30-45 upa) and Park to Townhouse ( $15-30$ upa) and Multi-Family ( 65 upa) for the subject site. Additionally, the applicant is proposing to amend lands to the east involving multiple parcels for a reconfigured park site with alternate transportation network and habitat corridor alignments, including:
o a reconfigured park site, involving a total of four existing parcels;
o relocation of the "Green Street", a proposed north-south road along the 167 Street alignment, to a new alignment situated along the east side of the subject property, and the west side of the reconfigured park; and
o elimination of a small east-west "Special Commercial Street" that is no longer required due to land use reconfigurations, and replacing it with a north-south local road on the eastern side of the proposed park.

The proposed amended NCP is shown in Appendix VIII. The current NCP concept plan is shown in Appendix VII.

- The proposed density increase along 24 Avenue is appropriate as 24 Avenue is served by transit, and locating density along transit routes helps attract transit investment along these corridors.
- A Public Information Meeting was held on June 11, 2015 with respect to the proposed NCP amendments and the specific plans for the subject site. There was general agreement with the proposed NCP amendment.


## Park Site

- The proposed NCP amendment partially stems from concerns raised by property owners in the NCP area over difficulties they were having selling their lands for development, due to the split land use designations in the NCP on their lands involving the proposed park site. The current NCP shows the Park designation partially on a total of 9 properties. Where a property is not wholly designated Park in an NCP, it is City practice to hold off purchasing these park lands until the lands are developed and the park site is legally created. Property owners have indicated that they have been unable to sell their lands for their desired amount because developers have discounted the value due to the split Park designation.
- The proposed NCP amendment will result in a reconfigured park site involving only 4 properties, upon which the park is wholly located on. This will aid in the implementation of the proposed NCP park site, by aligning property lines with land use designations, thereby making it easier to purchase and redevelop parcels in line with the NCP's intended land uses.
- A north south "Green Street" located at 167 Street, was proposed to run through the park with a unique road cross section. The new reconfigured park site will no longer have the green street through the park and instead have a 12 -metre ( 39 feet) wide north-south "green lane" bordering the park on the west side and a new 20-metre ( 66 feet) road 167A Street on the east side. This will allow the park site to have road frontages on four sides, which improves accessibility and surveillance from the public realm.
- The reconfigured park site is supported by Parks, and achieves a similar amount of tree retention compared to the current park configuration.


## Habitat Corridors

- The Orchard Grove NCP identifies a combined 166 Street road alignment and Habitat Corridor from 26 Avenue to 24 Avenue. This allows the Habitat Corridor to connect North to an existing greenway in NCP Area 5 and South to drainage corridors in the NCP Area 2Sunnyside Heights. The combined 166 Street and Habitat Corridor is 40 metres ( 131 ft .) wide, with the Habitat Corridor in various tenure, including being located within road right-of-way, park land and on private property.
- In 2014, as part of the development applications to the north (Nos. 7914-o188-oo and 7913-0241-oo) Council approved amendments to the 166 Street/ Habitat Corridor to be reduced in overall width from 40 metres ( 131 ft .) to 34 metres ( 112 ft .). As consideration for reducing the overall corridor width Council approved Staff recommendations of:
o Reducing the pavement width from 11.0 metres to 9.0 metres that would still support the function of the roadway;
o Combining the Easterly boulevard with the Habitat Corridor to maintain the effective width of habitat; and
o Dedicating the entire corridor as road allowance to address the multiple tenure issues and ensure that the Habitat Corridor is protected and maintained in the long term.
- The proposed NCP amendment will provide a combined 166 Street Habitat Corridor consistent with the alignment, width, and land tenure approved in the amendments to the north.
- The proposed NCP amendment also provides a 10 -metre ( 33 feet) wide east-west Habitat Corridor that was not shown in the NCP. The provision of this Habitat Corridor allows habitat connection to the revised park site.


## Transportation Network

- The proposed amended NCP replaces some of the existing north-south and east-west roads in new locations and alternate alignments. It does not result in any change to overall road dedications on future development sites in the affected area and maintains connectivity for the Orchard Grove NCP.
- The north south "Green Street", located at 167 Street, was proposed to run through the park with a unique road cross section. The new reconfigured park site will no longer have the green street through the park and instead have a 12 -metre ( 39 ft .) wide north-south "green lane" bordering the park on the west side providing access to the new development site. The existing 167 Street cul-de-sac road allowance will be closed and incorporated into the park site.
- A new 20-metre ( 66 ft .) wide road ( 167 A Street) will replace the green street and border the revised park site on the east side. This road will maintain connectivity for the Orchard Grove area and provide access to residential and park designated lands on the west side of the street and commercial-designated lands on the east side of the street. With the proposed reconfigured park site, a short of section of a small east-west "Special Commercial Street" can be eliminated between 167 Street and 167A Street.
- To maintain the overall road dedications consistent with the current NCP, revisions are proposed to the alignment of 25 Avenue between 166 Street and 167 Street. The alignment will shift to the north, and, in consideration of this, the north south lane would be eliminated as well as reductions in the combined 166 Street and Habitat Corridor shown on the adjacent property at $16650-25$ A Avenue. The property is currently under Development Application No. 7916-0301-oo and the applicant is aware of the proposed changes.


## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Site

- The 2.9-hectare (7.2-acre) subject site consists of three parcels located at 16613/37/67-24 Avenue. The site contains some forested and cleared areas, as well as three single family dwellings, which are proposed to be demolished.
- The southern portion of the site along 24 Avenue is currently designated Multiple Residential in the Official Community Plan (OCP), and the northern portion of the site is designated Urban in the OCP. The site is zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA).
- The site is bordered to the north by an orchard, which is under application for row homes (File No. 7916-0301-oo; pre-Council) and to the west by single family residential, designated as Townhouses ( $15-30$ upa) and Multi-Family ( $30-45$ upa) in the NCP. To the east there is a single family residential lot, which is currently partly designated Park in the NCP and is proposed to be fully designated Park as part of the proposed NCP amendment. Across 24 Avenue, to the south, is the proposed South Operations Centre site (File No. 7915-0133-oo) which is proposed to be constructed starting in the Fall of 2016.


## Proposal

- The applicant is proposing the above described NCP amendment and to rezone the site from "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) to "Comprehensive Development Zone" (CD) [based on "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70)] and to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) and a Development Permit to permit the development of approximately 117 apartment units and 79 townhouse units.
- The applicant is proposing a detailed Development Permit for the townhouse portion of the site, and a General Development Permit for the proposed apartment building. The applicant is also proposing a Development Variance Permit to reduce various building setbacks for the proposed townhouses.
- The applicant proposes to consolidate the site and subdivide it into 2 parcels (an apartment site and a townhouse site), and also provide the road and Habitat Corridor dedications, as shown in Appendix II.


## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

## Townhouse Site

- The applicant is proposing a townhouse complex on the proposed north parcel, which has a net area of 1.3 hectares ( 3.3 acres). The proposal includes 13 separate buildings, with a total of 79 units and 12,196 square metres ( 131,300 sq. ft.) of floor area, representing a net unit density of 60 units per hectare (uph) ( 24 units per acre [upa]), a floor area ratio (FAR) of 0.9 and lot coverage of $41 \%$, which are within the maximum 0.9 FAR and $45 \%$ lot coverage permitted by the RM-30 Zone. The proposed building height is 10.7 metres ( 35 feet), which is within the maximum 13 metres ( 42.5 ft .) permitted in the RM-30 Zone. Variances are required for some of the setbacks, as discussed later in this report.
- The number of townhouse units within each individual building varies from 3 to 8 units. Of the 79 townhouse units, 2 are proposed as 2-bedroom units, 39 as 3 -bedroom units and 38 as 4-bedroom units.
- Street-fronting units with active living space on the ground floor, to promote interaction with the public realm, are proposed along both road frontages and also the Habitat Corridor along the south and west property lines. These units have entries facing the street or Habitat Corridor, a walkway connecting each residence to the street or Habitat Corridor, and windows that provide casual surveillance of the public realm.
- The proposed exterior materials include hardi-panel and hardi-trim board and batten siding, hardi-plank siding and stone veneer accents. The roofs are proposed to be clad in black asphalt shingles and will include gables and sloped portions.
- The buildings are designed to step with the grade, which breaks up the massing. Decorative wooden shutters on the upper windows and decorative faux balconies above the main entrances of the units add visual interest.


## Townhouse Site: Access, Pedestrian Circulation and Parking

- Vehicular access to the townhouse site is proposed to be from the new north-south "green lane" on the eastern side of the site.
- Public realm-fronting units are proposed to have individual pedestrian access to the adjacent streets or Habitat Corridor. The applicant is proposing a series of paths throughout the site, providing good pedestrian connectivity throughout the site and also to the surrounding sidewalk network.
- The majority ( $59 \%$ ) of the units ( 47 ) have a side-by-side garage parking arrangement, with 32 units ( $41 \%$ ) proposing a tandem parking arrangement, which complies with the maximum $50 \%$ tandem parking permitted in the Zoning By-law.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 170 resident parking spaces and 17 visitor parking spaces, which exceeds the parking requirements of the Zoning By-law.


## Townhouse Site: Amenity Space

- The Zoning By-law requires that 237 square metres ( $2,550 \mathrm{sq}$. ft .) of both indoor and outdoor amenity space be provided for the townhouse portion of the site, based on 3 square metres ( 32 sq. ft.) per dwelling unit.
- In accordance with the Zoning By-law, applicant is proposing to provide a 237 square metre ( $2,550 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) 2 -storey indoor amenity building, adjacent to one of the two outdoor amenity areas on the townhouse site. The amenity building utilizes the same building materials as the townhouse units. The amenity building is proposed to have a fitness and office area on the ground floor and a lounge and kitchen area on the second floor that opens onto a second floor south-facing patio area.
- The applicant is proposing to provide outdoor amenity space containing 524 square metres ( $5,640 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) in 2 separate areas on the townhouse site. The larger outdoor amenity space area is located in the centre of the site and contains a children's play area, a community garden area, a gazebo, and seating areas. The smaller outdoor amenity area is located adjacent to the indoor amenity building, and includes a natural play area, seating, a lawn area and two retained trees.
- The total 524 square metres ( $5,640 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of proposed outdoor amenity space exceeds the Zoning By-law minimum requirements of 237 square metres ( $2,550 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.).


## Townhouse Site: Landscaping

- Landscaping is proposed throughout the townhouse site. The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, ground cover and open lawn areas. Corner plaza areas are proposed at both the northwest and northeast corners of the site to enhance the public realm.
- A 1.1 metre ( 3.5 feet) high wood picket fence is proposed at various locations along the perimeter of the townhouse site. Decorative entry features are proposed at various pedestrian entries to the site. Project signage is proposed at the site's vehicular entrance to identify the project.
- Decorative paving is proposed at the vehicular entrances and pervious pavers are proposed for the visitor parking spaces. No garbage enclosure is proposed as garbage and recycling will be picked up at each unit.


## Apartment Site

- The apartment portion of the site is in the south portion of the site (i.e. proposed south parcel), along 24 Avenue, and has a net site area of 7,067 square metres ( 1.75 acres). The applicant is proposing a General Development Permit for a 6 -storey apartment building with approximately 117 units and 2 levels of underground parking. A detailed Development Permit application will be required before a Building Permit can be issued for the apartment building.
- The apartment portion of the site is designated Multiple Residential in the OCP, which permits an FAR of 1.5 , with the provision for permitting a higher FAR if the applicant provides sufficient community amenities. The apartment building is proposed to have a floor area of 4,133 square metres ( $152,100 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.), representing a floor area ratio (FAR) of 2.0 on the net site area. In this case, the applicant is providing the dedication of a 10 -metre ( 33 ft .) wide east-west Habitat Corridor through the site (which is not identified in the NCP), and also a
 is identified in the NCP along 166 Street.
- The NCP indicates that these Habitat Corridors are to be provided through a Statutory-Right-of-Way (SRW), which leaves the Habitat Corridors in private ownership and therefore would not impact the density allowed on the site. Securing ownership of the Habitat Corridors at no cost to the City, as opposed to the corridors remaining on private property through a SRW, improves the City's ability to develop and maintain these important amenities. In order not to penalize the applicant for dedicating the Habitat Corridors, and recognizing the conveyance of these lands to the City at no cost is considered a sufficient community benefit, it is appropriate to permit an FAR of 2.0 at this location.
- The proposed apartment building density and massing is appropriate given the site's location along 24 Avenue, which is a transit route, and is also the dominant east-west corridor in South Surrey. Locating density along transit routes encourages transit use and transit investment.
- The applicant is proposing to take advantage of the grades on the site by providing 2 levels of structured parking, which will be fully underground along 24 Avenue (south) and which will be wrapped by ground-floor units oriented to the "green lane" (east) and Habitat Corridors (north and west). The orientation of the ground floor units on all four elevations to the public realm promotes interaction with the public realm and provides casual surveillance.
- The 6 -storey massing is proposed to be located in the centre of the building, with 5 -storey massing proposed for the east and west portions of the building. The architectural details and building materials will be determined through the detailed Development Permit process. An acoustical report and noise mitigation measures for the units fronting 24 Avenue will be required as part of this process as well.


## Apartment Site: Proposed CD By-law

- The applicant is proposing a CD Zone for the site, based on the RM-7o Zone. The table below outlines the differences between the RM-7o Zone and the proposed CD Zone:

|  | RM-7o Zone | Proposed CD Zone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 1.50 | 2.0 |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | 33\% | 60\% |
| Setbacks | $7.5 \mathrm{~m}(25 \mathrm{ft}$.) from all property lines. | Rear yard (east) - 4.7m ( 15 ft. ); <br> Front yard (west) - 4.6m ( 15 ft. ); <br> Side yard on flanking street (south) <br> - 10.5m (34 ft.); Side yard (north) - <br> 7.2 m ( 24 ft .). Balconies are <br> permitted to extend 1.3 m ( 4 ft .) into any setback. |
| Principal Building Height | 50 m (164 ft.) | 20.5 m (67 ft.) |
| Minimum Parcel Size | 2,ooo sq.m. (0.5 acres) | 4,000 sq.m. (0.99 acres) |

- The CD Zone proposes a higher floor area ratio (FAR) at 2.0 versus the 1.5 FAR permitted in the RM-70 Zone. The rationale for the proposed density increase is discussed in detail in the above section. The proposed lot coverage of $60 \%$ is higher than the maximum $35 \%$ lot coverage permitted in the RM-70 Zone, due to the applicant's agreement to convey the Habitat Corridors to the City.
- The CD Zone proposes a decrease in the maximum principal building height from 50.0 metres ( 164 ft .) to 20.5 metres ( 67 ft .). The applicant is proposing a 6 storey building, with 5 -storey massing on the east and west portions of the building.
- The proposed setback relaxations bring the building closer to the street and the Habitat Corridors and allow for a better street presence and surveillance of the public realm.


## Apartment Site: Access, Pedestrian Circulation and Parking

- All of the parking for the apartment is proposed to be provided underground. Vehicular access to the underground parkade is proposed at the east portion of the proposed apartment lot. The ultimate location of the parkade access will be determined through the detailed Development Permit process. To enhance the public realm experience on the east-west Habitat Corridor, staff recommend that the parkade be accessed directly from the new north-south "green lane" and not via a drive aisle adjacent to the Habitat Corridor.
- Pedestrian connections to the surrounding sidewalks and multi-use pathways are proposed for most of the street-fronting ground units. In addition to the multi-use pathways that will be provided on the north-south and east-west Habitat Corridors, the applicant is also providing a 6 -metre ( 20 ft .) wide SRW along 24 Avenue for the provision of a multi-use pathway, as per the NCP.
- The applicant is proposing to provide approximately 267 parking spaces in the underground parkade. The final unit type and corresponding parking requirements will be finalized during the detailed Development Permit process.


## Apartment Site: Amenity Space

- The Zoning By-law requires 3 square metres ( 32 sq. ft.) of both indoor and outdoor amenity space per dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing approximately 117 units, although that number will be confirmed during the detailed Development Permit process. At 117 units, 351 square metres ( $3,78 \mathrm{o}$ sq. ft.) of indoor amenity space is required. The applicant is proposing 447 square metres ( 4,810 sq.ft.), which would meet the requirement of the Zoning By-Law. The specific location of the indoor amenity space will be determined through the detailed Development Permit process.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 1,107 square metres ( $11,920 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of outdoor amenity space, located to the north of the building, adjacent to the east-west Habitat Corridor. The proposed outdoor amenity space would significantly exceed the Zoning By-law minimum requirements based on 117 units. The proposed outdoor amenity area contains a play area, play structures, seating areas, a lawn area and two retained trees.


## Apartment Site: Landscaping

- Landscaping is proposed throughout the apartment site. The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, ground cover and open lawn areas. The landscaping will be reviewed in detail during the detailed Development Permit process.
- A 1.1 metre ( 3.5 feet) high wood picket fence is proposed at various locations along the perimeter of the apartment site. Decorative entry features are proposed at various pedestrian entries to the site.


## TREES

- Trevor Cox, ISA Certified Arborist of Diamond Head Consulting Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder | 3 | 3 | o |
| Cottonwood | 55 | 55 | o |
| Deciduous Trees(excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Apple | 1 | 1 | o |
| Paper Birch | 28 | 28 | o |
| Red Maple | 1 | 1 | o |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Douglas-fir | 64 | 54 | 10 |
| Western Hemlock | 3 | 3 | o |
| Western Redcedar | 15 | 15 | o |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 112 | 102 | 10 |
| Additional Trees in the Habitat Corridors and Road Dedication Areas | 98 | 70 | 28 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 87 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 97 |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Fund |  | \$52,500 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 112 protected trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Fifty-eight (58) existing trees, approximately $34 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that 10 on-site trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- In addition, the applicant is proposing to retain 28 trees within the proposed Habitat Corridors and road dedication areas. The siting of the Habitat Corridors was strongly influenced by the ability to retain a significant number of trees within the Corridor areas.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 262 replacement trees on the site. Since only 87 replacement trees can be accommodated on the site, the deficit of 175 replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 52,500$, representing $\$ 300$ per tree, to the Green City Fund, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including maples, beech, dogwood, spruce, katsura and cypress.
- In summary, a total of 97 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with a contribution of $\$ 52,500$ to the Green City Fund.


## BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION STRATEGY

- The City of Surrey Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Green Infrastructure Network (GIN) map, adopted by Council on July 21, 2014 (Corporate Report No. R141; 2014), identifies a Local BCS Corridor on the western portion of the subject site, in the Redwood BCS management area, with a Low ecological value.
- The BCS further identifies the GIN area of the subject site as having a Low habitat suitability rating, derived from species at risk presence, species accounts and known ecosystem habitat inventories. The BCS recommends a target Corridor width of 20 metres ( 66 feet) and target area of 3,800 square metres ( 0.94 acre), half of which is to be provided by the subject site ( 1,900 square metres/ o. 47 acres), which is $7 \%$ of the subject property, and half ( 1,900 square metres/ o.47 acres) by the site to the west (16595-24 Avenue).
- Protecting green infrastructure Hubs (large habitat areas) and Sites (smaller habitat areas) are critical to preserving natural habitat refuges and a diversity of habitat features while maintaining/enhancing Corridors ensures connectivity between fragmented hubs for genetic variation throughout the City. The closest Biodiversity Hub connection in the GIN to the subject site is Hub F, and is located in an area roughly bounded by 16 Avenue, 168 Street, 8 Avenue and Highway 99, centered on the Fergus Watershed Biodiversity Preserve. The BCS Management Area Objectives that the proposed corridor dedication helps to achieve are:

0 increased landscape permeability (amount of natural and semi-natural habitat) on public and private land; and
0 increased tree canopy cover.

- The development proposal conserves a 20 -metre ( 66 -foot) wide corridor for the north-south Habitat Corridor (of which a 10-metre/33-feet wide corridor is to be dedicated on the subject site) and a 10 -metre ( 33 -foot) wide dedication for the east-west Habitat Corridor. The eastwest Habitat Corridor was not identified in the BCS, but when the park was proposed to be moved to the east, staff recommended that a Habitat Corridor be provided to provide a linkage to the new proposed park site. The siting of the east-west Habitat Corridor was also driven by tree retention efforts, as there are a number of trees in this corridor that are able to be retained.
- The total Habitat Corridor area is 2,532 square metres ( 0.63 acre) or $9 \%$ of the total gross area of subject site, or $133 \%$ of the target GIN area, which exceeds the BCS target.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on June 15, 2016. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Site Context \& Location (A1-A2) | - The site is located within the Orchard Grove NCP area. |
| 2. Density \& Diversity (Bı-B7) | - The proposal includes ground-oriented townhouses and low-rise apartment units, with a variety of 1,2 and $3+$ bedroom units. <br> - The townhouse site has a community garden area. |
| 3. Ecology \& Stewardship (C1-C4) | - Absorbent soils greater than 0.3 metres (1 ft.) in depth, cisterns/rain barrels, vegetated swales and dry swales, natural landscaping, green roof (on top of the parkade) and sediment control devices are proposed. <br> - The applicant is conveying a 2,532 sq.m. (o. 63 acre) Habitat Corridor area to the City. <br> - The applicant is proposing to retain 38 trees. <br> - Recycling and organic waste pick-up will be made available. |
| 4. Sustainable Transport \& Mobility (D1-D2) | - Bicycle parking is provided. <br> - The site will be connected via walkways to surrounding sidewalks and multi-use pathways. <br> - The site is located on a transit route ( 24 Avenue) |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety (E1-E3) | - Street-fronting townhouses and apartments will be oriented to the street, to provide surveillance of the public realm. <br> - Landscaping is designed to differentiate between the public and private realm, and also allow for surveillance of the public realm. <br> - Playground and outdoor and indoor gathering space is provided. |
| 6. Green Certification (F1) | - The applicant will comply with ASHRAE 90.1-2012. |
| 7. Education \& Awareness (G1-G4) | - Pre-notification to area residents occurred, in the form of a development proposal sign being erected on the property and prenotification letters being mailed out. A Public Information Meeting was also held. |

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

The application was not referred to the ADP for review. The design and landscaping plans were reviewed by staff and found generally acceptable. The apartment portion of the site will require review by the ADP when the applicant applies for a detailed Development Permit.

## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on May 25, 2015 and staff received phone calls or emails from 4 people. One resident wants the proposed NCP amendment to move ahead quickly so that they can sell their lot to the City for park land. One caller expressed concern about the proposed location of 167 A Street (Appendix VIII). One correspondent wants the proposed park to be larger. Another correspondent indicated concerns including wanting a larger park, not wanting 167A Street to connect through to 24 Avenue, and concerns about tree loss.

The applicant held a Public Information (PIM) meeting on June 11, 2015 and a total of 18 comment sheets were received. Sixteen (16) of the comment sheets were in support of the proposal and 2 comment sheets had the same concerns about the proposal as described above, as they were from the same people.
(The proposed NCP amendment on the subject site and the lands to the east will aid in the implementation of the proposed NCP park site, by aligning property lines with land use designations, thereby making it easier to purchase and redevelop parcels in line with the NCP's intended land uses. The Parks Department supports the size of the proposed park. The alignment of 167 A Street is necessary to provide connectivity and on-street parking. When the parcels along 24 Avenue near the proposed 167A Street come forward for redevelopment, the alignment of 167A Street will be implemented.)

## BY-LAW VARIANCE AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variances:

- To reduce the minimum setback of the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
o 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building face, to 4.1 metres ( 13 ft .) for the bay projection, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, and to 2.2 metres ( 7 ft .) to the third riser for the front yard (east) setback;
03.0 metres ( 10 ft. ) for the building face, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, to 2.6 metres ( 9 ft .) for the bay projection, to 1.8 metres ( 6 ft .) to the third riser, and to 1.0 metre ( 3 ft .) to the amenity patio for the side yard (south) setback;

O 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building face, to 4.1 metres ( 13 ft .) for the bay projection, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, and to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the third riser for the rear yard (west) setback; and
o 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building face, to 4.1 metres ( 13 ft .) for the bay projection, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, and to 2.7 metres ( 9 ft .) to the third riser for the front yard (north) setback.

Staff Comments:

- The proposed setbacks for the townhouse site will bring the townhouse units closer to the street and the park parcel which allows the units to better engage the street and park, which enlivens the public realm, and also provides surveillance over the public realm.
- The proposed setbacks allow for sufficient landscaping that helps delineate the private and public realms, while still allowing the townhouse buildings to address the public realm.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Proposed Site Plan, Building Elevations, and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0354-00
Appendix VI. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VII. Current Orchard Grove NCP Map
Appendix VIII. Proposed NCP Redesignation Map
Appendix IX. Proposed CD By-law

original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development

KB/dk

Information for City Clerk
Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Maciej Dembek

Barnett Dembek Architects Inc.
Address: \#135, 7536-130 Street
Surrey, BC V3W ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}_{8}$
Tel: 604-597-7100
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 16613-24 Avenue

16637-24 Avenue
16667-24 Avenue
(b) Civic Address: 16613-24 Avenue

Owner: 1017187 B.C. Ltd.
Director Information:
Stephen E Barker
Officer Information as at October 23, 2015:
Stephen E Barker (President, Secretary)
PID: oo6-252-524
Lot 23 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 41720
(c) Civic Address: 16637-24 Avenue

Owner: Cynthia N Schwarz
PID: oo6-252-532
Lot 24 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 41720
(d) Civic Address: 16667-24 Avenue

Owner: Anna-Maria T Piccolo
Vincenzo Piccolo
PID: oo6-252-567
Lot 25 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 41720
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce two By-laws to rezone the site.
(b) Proceed with Public Notification for Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0354-oo and bring the Development Variance Permit forward for an indication of support by Council. If supported, the Development Variance Permit will be brought forward for issuance and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with the final adoption of the associated Rezoning By-law.

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET - TOWNHOUSE SITE

Proposed Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 17,084 sq.m. |
| Road Widening \& Habitat Corridor |  | 3,871 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| Net Total |  | 13,213 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 45\% | 41\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  |  |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| North | 7.5 m | See DVP |
| South | 7.5 m | See DVP |
| East | 7.5 m | See DVP |
| West | 7.5m | See DVP |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 11 m | 10.7 m |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  | 2 |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 77 |
| Total |  | 79 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 12,196 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 12,196 sq.m. |

*If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | $60 \mathrm{uph} / 24$ upa | 60 uph/24 upa |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 237 sq.m. | 237 sq.m. |
| Outdoor | 237 sq.m. | 524 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential | 170 | 170 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Visitors | 16 | 17 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 186 | 187 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  |  |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET - APARTMENT SITE

Proposed Zoning: CD (based on RM-7o)

| Required Development Data | Minimum <br> Required / <br> Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 11,96o sq.m. |
| Road Widening \& Habitat Corridor |  | 4,893 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| Net Total |  | 7,o67 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 6o\% | 6o\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  |  |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| North | 7.2 m * | 7.2 m * |
| East | $4.7 \mathrm{~m}^{*}$ | $4.7 \mathrm{~m}^{*}$ |
| South | $10.5 \mathrm{~m}^{*}$ | $10.5 \mathrm{~m}^{*}$ |
| West | 4.6m* | 4.6 m * |
|  |  | *balcony encroachment allowed |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 20.5 m | 20.5 m |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  |  |
| Three Bedroom + |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 14,133 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 14,133 sq.m. |

## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) |  |  |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor |  | 447 sq.m. |
| Outdoor |  | 1,107 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor +1 Bedroom |  |  |
| 2 -Bed |  |  |
| 3-Bed |  |  |
| Residential Total |  | 267 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces |  | 267 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  |  |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Appendix II












SOUTH ELEVATION
BUILDING \#9 - VIEW ALONG 10.0 m WILDLIFE CORRIDOR


BUILDING \#11 - UNIT \#60
AMENITY BUILDING
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2nd FLOOR LEVEL
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development - South Surrey Division Planning and Development Department

FROM: Development Project Engineer, Engineering Department
PROJECT FILE: $\quad \mathbf{7 8 1 4 - 0 3 5 4 - 0 0}$

## RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements

Location: 1661324 Ave

## NCP AMENDMENT

There are no engineering requirements relative to the NCP Amendment.

## REZONING AND SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- dedicate 6.308 m along 24 Avenue towards the 37 m Arterial Road allowance;
- dedicate $3 \mathrm{~m} \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ corner cuts at lane;
- register 6.0 m statutory right-of-way along 24 Avenue. for a proposed multi-use-pathway;
- dedicate 17.0 m along 166 Street wildlife corridor for towards the 34 m road allowance;
- dedicate at 166 Street and 25 Avenue to accommodate 50 m diameter traffic circle;
- dedicate 4.5 m along 25 Avenue towards the 20 m Local Road allowance;
- dedicate 12 m for the Green Lane allowance; and
- register 0.5 m statutory rights of ways for inspection chambers and sidewalk maintenance.


## Works and Services

- construct 4 m wide multi-use path on the north side of 24 Avenue;
- construct 3 m wide concrete sidewalk along 166 Street wildlife corridor;
- construct 1.5 m sidewalk along 25 Avenue;
- construct green lane along east side of property;
- construct fronting mains as required in order to service the site; and
- construct water, sanitary, and storm service connections, complete with inspection chambers, to service the site

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezoning and Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit/ Development Variance Permit.

## Appendix IV

Tuesday, July 05, 2016
Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS APPLICATION \#: <br> 14035400

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.
Pacific Heights Elementary is currently over capacity with rapid enrolment growth expected to continue. A new elementary school site has been purchased south of 23rd Avenue - Site \#206 near Edgewood Drive. The construction of a new elementary school on this site is a high priority in the District's 5 -Year Capital Plan and feasibility planning is underway. The school district has also purchased land for a new secondary school in the Grandview area adjoining the City of Surrey future aquatic centre and recreation property. Capital project approval has been granted for the new 1,500 student secondary schools (likely opening 2020). A proposed addition to Pacific Heights Elementary is included in the capital plan, but as a lower priority than the two capital projects mentioned above. The enrolment projections include anticipated residential growth from the Grandview and Sunnyside NCPs. The actual enrolment growth rate will be driven by the timing of development, demographic changes and market factors. Enrolment pressures in this area of Surrey are extreme and capital project approval timelines for new elementary space are unknown at this time. Additional portables will be required at area schools for September 2016 and options for placing portables on neighbouring sites are under investigation. Surrey is a rapidly growing urban centre and as NCPs build out and densities increase the school district is concerned that capital investment approvals will not be available in a timely manner to support this local growth. Approved NCP densities are the basis on which school sites and capital projects are requested and higher than projected densities create a capital planning challenge and increase enrolment pressures. As required, the school district will continue to work with the City and Province to adjust our capital plans to request additional school spaces and land to meet local demands.

Pacific Heights Elementary


Earl Marriott Secondary

${ }^{*}$ Functional Capacity at secondary schools is based on space utilization estimate of 27 students per instructional space. The number of instructional spaces is estimated by dividing nominal facility capacity (Ministry capacity) by 25.

# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7914-0354-oo

| Issued To: | Vincenzo and Anna-Maria Piccolo |
| :--- | :--- |
| (the Owner) |  |
| Address of Owner: | $16667-24$ Avenue <br> Surrey, BC V4P 2W5 |
| Issued To: | 1017187 B.C. Ltd. <br> (the Owner) |
| Address of Owner: | $16613-24$ Avenue <br> Surrey, BC V3S oC4 |
| Issued To: | Cynthia N Schwarz |
|  | (the Owner) |
| Address of Owner: | $16637-24$ Avenue <br> Surrey, BC V3S oC4 |

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 006-252-524
Lot 23 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 41720 16613-24 Avenue

Parcel Identifier: 006-252-532
Lot 24 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 41720 16637-24 Avenue

Parcel Identifier: 006-252-567

# Lot 25 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 41720 16667-24 Avenue 

## (the "Land")

3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

## Parcel Identifier:

(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) to reduce the minimum front yard (east) setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building face, to 4.1 metres ( 13 ft .) for the bay projection, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, and to 2.2 metres ( 7 ft .) to the third riser;
(b) to reduce the minimum side yard (south) setback of the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) for the building face, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, to 2.6 metres ( 9 ft .) for the bay projection, to 1.8 metres ( 6 ft .) to the third riser, and to 1.0 metre ( 3 ft .) for the amenity patio;
(c) to reduce the minimum rear yard (west) setback of the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building face, to 4.1 metres ( 13 ft .) for the bay projection, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns, and to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the third riser; and
(d) to reduce the side front yard (north) setback of the RM-3o Zone from $7 \cdot 5$ metres $(25 \mathrm{ft}$.) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the building face, to 4.1 metres ( 13 ft .) for the bay projection, to 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) to the porch columns and to 2.7 metres ( 9 ft .) to the third riser.
5. This development variance permit applies to only that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.
AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 .
ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20.

Mayor - Linda Hepner

City Clerk - Jane Sullivan

Schedule A


## Appendix VI

Table 4. Tree Preservation Summary

## TREE PRESERVATION SUMMARY

| Address: | 16613, 16637 and 16667 24th Avenue, Surrey |
| :--- | :--- |
| Registered Arborist: | Trevor Cox, MCIP |
|  | ISA Certified Arborist (PN1920A) |
|  | Certified Tree Risk Assessor (43) |
|  | BC Parks Wildlife and Danger Tree Assessor |


| Qn-Sime Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 170 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 160 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 10 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ 58 X one (1) $=$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio 102 X two (2) $=$ <br> 204 | 262 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 87 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 175 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Habitat corridor and road dedication] | 28 |
| OffSte Trees | Number of Trees |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed |  |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ 1 $\mathrm{X} \quad$ one (1) $=$ $1$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio | 45 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed |  |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 45 |

Summary prepared and submitted by:


Date

Legend
Large Lot Duplex or Large Lot Single Family (2-10 upa)Small Lot Single Family w/wo Coach House (10-15 upa) Single Family (10 upa) or Townhouse with Tree Preservation (15 upa) Townhouse (15-30 upa)
Townhouse (15 upa) or Multi-Family with Tree Preservation (30 upa) Multi-Family ( $30-45$ upa)
Mixed-Use Commercial/Residential (30-45 upa)
Stormwater Detention Pond
Approved By Council 23 January 2012 Amended: 7 March 2016

Orchard Grove - Grandview Heights 5A Neighbourhood Land Use Concept Plan
City of Surrey Planning \& Development Department

Amenity Connector
Multi-UsePathway (8m SROW)
//// Potential Orchard Preservation

* Gateway Feature

| 0 | 50 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200 |  |
|  | Meters |  |



## Appendix IX

CITY OF SURREY
BYLAW NO.
A by-law to amend Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended

THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Surrey ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, is hereby further amended, pursuant to the provisions of Section 479 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C. 2015 C. 1, as amended by changing the classification of the following parcels of land, presently shown upon the maps designated as the Zoning Maps and marked as Schedule "A" of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended as follows:

FROM: ONE-ACRE RESIDENTIAL ZONE (RA)
TO: COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT ZONE (CD)

Portion of Parcel Identifier: 006-252-524
Lot 23 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District 41720,
as shown on the Survey Plan attached hereto and forming part of this By-law as Schedule A, certified correct by Vesselina Stoyneva, B.C.L.S. on the $24^{\text {th }}$ day of June, 2016, containing 3,663.5 sq.m., called Block A.

Portion of 16613-24 Avenue

Portion of Parcel Identifier: 006-252-532
Lot 24 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District 41720, as shown on the Survey Plan attached hereto and forming part of this By-law as Schedule A, certified correct by Vesselina Stoyneva, B.C.L.S. on the $24^{\text {th }}$ day of June, 2016, containing 3,663.0 sq.m., called Block B.

> Portion of 16637-24 Avenue

Portion of Parcel Identifier: 006-252-567
Lot 25 Section 24 Township 1 New Westminster District 41720, as shown on the Survey Plan attached hereto and forming part of this By-law as Schedule A, certified correct by Vesselina Stoyneva, B.C.L.S. on the $24^{\text {th }}$ day of June, 2016, containing 3,863.0 sq.m., called Block C.

Portion of 16667-24 Avenue
(hereinafter referred to as the "Lands")
2. The following regulations shall apply to the Lands:

## A. Intent

This Comprehensive Development Zone is intended to accommodate and regulate the development of medium density, multiple unit residential buildings and groundoriented multiple unit residential buildings and related amenity space which are to be developed in accordance with a comprehensive design.

## B. Permitted Uses

The Lands and structures shall be used for the following uses only, or for a combination of such uses:

1. Multiple unit residential buildings that incorporate ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings.
2. Child care centres, provided that such centres:
(a) Do not constitute a singular use on the lot; and
(b) Do not exceed a total area of 3.0 square metres [ 32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit.

## C. Lot Area

Not applicable to this Zone.

## D. Density

1. The maximum density shall not exceed a floor area ratio of o.1. The maximum density may be increased to that prescribed in Section D. 2 of this Zone if amenities are provided in accordance with Schedule G of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. The floor area ratio shall not exceed 2.0.
3. The indoor amenity space required in Sub-section J.1(b) of this Zone is excluded from the calculation of floor area ratio.

## E. Lot Coverage

The lot coverage shall not exceed $60 \%$.

## F. Yards and Setbacks

1. Buildings and structures shall be sited in accordance with the following minimum setbacks:

|  | Setback | Rear Yard <br> (East) | Front Yard <br> (West) | Side Yard <br> on <br> Flanking <br> Street <br> (South) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Use |  |  | Side Yard <br> (North) |  |
| Principal Buildings and 4.7 m. 4.6 m. 10.5 m. 7.2 m. <br> [24 ft.]     <br> [15 ft.]     <br> Structures Building and     | $[15 \mathrm{ft}$.] |  |  |  |

Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. Notwithstanding Section F. 1 of this Zone, balconies may be permitted to encroach up to 1.3 metres [ 4 ft .] into any setback.

## G. Height of Buildings

Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

1. Principal buildings: The building height shall not exceed 20.5 metres [ 67 ft .].
2. Accessory buildings and structures: The building height shall not exceed 4.5 metres [ 15 ft .].

## H. Off-Street Parking

1. Resident and visitor parking spaces shall be provided in accordance with Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. All required resident and visitor parking spaces shall be provided as underground parking.

## I. Landscaping

1. All developed portions of the lot not covered by buildings, structures or paved areas shall be landscaped including the retention of mature trees. This landscaping shall be maintained.
2. Along the developed sides of the lot which abut a highway, a continuous landscaping strip of not less than 1.5 metres [ 5 ft .] in width shall be provided within the lot.
3. The boulevard areas of highways abutting a lot shall be seeded or sodded with grass on the side of the highway abutting the lot, except at driveways.
4. Garbage containers and passive recycling containers shall be located within the underground parking.

## J. Special Regulations

1. Amenity space shall be provided on the lot as follows:
(a) Outdoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [ 32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit and shall not be located within the required setbacks; and
(b) Indoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [ 32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit.
2. Child care centres shall be located on the lot such that these centres:
(a) Are accessed from a highway, independent from the access to the residential uses permitted in Section B of this Zone; and
(b) Have direct access to an open space and play area within the lot.
3. Balconies are required for all dwelling units which are not ground-oriented and shall be a minimum of $5 \%$ of the dwelling unit size or 4.6 square metres [50 sq. ft.] per dwelling unit, whichever is greater.

## K. Subdivision

Lots created through subdivision in this Zone shall conform to the following minimum standards:

| Lot Size | Lot Width | Lot Depth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30 metres | 30 metres |
| 4,000 sq. m. | $[100 \mathrm{ft}$.] | $[100 \mathrm{ft}]$ |
| 1 acre] | [imensions shall be measured in accordance with Section E.21 of Part 4 General |  |
| Provisions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended. |  |  |

## L. Other Regulations

In addition to all statutes, bylaws, orders, regulations or agreements, the following are applicable, however, in the event that there is a conflict with the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone and other provisions in Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone shall take precedence:

1. Definitions are as set out in Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. Prior to any use, the Lands must be serviced as set out in Part 2 Uses Limited, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No, 12000, as amended and in accordance with the servicing requirements for the RM-7o Zone as set forth in the Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, as amended.
3. General provisions are as set out in Part 4 General Provisions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
4. Additional off-street parking requirements are as set out in Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
5. Sign regulations are as set out in Surrey Sign By-law, 1999, No. 13656, as amended.
6. Special building setbacks are as set out in Part 7 Special Building Setbacks, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
7. Building permits shall be subject to the Surrey Building Bylaw, 2012, No. 17850 , as amended.
8. Building permits shall be subject to Surrey Development Cost Charge Bylaw, 2014, No. 18148, as may be amended or replaced from time to time, and the development cost charges shall be based on the RM-70 Zone.
9. Tree regulations are set out in Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100, as amended.
10. Development permits may be required in accordance with the Surrey Official Community Plan By-law, 2013, No. 18020, as amended.
11. Provincial licensing of child care centres is regulated by the Community Care and Assisted Living Act S.B.C. 2002, c. 75, as amended, and the child Care Licensing Regulation set out under B.C. Reg. 95/2009, as amended.
12. This By-law shall be cited for all purposes as "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, ,No.

PASSED FIRST READING on the th day of ,20 .
PASSED SECOND READING on the th day of ,20 .
PUBLIC HEARING HELD thereon on the th day of ,20 .
PASSED THIRD READING on the th day of ,20 .
RECONSIDERED AND FINALLY ADOPTED, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal on the th day of , 20 .
$\qquad$ MAYOR
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## Schedule A

SURVEY PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CITY OF SURREY REZONING BYLAW No. $\qquad$ OF: PORTIONS OF LOTS 23, 24 AND 25, SECTION 24 TOWNSHIP 1 NWD PLAN 41720
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