

City of Surrey ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS

## File: 7914-0286-00

Planning Report Date: October 24, 2016

## PROPOSAL:

- Housing Agreement
to regulate the tenure of a proposed 106-unit rental apartment building.

LOCATION:
OWNER:
ZONING:
OCP DESIGNATION:
NCP DESIGNATION: Institutional


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction, First, Second and Third Reading for the Housing Agreement.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- None.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- At the July 25, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, Council granted Third Reading to Application No. 7914-0286-oo (By-law Nos. 18683 and 18684) which allows for the development of 181 townhouse units, a commercial plaza and a 106-unit purpose-built rental housing apartment building. In addition to granting Third Reading, Council also made the following resolution:
o That "staff work with the applicant to devise a housing agreement, prior to final adoption, to ensure the rental housing units will be secured via restrictive covenant" and "that the agreement also contain a specific clause denoting a set period of time before an amendment can be applied for strata conversion". (RES.R16-1576)
- The attached Housing Agreement, to be adopted by By-law and registered on title through a Restrictive Covenant, will restrict the apartment building to a rental tenure for 20 years. The Housing Agreement also stipulates that the rental building shall not be stratified during this 20-year period.
- The Housing Agreement By-law will be brought forward for final adoption concurrently with the Rezoning by-law when all issues associated with the rezoning are addressed.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that a By-law be introduced to enter into a Housing Agreement and be given First, Second and Third Reading.

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

- At the July 25, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, Council granted Third Reading to Application No. 7914-0286-oo (By-law Nos. 18683 and 18684) which allows for the development of 181 townhouse units, a commercial plaza and a 106-unit purpose-built rental housing apartment building. In addition to granting Third Reading, Council also made the following resolution:

0 That "staff work with the applicant to devise a housing agreement, prior to final adoption, to ensure the rental housing units will be secured via restrictive covenant" and "that the agreement also contain a specific clause denoting a set period of time before an amendment can be applied for strata conversion" (RES.R16-1576).

- Section 483 of the Local Government Act, authorizes Local Governments to enter into Housing Agreements for affordable and special needs housing.
- Typically Housing Agreements include the terms and conditions agreed to by the Local Government and the owner regarding:

0 the form of tenure of the housing units;

0 the occupancy of the housing units identified in the agreement (including their form of tenure and their availability to the classes of persons identified in the agreement);

0 the administration of the units (including the means by which the units will be made available to intended occupants); and

0 the rents and lease prices of units that may be charged and the rates at which these can be increased over time.

- The attached Housing Agreement, to be adopted by By-law and registered on title, will restrict the form of tenure to a rental tenure for a minimum period of 20 years from the date of the final inspection of the rental building. The Housing Agreement also stipulates that the rental building shall not be stratified during this 20 year period.
- The City may also from time to time require that the owner of the building provide written proof of compliance with the Agreement.
- The Housing Agreement By-law will be brought forward for final adoption concurrently with the Rezoning by-law when all issues associated with the rezoning are addressed.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary
Appendix II. Proposed Housing Agreement By-law and Housing Agreement
Appendix III. June 13, 2016 Planning Report for Development Application No. 7914-0286-00 (without appendices, except for a few relevant plans from Appendix II)
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development

KB/da

## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Jess Dhillon Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd.
Address: Unit 102, 31324 - Peardonville Road Abbotsford, BC V2T 6K8
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 5750 - Panorama Drive
(b) Civic Address: 5750 - Panorama Drive Owner: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd. PID: o23-208-732
Lot 13 Section 10 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan LMP 24916
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduction, First, Second and Third Reading for the Housing Agreement By-law.

CITY OF SURREY<br>BYLAW NO.

A by-law to authorize the City of Surrey to enter into a Housing Agreement

WHEREAS the City of Surrey has received an application to enter into a housing agreement;

AND WHEREAS Section 483 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C. 2015 c.1, as amended (the "Local Government Act"), empowers the Council or the City of Surrey to enter into a housing agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Surrey, in open meeting assembled, ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The City of Surrey is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into a housing agreement in the form attached hereto as Schedule A and forming part of this By-law (the "Housing Agreement") with the following party:

> REDEKOP (PANORAMA) HOMES LTD.
> Unit 102, 31324 - Peardonville Road
> Abbotsford, BC V2T 6K8
and with respect to that certain parcel or tract of lands and premises, situate lying and being in the City of Surrey, in the Province of British Columbia and being more particularly known and described as:

Parcel Identifier: 023-208-732
Lot 13 Section 10 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan LMP24916

$$
5750 \text { - Panorama Drive }
$$

(the "Land")
2. The Mayor and Clerk are hereby empowered to execute the Housing Agreement on behalf of the City of Surrey.
3. The City of Surrey shall file in the Land Title Office a notice against the Lands in accordance with Section 483 of the Local Government Act, that the Lands are subject to the Housing Agreement.
4. This By-law shall be cited for all purposes as "The Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd. Housing Agreement, Authorization By-law, 2016, No. $\qquad$ ."

PASSED THREE READINGS on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2016.

RECONSIDERED AND FINALLY ADOPTED, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , $\qquad$ .
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ CLERK
f:\14-286 redekop panorama \ha planning rpt appendices $\backslash 10131549 \mathrm{~kb}$.docx
. 10/17/16 10:39 AM

Your electronic signature is a representation that you are a subscriber as defined by the Land Title Act, RSBC 1996 c.250, and that you have applied your electronic signature in accordance with Section 168.3, and a true copy, or a copy of that true copy, is in your possession.

1. APPLICATION: (Name, address, phone number of applicant, applicant's solicitor or agent)

LANDO \& COMPANY LLP, Barristers and Solicitors

PO Box 11140
2010-1055 West Georgia Street
Vancouver
BC V6E 3P3

File No.: 56761/0008 Housing Agreement Covenant Client No.: 010394
Phone No.: 604-682-6821
2. PARCEL IDENTIFIER AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF LAND:
[PID]
[LEGAL DESCRIPTION]
NO PID
LOT 4 SECTION 10 TOWNSHIP 2 NWD PLAN EPP59961

STC? YES
3. NATURE OF INTEREST CHARGE NO. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

## SEE SCHEDULE

4. TERMS: Part 2 of this instrument consists of (select one only)
(a) $\square$ Filed Standard Charge Terms D.F. No.
(b) $\square$ Express Charge Terms Annexed as Part 2
A selection of (a) includes any additional or modified terms referred to in Item 7 or in a schedule annexed to this instrument.
5. TRANSFEROR(S):

SEE SCHEDULE
6. TRANSFEREE(S): (including postal address(es) and postal code(s))

CITY OF SURREY

13450104 AVENUE
SURREY
BRITISH COLUMBIA
V3T 1V8
CANADA
7. ADDITIONAL OR MODIFIED TERMS:
8. EXECUTION(S): This instrument creates, assigns, modifies, enlarges, discharges or governs the priority of the interest(s) described in Item 3 and the Transferor(s) and everf other signatory agree to be bound by this instrument, and acknowledge(s) receipt of a true copy of the filed standard


REDEKOP (PANORMA) HOMES LTD. by its authorized signatory


Print Name:

## OFFICER CERTIFICATION:

Your signature constitutes a representation that you are a solicitor, notary public or other person authorized by the Evidence Act, R.S.B.C. 1996, c.124, to take affidavits for use in British Columbia and certifies the matters set out in Part 5 of the Land Title Act as they pertain to the execution of this instrument.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(as to both signatures)
(as to both signatures)

## OFFICER CERTIFICATION:

Your signature constitutes a representation that you are a solicitor, notary public or other person authorized by the Evidence Act, R.S.B.C. 1996, c.124, to take affidavits for use in British Columbia and certifies the matters set out in Part 5 of the Land Title Act as they pertain to the execution of this instrument.

LAND TITLE ACT
FORM E
SCHEDULE

NATURE OF INTEREST
Covenant

CHARGE NO. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Section 219 Covenant

Entire Instrument
Person Entitled to Interest: Transferee

| NATURE OF INTEREST Priority Agreement | CHARGE NO. | ADDITIONAL INFORMATION <br> Granting the Covenant above priority over Mortgage CA4212914 and Assignment of Rents CA4212915 <br> Persons Entitled to Interest: Transferee <br> Page 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NATURE OF INTEREST Priority Agreement | CHARGE NO. | ADDITIONAL INFORMATION <br> Granting the Covenant above priority over Mortgage CA4329987 <br> Persons Entitled to Interest: Transferee <br> Page 11 |

NATURE OF INTEREST CHARGE NO. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

ENTER THE REQUIRED INFORMATION IN THE SAME ORDER AS THE INFORMATION MUST APPEAR ON THE FREEHOLD TRANSFER FORM, MORTGAGE FORM, OR GENERAL INSTRUMENT FORM.

## 5. TRANSFEROR(S):

REDEKOP (PANORAMA) HOMES LTD. (Inc. No. BC0996875) (as to Covenant) CANADIAN WESTERN BANK (as to Priority)
KELSON INVESTMENTS LTD. (Inc. No. BC0754748) (as to Priority)

## TERMS OF INSTRUMENT - PART II

## SECTION 219 COVENANT AND HOUSING AGREEMENT (Occupancy)

## BETWEEN:

CITY OF SURREY, a Municipal Corporation having its municipal offices at 13450104 Avenue, Surrey, British Columbia V3T 1V8
(the "City")

## OF THE FIRST PART

## AND:

REDEKOP (PANORAMA) HOMES LTD., Inc. No. BC996875, 102 - 31324
Peardonville Road, Abbotsford, British Columbia V2T 6K8
(the "Covenantor")

## OF THE SECOND PART

## WHEREAS:

A. The Covenantor is the current registered owner of those certain lands and premises located at 5750 Panorama Drive, Surrey, B.C. and legally described as follows:

No PID
Lot 4 Section 10 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan EPP59961
(the "Lands");
B. Section 219 of the Land Title Act (RSBC 1996, c. 250) provides, inter alia, that a covenant, whether of a negative or positive nature, may be registered as a charge against the title to land, in favour of a municipality or the Crown, and that the covenant is enforceable against the Covenantor and the successors in title of the Covenantor;
C. Section 483 of the Local Government Act (RSBC 2015, c. 1) authorizes the City by bylaw to enter into a housing agreement;
D. The Covenantor desires to construct a building on a portion of the Lands containing 106 rental Dwelling Units (the "Rental Building"); and
E. The Covenantor and the City wish to enter into this Agreement to restrict the use and occupancy of the Rental Building, on the terms and conditions set out herein, to have effect both as a covenant under section 219 of the Land Title Act and as a housing agreement under section 483 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSES THAT pursuant to section 219 of the Land Title Act and section 483 of the Local Government Act, and in consideration of the premises, the mutual covenants and agreements contained herein and other good and valuable consideration and the sum of One Dollar ( $\$ 1.00$ ) now paid by the City to the Covenantor (the receipt and sufficiency whereof is hereby acknowledged), the parties hereto covenant and agree that the Lands shall not be used or built on except in accordance with this Covenant as follows:

## 1. DEFINED TERMS

1.1 In and for the purpose of this Agreement, the following words have the following meanings:
(a) "Agreement" means this document and any amendments to or modifications of the same;
(b) "Dwelling Unit" means the 106 private dwelling units to be constructed within the Rental Building; and
(c) "Term" means 20 years, commencing on the first day of the month after the final inspection for the Rental Building is issued by the City.

## 2. RESTRICTIONS ON OCCUPANCY OF DWELLING UNITS

2.1 The Covenantor shall be solely responsible for leasing the Dwelling Units from time-totime on such terms as the Covenantor determines, provided such terms are in accordance with this Agreement.
2.2 The Covenantor covenants and agrees to operate the Rental Building as a rental building and agrees that the Dwelling Units shall be rental units available for rent during the Term of this Agreement.
2.3 The Rental Building shall not be stratified during the Term.
2.4 This Agreement shall automatically terminate at the end of the Term on the day that is 20 years after the date of this Agreement and the City shall remove notice of this Agreement from title to the Lands at such time.
2.5 The City may from time to time require that the Covenantor provide written proof of compliance with section 2 of this Agreement and the Covenantor agrees to provide the City with such proof in a form reasonably satisfactory to the City.

## 3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 If the Covenantor fails to enforce compliance with the terms and conditions of section 2 of this Agreement, then it is specifically understood and agreed that the City will be entitled, but will not be obliged, to enforce the terms and conditions of section 2 of this Agreement.
3.2 For the purpose of this Agreement, the Covenantor, without the need for further authorization, writing or documents, hereby irrevocably appoints the City as its agent with respect to the enforcement of this Agreement and with respect to exercising all remedies set out in this Agreement and all other remedies available at law to the Covenantor that relate to this Agreement.

## 4. LIABILITY

4.1 The Covenantor will indemnify and save harmless the City and each of its elected and appointed officials, employees and agents and their respective administrators, successors and permitted assigns, of and from all claims, demands, actions, damages, costs and liabilities, which all or any of them shall or may be liable for or suffer or incur or be put to by reasons of or arising out of failure of the Covenantor to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement.
4.2 Provided that the City is in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Covenantor hereby releases and forever discharges the City and each of its elected and appointed officials, employees and agents and their respective administrators, successors and permitted assigns, of and from any and all claims, demands, actions, damages, economic loss, costs and liabilities which the Covenantor now has or hereafter may have with respect to or by reasons of or arising out of the fact that the Lands are encumbered by this Agreement.

## 5. NOTICE

5.1 Any notice or other documents to be given or delivered pursuant to this Agreement will be addressed to the property party as follows:
as to the City:
City of Surrey
$1424556^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
Surrey, B.C. V3X 3A2
Attention: General Manager, Planning \& Development Dept.
as to the Covenantor:
Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd. 102-31324 Peardonville Road
Abbotsford, B.C. V2T 6K8
Attention: President
or such other address as such party may direct. Any notice or other documents to be given or delivered pursuant to this Agreement will be sufficiently given or delivered if delivered to the particular party at its address set out or determined in accordance with this section and shall be deemed complete two (2) days after the day of delivery.
5.2 It is specifically agreed that for any notice or document to be validly given or delivered pursuant to this Agreement, such notice or document must be hand delivered and not mailed.

## 6. MISCELLANEOUS

6.1 The Covenantor acknowledges and agrees that:
(a) this Agreement constitutes both a covenant under section 219 of the Land Title Act and a housing agreement under section 483 of the Local Government Act;
(b) the City is required to file a notice of housing agreement in the Land Title Office against title to the Lands; and
(c) once such a notice is filed, this Agreement binds all persons who acquire an interest in the Lands as a housing agreement.
6.2 The burden of the covenants herein provided for shall run with the Lands and will be personal and binding upon the Covenantor during the Covenantor's seisen of or ownership of any interest in the Lands.
6.3 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the Covenantor shall not be liable under any breach of any covenants and agreements contained herein after the Covenantor ceases to have any further interest in the Lands.
6.4 The fee simple estate in and to the Lands will not pass or vest in the City under or by virtue of these presents and the Covenantor may fully use and enjoy the Lands except only for the requirements provided for in this Agreement.
6.5 The covenants and agreements on the part of the Covenantor and herein provided for have been made by the Covenantor as contractual obligations as well as having been made pursuant to section 219 of the Land Title Act and section 483 of the Local Government Act and as such will be binding on the Covenantor.

## 7. GENERAL

7.1 The captions, section numbers and article numbers appearing in this Agreement are inserted for convenience of reference and shall in no way define, limit, construe or describe the scope of intent of this Agreement or in any way affect this Agreement.
7.2 Words importing the singular number only shall include the plural and vice versa, words importing the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter gender and vice versa and words importing persons shall include firms and corporations and vice versa.
7.3 Unless otherwise stated, a reference in this Agreement to a numbered or lettered article, section, paragraph or clause refers to the article, section, paragraph or clause bearing that number or letter in this Agreement.
7.4 The words "hereof", "herein" and similar expressions used in any section, paragraph or clause of this Agreement shall relate to the whole of this Agreement and not to that section, paragraph or clause only unless otherwise expressly provided.
7.5 Nothing in this Agreement:
(a) affects or limits any discretion, rights, powers, duties or obligations of the City under any enactment or at common law, including in relation to the uses or subdivision of the Lands; or
(b) relieves the Covenantor from complying with any enactment, including the City's by-laws.
7.6 An alleged waiver by a party of any breach by another party of its obligations under this Agreement will be effective only if it is an express waiver of the breach in writing. No waiver of a breach of this Agreement is deemed or construed to be a consent or waiver of any other breach of this Agreement.
7.7 Time is of the essence of this Agreement. If any party waives this requirement, that party may reinstate it by delivering notice to the other party.
7.8 This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with and governed by the laws of the Province of British Columbia.
7.9 If a court of competent jurisdiction finds that any part of this Agreement is invalid, illegal or unenforceable, that part is to be considered to have been severed from the rest of this Agreement and the rest of this Agreement remains in force unaffected by that holding or by the severance of that part.
7.10 Upon request by the City, the Covenantor will promptly do such acts and execute such documents as the City may reasonably require, in the opinion of the City, to give effect to this Agreement.
7.11 This Agreement is the entire agreement between and among the parties concerning the subject matter hereof and there are no warranties, representations, conditions or collateral agreements relating to this Agreement, except as included in this Agreement.
7.12 This Agreement may be enforced by prohibitory and mandatory court order of the Court. In any action to enforce this Agreement, the City shall be entitled to court costs on a solicitor and own client basis.
7.13 This Agreement shall enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties and their successors and assigns.

## MEMORANDUM AS TO ENCUMBRANCES, LIENS AND INTEREST

Mortgage CA4212914 and Assignment of Rents CA4212915 (the "Charge") in favour of CANADIAN WESTERN BANK (the "Lender")

## CONSENT

IN CONSIDERATION of ONE (\$1.00) DOLLAR now paid to the Lender (the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged), the Lender covenants and agrees as follows:

The Lender, being the holder of the encumbrance or entitled to the lien or interest referred to in the memorandum above written, hereby consents to the registration of the within agreement. The Lender further covenants and agrees that the same will be binding upon its interest in or charge upon thesaid lands and will be an encumbrance upon the said lands in priority and prior to the Charge and in the same mannerand to the same effect as if it had been dated and registered prior to the Charge.

## MEMORANDUM AS TO ENCUMBRANCES, LIENS AND INTEREST

Mortgage CA4329987 (the "Charge") in favour of KELSON INVESTMENTS LTD. (the "Lender")

## CONSENT

IN CONSIDERATION of ONE ( $\$ 1.00$ ) DOLLAR now paid to the Lender (the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged), the Lender covenants and agrees as follows:

The Lender, being the holder of the encumbrance or entitled to the lien or interest referred to in the memorandum above written, hereby consents to the registration of the within agreement. The Lender further covenants and agrees that the same will be binding upon its interest in or charge upon the said lands and will be an encumbrance upon the said lands in priority and prior to the Charge and in the same mannerand to the same effect as if it had been dated and registered prior to the Charge.

## END OF DOCUMENT

# Appendix III 



# City of Surrey ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS File: 7914-0286-00 

Planning Report Date: June 13, 2016

## PROPOSAL:

- Partial OCP Amendment from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential"
- NCP Amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max", "Apartment 65 upa max", and "Park"
- Rezoning from CD (By-law No. 12282) to RA, C-8, RM-30 and RM-70
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 181 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a commercial plaza.

LOCATION: $\quad 5750$ Panorama Dr
OWNER: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd
ZONING:
CD (By-law No. 12282)
OCP DESIGNATION: Commercial
NCP DESIGNATION: Institutional


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for:
o OCP Amendment; and
o Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is proposing a partial OCP amendment to redesignate a portion of the site from "Commercial" to "Multiple-Residential".
- The applicant is proposing an NCP amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", ""Townhouses 25 upa max" and "Apartments 65 upa max".
- The applicant is seeking to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- At the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, Council considered the subject application and passed the following motion (RES.R16-410):
o That Application No. 7914-o286-oo be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant to address concerns regarding the proposed density, public consultation and the potential negative impact on local schools.
- The March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use minutes also state:
o Council noted that there was a potential negative impact on local schools and a lack of indoor amenity space and requested the application be referred back to staff to address the concerns raised during the Regular Council Land Use meeting with thought of phasing in densification.
- Since the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, the applicant has worked to revise their proposal and respond to Council's direction. The applicant also held a Public Information Meeting to apprise the local community of the revised proposal. The applicant has made the following revisions to their proposal:
o reduced the number of proposed townhouse units by 17 units, from 198 units to 181 units;
o decreased the number of tandem parking units from $43 \%$ ( 86 units) to $22 \%$ (40 units) of the total number of townhouse units;
o increased the size of 31 townhouse units to approximately 186 square metres (2,000 sq.ft.), and incorporated space for an elevator shaft in 28 units, in an effort to target more of an "empty-nester downsizer" demographic;
o increased the amount of indoor amenity space for the townhouse portion of the site from 191 square metres ( 2,060 sq.ft.) to 546 square metres ( 5,880 sq.ft.), which exceeds the Zoning By-law requirement for indoor amenity space; and
o provided a phasing plan (Appendix II) to demonstrate how the townhouse portion of the site will be built out over the next 4 years.
- The applicant has also held discussions with the Surrey School District, and the School District has provided updated comments. The School District's 5 -Year Capital Plan includes new space requests for both Sullivan Elementary and Sullivan Heights Secondary School. The provincial government also recently announced capital project approval for an addition to the Sullivan Elementary School.
- In light of the applicant's proposed revisions to the townhouse portion of the site, the phasing of the project to occur over a 4 -year period, and the new School District information provided since March 7, 2016, staff are of the view that Council's concerns raised at the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting have been addressed, and that the application is in order to receive Council's consideration.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to partially amend the ОСР by re-designating a portion of the subject site in Development Application No. 7914-0286-0o from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" and a date for Public Hearing be set (Appendix VIII of the March 7, 2016 planning report - attached).
2. Council determine the opportunities for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities that are considered to be affected by the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan, as described in the Report, to be appropriate to meet the requirement of Section 475 of the Local Government Act.
3. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "Comprehensive Development Zone (By-law No. 12282)" (CD) to:

- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the portion of the site shown as Block E on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) for the portion of the site shown as Block B on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) for the portions of the site shown as Blocks A and C on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the portion of the site shown as Block D on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
and a date be set for Public Hearing.

4. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7914-0286-oo including a comprehensive sign design package generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
5. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-00 (Appendix IX of the March 7, 2016 planning report - attached) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum setback of the C-8 Zone from $7 \cdot 5$ metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. 5.0 metres ( 16.5 ft .) for the east property line (along 152 Street);
ii. 4.25 metres ( 14 ft .) for the west property line; and
iii. 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for the north property line (along the new east-west road);
(b) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new northsouth road;
ii. 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 1 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
iii. $\quad 3.0$ ( 10 ft .) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel; and
iv. $\quad 4.4$ metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site.
(c) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-70 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the west, south and north setbacks;
(d) to increase the maximum lot coverage of the RM-70 Zone from $33 \%$ to $40 \%$; and
(e) to reduce the minimum number of on-site resident parking spaces for the apartment site from 151 parking stalls to 139 parking stalls.
6. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) approval from the Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure;
(d) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(e) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) submission of an acoustical report for the townhouse units adjacent to 152 Street and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant on the townhouse site to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space; and
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture.
7. Council pass a resolution to amend the South Newton NCP to redesignate the land from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park", as well as adjust the road network when the project is considered for final adoption, per Appendix VII of the March 7, 2016 planning report (attached).

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III of the March 7, 2016 planning report.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

## Projected number of students from this development:

57 Elementary students at Sullivan Elementary School 30 Secondary students at Sullivan Heights Secondary School

The applicant has advised that the first dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy in Fall of 2017.

Parks will acquire the proposed parkland, as shown in Appendix II. The applicant has agreed to provide improvements to the proposed park, including grading, drainage, hard surface pathway, landscape and design services as part of a community benefit in support of the proposed OCP amendment. Building elevations adjacent to parkland should ensure CPTED and urban design principles are applied. All fencing adjacent to parkland should be permeable and limited to 1.2 m in height. The applicant should set back any "up-hill" retaining walls adjacent to parkland.

Ministry of Transportation
\& Infrastructure (MOTI):
Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.
Ministry of Environment: The site received a Certificate of Compliance dated December 5, 2013. The Certificate of Compliance states that "a qualified environmental consultant must be available to identify, characterize and appropriately manage any environmental media that may be contaminated and may be encountered during any future subsurface work at the site". This will be a requirement of the Servicing Agreement, and the Building Permit, as applicable.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

## Existing Land Use: Vacant property.

## Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/NCP <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North and West <br> (Across Panorama <br> Drive): | Townhouse units. | Multiple Residential <br> / Apartments (45 upa <br> max) | CD (By-law No. 13637) |
| East (Across 152 <br> Street): | Single family residential. | Urban and Suburban | RH-G, RA and CD <br> (By-law Nos. 17603, <br> 18281, 14512, 14510, <br> 17731 and 18264) |
| South: | Commercial complex with <br> Market Place IGA, <br> Shoppers Drug Mart and <br> other CRUs | Commercial / <br> Commercial | CD (By-law Nos. 17005 <br> and 12282) |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background

- At the Regular Council - Land Use meeting of March 7, 2016, Council considered an initial Planning Report (Appendix III) which proposed:
o to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" for a portion of the site;

0 to amend the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to redesignate the subject site from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park" for portions of the site;
o to rezone the site from from "Comprehensive Development Zone (By-law No. 12282)" (CD) to:

- "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) for the townhouse portion;
- "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the apartment portion;
- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the commercial portion; and
- "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) for the park portion;
o a Development Permit to allow the development of 198 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a 3-building commercial plaza; and
o a Development Variance Permit to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.
- Subsequently, Council passed the following resolution (RES.R16-410):
o That Application No. 7914-0286-oo be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant to address concerns regarding the proposed density, public consultation and the potential negative impact on local schools.
- The March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use minutes also state:
o Council noted that there was a potential negative impact on local schools and a lack of indoor amenity space and requested the application be referred back to staff to address the concerns raised during the Regular Council Land Use meeting with thought of phasing in densification.


## Current Proposal

- Since the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, the applicant has worked to revise the proposal. Specifically, the applicant has made the following revisions to their proposal:
o The number of proposed townhouse units has been reduced by 17 units, from 198 units to 181 units. The reduction in townhouse units reduces the density on the townhouse portion of the site from 59 units per hectare ( 24 units per acre) to 55 units per hectare ( 22 units per acre).

0 The size of 31 townhouse units has been increased to approximately 186 square metres ( 2,000 sq.ft.), and incorporated space for an elevator shaft in 28 units, in an effort to target more of an "empty-nester downsizer" demographic.
o The number of tandem parking units has been decreased from $43 \%$ ( 86 units) to $22 \%$ ( 40 units) of the total number of townhouse units.

0 The size of the indoor amenity space on the townhouse site has been significantly increased from 191 square metres ( 2,060 sq.ft.) to 546 square metres ( 5,880 sq.ft.), which exceeds the Zoning By-law requirements for indoor amenity space. The indoor amenity space will include a large games/activity room, a fitness room, a children's learning centre room, washrooms and kitchen facilities.
o A phasing plan (Appendix II) has been submitted which demonstrates how the townhouse portion of the site will be built out over the next 4 years. Phase 1 will have 33 units and has an estimated occupancy date in Fall 2017. Phase 2 will have 47 units and is expected to be ready for occupancy in Fall 2018. Phase 3 ( 51 units) and Phase 4 (50 units) are proposed for completion in Fall 2019 and Fall 2020, respectively.

## School District Comments

- The School District has advised that they have been aware of the subject application for some time and in response:
o have included the new residential units in the school projections;
o have increased the priority of an addition to Sullivan Elementary School in their capital plan;
o have increased the priority of an addition to Sullivan Heights Secondary School in their capital plan; and
o will in the future look to incorporate a request for a new elementary school in the South Newton area (in response to higher than expected density approvals, the continued residential development around Woodward Hill and the subject development).
- In May 2016, the government announced capital project approval for an addition to Sullivan Elementary, however details around the size of the addition and completion date are not yet finalized.
- Although the School District has requested new space, timelines for future approvals are unknown and enrolment pressures remain very high in the area (requiring the district to increase portable allocations, make numerous catchment changes, cap enrolment at Sullivan Heights and propose choice- program reductions). Surrey is a rapidly growing urban centre and as NCPs build out and densities increase, the school district is concerned that capital investment from the Ministry of Education will not be available in a timely manner to support this local growth. The School District will continue to work with the City and Province to adjust our capital plans to request additional school spaces to meet local demands.


## PUBLIC CONSULTATION

The applicant held a Public Information (PIM) meeting on May 9, 2016 at the Tong Louie Family YMCA to apprise the local community of the proposed revisions to the project. A total of 114 residents signed in, and 68 comment sheets or email responses were submitted. The comment sheet prepared by the applicant did not ask if the proposal was supported by attendees, however, the majority of feedback indicates concern with the proposed development. The primary concerns identified include overcrowding at local schools, traffic, parking and concerns about the proposed rental tenure of the apartment building.

Revised pre-notification letters were also mailed out on May 18, 2016. Staff have received the following correspondence since the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting and in response to the revised notification and the applicant's Public Information Meeting (PIM):

- 53 emails and 3 phone calls expressing concerns with the proposal. The following provides a summary of the concerns that were noted:
o Overcrowding in local schools. Many residents are concerned that the proposed 181 townhouse units and 106 apartment will increase this issue;
(See response below.)
o Parking related concerns. Residents have expressed concern that the proposed number of residential units and the commercial development will add to parking congestion in the area. Many residents pointed out that they already experience parking shortages, exacerbated by the nearby YMCA;
(See response below.)
o Traffic related concerns. Many residents expressed concern that adding more people to the area will increase traffic.
(See response below.)
- Additionally, 20 letters were received from Grade 1 and 2 students at Cambridge Elementary School, which is located in close proximity to the proposal. Nineteen (19) of the letter writers were not in favour of the proposed development and 1 writer was in support of the development; and
- It should also be noted that 1 phone call was received from a nearby business owner who expressed support for the proposal.

In terms of the main issues raised by area residents, the following can be noted:

- Overcrowding in local schools;
(The School District's 5-Year Capital Plan includes new space requests for both the Sullivan Elementary and Sullivan Heights Secondary schools. The provincial government has announced capital project approval for an addition to the Sullivan Elementary School.)
- Lack of parking in neighbourhood;
(The revised proposal meets the Zoning By-law requirement for parking spaces for the townhouse and commercial portions of the site. A twelve (12) parking space reduction from the Zoning By-law requirement of 154 spaces ( $8 \%$ reduction) is proposed on the apartment portion, which is considered acceptable by staff given the site's proximity to existing transit services on 152 Street.
It should also be noted that additional on-street parking spaces will be available on Panorama Drive and the new east-west road proposed through the site; however these would be supplemental to the available parking on site. Based on a neighbourhood parking study conducted as part of the application, the number of on-street parking spaces provided exceeds the anticipated additional on-street parking demand that would be generated by the proposed development.
In addition, the applicant is proposing a reduction in the number of tandem parking units from what was proposed in the March 7, 2016 planning report, from 86 units (43\%) to 40 units (22\%).)
- Increase in traffic;
(The applicant was requested to conduct a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to assess the impact of the anticipated site-generated traffic from the proposed development. The TIA confirms that the overall impact of the site-generated traffic on the operations of the existing transportation infrastructure will be modest. The proposed development assists in achieving a finer grained road network to distribute traffic, with the applicant providing a dedication for a new east-west road, connecting 152 Street to Panorama Drive.)
- Rental tenure proposed for apartment building.
(The proposed apartment building is of a high quality in terms of design and materials. The proposed rental tenure and unit type increases the housing diversity in the area, as there are few rental buildings in the South Newton NCP area. The proposed building form (no stairs) and tenure is attractive to aging residents who wish to remain in the area. The applicant advises that the units will be targeted to residents aged 55+. It should also be noted that tenure is not regulated by the City. Staff understands that there is a need for rental units throughout the City which this proposal could help to resolve.)


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary
Appendix II. Phasing Plan, Site Plan, Building Elevations and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Planning Report No. 7914-0286-oo, dated March 7, 2016 (Note: Architectural and Landscape Plans (Appendix II) are not included as they are superseded by the Plans attached to this report as Appendix II)
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
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## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

| 1. (a) Agent: Name: | Jess Dhillon <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Address:$\quad$Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd. <br>  <br>  | Unit 102, 31324 Peardonville Road |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Abbotsford, BC V2T 6K8 |

2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Drive
(b) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Dr

Owner: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd
PID: 023-208-732
Lot 13 Section 10 Township 2 Plan LMP 24916 New Westminster District
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce a By-law to amend the Official Community Plan to redesignate a portion of the property.
(b) Introduce a By-law to rezone the property.
(c) Application is under the jurisdiction of MOTI.

MOTI File No. 2014-05984
(d) Proceed with Public Notification for Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-oo and bring the Development Variance Permit forward for an indication of support by Council. If supported, the Development Variance Permit will be brought forward for issuance and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with the final adoption of the associated Rezoning By-law.
(e) Remove Notice of Development Permit No. 6792-0609-oo from title (BJ317062).
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## City of Surrey ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS File: 7914-0286-00

Planning Report Date: July 25, 2016

## PROPOSAL:

- Partial OCP Amendment from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential"
- NCP Amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max", "Apartment 65 upa max", and "Park"
- Rezoning from CD (By-law No. 12282) to RA, C-8, RM-30 and RM-70
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 181 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a commercial plaza.

LOCATION: $\quad 5750$ Panorama Drive
OWNER: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd.
ZONING:
CD (By-law No. 12282)
OCP DESIGNATION:
Commercial
NCP DESIGNATION: Institutional


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- That Council grant Third Reading to Official Community Plan (OCP) Amendment By-law No. 18683 and Rezoning By-law No. 18684.
- That Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-oo be approved.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- At the June 27, 2016 Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting, Council considered the subject application and passed the following motion (RES.R16-1344):
o That [Application No. 7914-o286-oo] be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant, and the Surrey School Board, to determine how the project can be phased and structured to coincide with new school construction in South Newton.
- Since the June 27, 2016 Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting, the applicant has had discussions with the Surrey School District regarding phasing of the proposed development and new school construction in South Newton.
- As a result of these discussions, the applicant has revised their project phasing to be aligned with completion of the proposed addition to Sullivan Elementary School. Specifically, the first phase of the townhouse portion of the site (maximum unit occupancy) is being moved later, from Fall 2017 to March 2018.
- The proposed timeline would see the addition to Sullivan Elementary School ready by September 2018, which is when the first students from the proposed development would be entering the school system.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends:

1. That Council grant Third Reading to Official Community Plan (OCP) Amendment By-law No. 18683 and Rezoning By-law No. 18684.
2. That Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-oo, which has received Public Notification, be supported and that staff be authorized to bring the Permit forward for issuance and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with final adoption of the related rezoning bylaw.
3. In addition to the items identified in the June 13, 2016 planning report, Council instruct staff to resolve the following item prior to final adoption:
(a) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to regulate the phasing of the residential portion of the site, as identified in this report.

## BACKGROUND

- At the Regular Council - Land Use meeting of March 7, 2016, Council considered an initial Planning Report for the proposed development, and passed the following resolution (RES.R16-410):
o That Application No. 7914-0286-oo be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant to address concerns regarding the proposed density, public consultation and the potential negative impact on local schools.
- Subsequent to the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, the applicant revised their proposal to respond to Council's direction, including:
o reducing the number of proposed townhouse units by 17 units, from 198 units to 181 units;
0 decreasing the number of tandem parking units from $43 \%$ ( 86 units) to $22 \%$ (40 units) of the total number of townhouse units;
0 increasing the size of 31 townhouse units to approximately 186 square metres ( 2, ooo sq.ft.), and incorporating space for an elevator shaft in 28 units, in an effort to target more of an "empty-nester downsizer" demographic;
0 increasing the amount of indoor amenity space for the townhouse portion of the site from 191 square metres ( 2,060 sq.ft.) to 546 square metres ( 5,880 sq.ft.), which exceeds the Zoning By-law requirement for indoor amenity space; and
o providing a phasing plan to demonstrate how the townhouse portion of the site will be built out over the next 4 years.
- The revised proposal was presented to Council at the June 13, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting and received First and Second Reading and a Public Hearing date was set for June 27, 2016.

File: 7914-0286-00

- At the June 27, 2016, Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting, Council considered the subject application and passed the following motion (RES.R16-1344):
o That [Application No. 7914-0286-oo] be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant, and the Surrey School Board, to determine how the project can be phased and structured to coincide with new school construction in South Newton.


## DISCUSSION

- Since the June 27, 2016 Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting, the applicant has had discussions with the Surrey School District regarding phasing of the proposed development and new school construction in South Newton.
- As a result of these discussions, the applicant has revised their project phasing to be aligned with completion of the proposed addition to Sullivan Elementary School. Specifically, the first phase of the townhouse portion of the site (maximum unit occupancy) is being moved later, from Fall 2017 to March 2018. As a result, no students from the subject development are anticipated to enter the school system until September 2018, which is when the addition to Sullivan Elementary School will be ready.
- The revised phasing timeline, as shown in Table 1 below, would see the generation of approximately 87 students from the subject development who would attend the local public elementary and secondary schools.
- The School District has advised that there is funding in place to add 100-200 seats at Sullivan Elementary School by September 2018, which is the catchment elementary school for the subject site. A 150-200 seat addition that is anticipated to be completed by September 2018 is also approved for Woodward Hill Elementary School, which is located nearby in the South Newton area. In addition, the School District is requesting funding for a new elementary school in South Newton (500 seats) and an addition to the Sullivan Heights Secondary School ( 605 seats), but no timeline has been established yet for these proposals.
- To ensure that the applicant's phasing timeline as outlined in Table 1 below is followed, a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to regulate the phasing of the residential portion of the site will be required prior to final adoption.

Table 1.

|  | Projected Student Enrollment from the Subject Site* |  |  | Additional Approved Seats |  | Additional Requested Seats |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elementary | High School | Total | Sullivan Elem. | Woodward Hill Elem. | New S. Newton Elem. | Sullivan Heights Sec. |
| Sept. 2018 | 27 | 13 | 40 | 100-200** | 150-200 | $500-\text { no }$timeline | 605 - no timeline |
| Sept. 2019 | 16 | 8 | 24 | -------- | -------- |  |  |
| Sept. 2020 | 14 | 9 | 23 | -------- | -------- |  |  |
| Total | 57 | 30 | 87 | 100-200** | 150-200 |  |  |

* Includes both the townhouse and apartment portions of the site.
** The approval of the subject application may influence the size of the Sullivan Elementary addition.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Phasing Site Plan
Appendix III. June 13, 2016 Planning Report (without the appendices)
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development

KB/dk

## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Jess Dhillon Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd.
Address: Unit 102, 31324 Peardonville Road Abbotsford, BC V2T 6K8

Tel: $\quad$ 604-852-4912
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Drive
(b) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Dr Owner: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd PID: 023-208-732
Lot 13 Section 10 Township 2 Plan LMP 24916 New Westminster District
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
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| TOWNHOMES | MAXIMUM NUMEER OF <br> UNITS OCCUPIED | MAXIMUM UNITS OCCUPIED BY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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# City of Surrey ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS File: 7914-0286-0o 

Planning Report Date: June 13, 2016

## PROPOSAL:

- Partial OCP Amendment from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential"
- NCP Amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max", "Apartment 65 upa max", and "Park"
- Rezoning from CD (By-law No. 12282) to RA, C-8, RM-30 and RM-70
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 181 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a commercial plaza.

| LOCATION: | 5750 Panorama Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| OWNER: | Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd |
| ZONING: | CD (By-law No. 12282) |
| OCP DESIGNATION: | Commercial |
| NCP DESIGNATION: | Institutional |



## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for:
- OCP Amendment; and
- Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is proposing a partial OCP amendment to redesignate a portion of the site from "Commercial" to "Multiple-Residential".
- The applicant is proposing an NCP amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", ""Townhouses 25 upa max" and "Apartments 65 upa max".
- The applicant is seeking to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- At the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, Council considered the subject application and passed the following motion (RES.R16-410):
- That Application No. 7914-o286-oo be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant to address concerns regarding the proposed density, public consultation and the potential negative impact on local schools.
- The March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use minutes also state:
- Council noted that there was a potential negative impact on local schools and a lack of indoor amenity space and requested the application be referred back to staff to address the concerns raised during the Regular Council Land Use meeting with thought of phasing in densification.
- Since the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, the applicant has worked to revise their proposal and respond to Council's direction. The applicant also held a Public Information Meeting to apprise the local community of the revised proposal. The applicant has made the following revisions to their proposal:
- reduced the number of proposed townhouse units by 17 units, from 198 units to 181 units;
- decreased the number of tandem parking units from $43 \%$ ( 86 units) to $22 \%$ ( 40 units) of the total number of townhouse units;
- increased the size of 31 townhouse units to approximately 186 square metres ( 2,000 sq.ft.), and incorporated space for an elevator shaft in 28 units, in an effort to target more of an "empty-nester downsizer" demographic;
- increased the amount of indoor amenity space for the townhouse portion of the site from 191 square metres ( 2,060 sq.ft.) to 546 square metres ( $5,88 \mathrm{o}$ sq.ft.), which exceeds the Zoning By-law requirement for indoor amenity space; and
- provided a phasing plan (Appendix II) to demonstrate how the townhouse portion of the site will be built out over the next 4 years.
- The applicant has also held discussions with the Surrey School District, and the School District has provided updated comments. The School District's 5-Year Capital Plan includes new space requests for both Sullivan Elementary and Sullivan Heights Secondary School. The provincial government also recently announced capital project approval for an addition to the Sullivan Elementary School.
- In light of the applicant's proposed revisions to the townhouse portion of the site, the phasing of the project to occur over a 4-year period, and the new School District information provided since March 7, 2016, staff are of the view that Council's concerns raised at the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting have been addressed, and that the application is in order to receive Council's consideration.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to partially amend the OCP by re-designating a portion of the subject site in Development Application No. 7914-0286-oo from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" and a date for Public Hearing be set (Appendix VIII of the March 7, 2016 planning report - attached).
2. Council determine the opportunities for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities that are considered to be affected by the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan, as described in the Report, to be appropriate to meet the requirement of Section 475 of the Local Government Act.
3. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "Comprehensive Development Zone (By-law No. 12282)" (CD) to:

- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the portion of the site shown as Block E on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) for the portion of the site shown as Block B on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) for the portions of the site shown as Blocks A and C on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the portion of the site shown as Block D on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
and a date be set for Public Hearing.

4. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7914-o286-oo including a comprehensive sign design package generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
5. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7914-o286-oo (Appendix IX of the March 7, 2016 planning report - attached) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum setback of the $\mathrm{C}-8$ Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. 5.0 metres ( 16.5 ft .) for the east property line (along 152 Street);
ii. 4.25 metres ( 14 ft .) for the west property line; and
iii. 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for the north property line (along the new east-west road);
(b) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new northsouth road;
ii. 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
iii. 3.0 ( 10 ft .) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel; and
iv. $\quad 4.4$ metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site.
(c) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-7o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the west, south and north setbacks;
(d) to increase the maximum lot coverage of the RM-7o Zone from $33 \%$ to $40 \%$; and
(e) to reduce the minimum number of on-site resident parking spaces for the apartment site from 151 parking stalls to 139 parking stalls.
6. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) approval from the Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure;
(d) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(e) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) submission of an acoustical report for the townhouse units adjacent to 152 Street and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant on the townhouse site to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space; and
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture.
7. Council pass a resolution to amend the South Newton NCP to redesignate the land from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park", as well as adjust the road network when the project is considered for final adoption, per Appendix VII of the March 7, 2016 planning report (attached).

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III of the March 7, 2016 planning report.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

## Projected number of students from this development:

57 Elementary students at Sullivan Elementary School 30 Secondary students at Sullivan Heights Secondary School

The applicant has advised that the first dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy in Fall of 2017.

Parks will acquire the proposed parkland, as shown in Appendix II. The applicant has agreed to provide improvements to the proposed park, including grading, drainage, hard surface pathway, landscape and design services as part of a community benefit in support of the proposed OCP amendment. Building elevations adjacent to parkland should ensure CPTED and urban design principles are applied. All fencing adjacent to parkland should be permeable and limited to 1.2 m in height. The applicant should set back any "up-hill" retaining walls adjacent to parkland.

Ministry of Transportation
\& Infrastructure (MOTI):
Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.
Ministry of Environment: The site received a Certificate of Compliance dated December 5, 2013. The Certificate of Compliance states that "a qualified environmental consultant must be available to identify, characterize and appropriately manage any environmental media that may be contaminated and may be encountered during any future subsurface work at the site". This will be a requirement of the Servicing Agreement, and the Building Permit, as applicable.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

[^0]Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/NCP <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North and West <br> (Across Panorama <br> Drive): | Townhouse units. | Multiple Residential <br> / Apartments (45 upa <br> max) | CD (By-law No. 13637) |
| East (Across 152 <br> Street): | Single family residential. | Urban and Suburban | RH-G, RA and CD <br> (By-law Nos. 17603, <br> $18281,14512,14510$, <br> 17731 and 18264) |
| South: | Commercial complex with <br> Market Place IGA, <br> Shoppers Drug Mart and <br> other CRUs | Commercial / <br> Commercial | CD (By-law Nos. 17005 <br> and 12282) |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background

- At the Regular Council - Land Use meeting of March 7, 2016, Council considered an initial Planning Report (Appendix III) which proposed:
- to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" for a portion of the site;
- to amend the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to redesignate the subject site from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park" for portions of the site;
- to rezone the site from from "Comprehensive Development Zone (By-law No. 12282)" (CD) to:
- "Multiple Residential $з 0$ Zone" (RM-30) for the townhouse portion;
" "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the apartment portion;
- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the commercial portion; and
- "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) for the park portion;
- a Development Permit to allow the development of 198 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a 3-building commercial plaza; and
- a Development Variance Permit to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.
- Subsequently, Council passed the following resolution (RES.R16-410):
- That Application No. 7914-0286-oo be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant to address concerns regarding the proposed density, public consultation and the potential negative impact on local schools.
- The March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use minutes also state:
- Council noted that there was a potential negative impact on local schools and a lack of indoor amenity space and requested the application be referred back to staff to address the concerns raised during the Regular Council Land Use meeting with thought of phasing in densification.


## Current Proposal

- Since the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, the applicant has worked to revise the proposal. Specifically, the applicant has made the following revisions to their proposal:
- The number of proposed townhouse units has been reduced by 17 units, from 198 units to 181 units. The reduction in townhouse units reduces the density on the townhouse portion of the site from 59 units per hectare ( 24 units per acre) to 55 units per hectare ( 22 units per acre).
- The size of 31 townhouse units has been increased to approximately 186 square metres ( 2,000 sq.ft.), and incorporated space for an elevator shaft in 28 units, in an effort to target more of an "empty-nester downsizer" demographic.
- The number of tandem parking units has been decreased from $43 \%$ (86 units) to $22 \%$ (40 units) of the total number of townhouse units.
- The size of the indoor amenity space on the townhouse site has been significantly increased from 191 square metres ( $2,060 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) to 546 square metres ( $5,88 \mathrm{o}$ sq.ft.), which exceeds the Zoning By-law requirements for indoor amenity space. The indoor amenity space will include a large games/activity room, a fitness room, a children's learning centre room, washrooms and kitchen facilities.
- A phasing plan (Appendix II) has been submitted which demonstrates how the townhouse portion of the site will be built out over the next 4 years. Phase 1 will have 33 units and has an estimated occupancy date in Fall 2017. Phase 2 will have 47 units and is expected to be ready for occupancy in Fall 2018. Phase 3 ( 51 units) and Phase 4 ( 50 units) are proposed for completion in Fall 2019 and Fall 2020, respectively.


## School District Comments

- The School District has advised that they have been aware of the subject application for some time and in response:
- have included the new residential units in the school projections;
- have increased the priority of an addition to Sullivan Elementary School in their capital plan;
- have increased the priority of an addition to Sullivan Heights Secondary School in their capital plan; and
will in the future look to incorporate a request for a new elementary school in the South Newton area (in response to higher than expected density approvals, the continued residential development around Woodward Hill and the subject development).
- In May 2016, the government announced capital project approval for an addition to Sullivan Elementary, however details around the size of the addition and completion date are not yet finalized.
- Although the School District has requested new space, timelines for future approvals are unknown and enrolment pressures remain very high in the area (requiring the district to increase portable allocations, make numerous catchment changes, cap enrolment at Sullivan Heights and propose choice- program reductions). Surrey is a rapidly growing urban centre and as NCPs build out and densities increase, the school district is concerned that capital investment from the Ministry of Education will not be available in a timely manner to support this local growth. The School District will continue to work with the City and Province to adjust our capital plans to request additional school spaces to meet local demands.


## PUBLIC CONSULTATION

The applicant held a Public Information (PIM) meeting on May 9, 2016 at the Tong Louie Family YMCA to apprise the local community of the proposed revisions to the project. A total of 114 residents signed in, and 68 comment sheets or email responses were submitted. The comment sheet prepared by the applicant did not ask if the proposal was supported by attendees, however, the majority of feedback indicates concern with the proposed development. The primary concerns identified include overcrowding at local schools, traffic, parking and concerns about the proposed rental tenure of the apartment building.

Revised pre-notification letters were also mailed out on May 18, 2016. Staff have received the following correspondence since the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting and in response to the revised notification and the applicant's Public Information Meeting (PIM):

- 53 emails and 3 phone calls expressing concerns with the proposal. The following provides a summary of the concerns that were noted:
- Overcrowding in local schools. Many residents are concerned that the proposed 181 townhouse units and 106 apartment will increase this issue;
(See response below.)
- Parking related concerns. Residents have expressed concern that the proposed number of residential units and the commercial development will add to parking congestion in the area. Many residents pointed out that they already experience parking shortages, exacerbated by the nearby YMCA;
(See response below.)
- Traffic related concerns. Many residents expressed concern that adding more people to the area will increase traffic.
(See response below.)
- Additionally, 20 letters were received from Grade 1 and 2 students at Cambridge Elementary School, which is located in close proximity to the proposal. Nineteen (19) of the letter writers were not in favour of the proposed development and 1 writer was in support of the development; and
- It should also be noted that 1 phone call was received from a nearby business owner who expressed support for the proposal.

In terms of the main issues raised by area residents, the following can be noted:

- Overcrowding in local schools;
(The School District's 5-Year Capital Plan includes new space requests for both the Sullivan Elementary and Sullivan Heights Secondary schools. The provincial government has announced capital project approval for an addition to the Sullivan Elementary School.)
- Lack of parking in neighbourhood;
(The revised proposal meets the Zoning By-law requirement for parking spaces for the townhouse and commercial portions of the site. A twelve (12) parking space reduction from the Zoning By-law requirement of 154 spaces ( $8 \%$ reduction) is proposed on the apartment portion, which is considered acceptable by staff given the site's proximity to existing transit services on 152 Street.
It should also be noted that additional on-street parking spaces will be available on Panorama Drive and the new east-west road proposed through the site; however these would be supplemental to the available parking on site. Based on a neighbourhood parking study conducted as part of the application, the number of on-street parking spaces provided exceeds the anticipated additional on-street parking demand that would be generated by the proposed development.
In addition, the applicant is proposing a reduction in the number of tandem parking units from what was proposed in the March 7, 2016 planning report, from 86 units ( $43 \%$ ) to 40 units (22\%).)
- Increase in traffic;
(The applicant was requested to conduct a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to assess the impact of the anticipated site-generated traffic from the proposed development. The TIA confirms that the overall impact of the site-generated traffic on the operations of the existing transportation infrastructure will be modest. The proposed development assists in achieving a finer grained road network to distribute traffic, with the applicant providing a dedication for a new east-west road, connecting 152 Street to Panorama Drive.)
- Rental tenure proposed for apartment building.
(The proposed apartment building is of a high quality in terms of design and materials. The proposed rental tenure and unit type increases the housing diversity in the area, as there are few rental buildings in the South Newton NCP area. The proposed building form (no stairs) and tenure is attractive to aging residents who wish to remain in the area. The applicant advises that the units will be targeted to residents aged 55+. It should also be noted that tenure is not regulated by the City. Staff understands that there is a need for rental units throughout the City which this proposal could help to resolve.)


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary
Appendix II. Phasing Plan, Site Plan, Building Elevations and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Planning Report No. 7914-0286-oo, dated March 7, 2016 (Note: Architectural and Landscape Plans (Appendix II) are not included as they are superseded by the Plans attached to this report as Appendix II)
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne<br>General Manager<br>Planning and Development
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## City of Surrey ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS File: 7914-0286-00

Planning Report Date: June 13, 2016

## PROPOSAL:

- Partial OCP Amendment from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential"
- NCP Amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max", "Apartment 65 upa max", and "Park"
- Rezoning from CD (By-law No. 12282) to RA, C-8, RM-30 and RM-70
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 181 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a commercial plaza.

LOCATION: $\quad 5750$ Panorama Dr
OWNER: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd
ZONING:
CD (By-law No. 12282)
OCP DESIGNATION: Commercial
NCP DESIGNATION: Institutional


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for:
o OCP Amendment; and
o Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is proposing a partial OCP amendment to redesignate a portion of the site from "Commercial" to "Multiple-Residential".
- The applicant is proposing an NCP amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", ""Townhouses 25 upa max" and "Apartments 65 upa max".
- The applicant is seeking to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- At the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, Council considered the subject application and passed the following motion (RES.R16-410):
o That Application No. 7914-o286-oo be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant to address concerns regarding the proposed density, public consultation and the potential negative impact on local schools.
- The March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use minutes also state:
o Council noted that there was a potential negative impact on local schools and a lack of indoor amenity space and requested the application be referred back to staff to address the concerns raised during the Regular Council Land Use meeting with thought of phasing in densification.
- Since the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, the applicant has worked to revise their proposal and respond to Council's direction. The applicant also held a Public Information Meeting to apprise the local community of the revised proposal. The applicant has made the following revisions to their proposal:
o reduced the number of proposed townhouse units by 17 units, from 198 units to 181 units;
o decreased the number of tandem parking units from $43 \%$ ( 86 units) to $22 \%$ ( 40 units) of the total number of townhouse units;
o increased the size of 31 townhouse units to approximately 186 square metres ( 2,000 sq.ft.), and incorporated space for an elevator shaft in 28 units, in an effort to target more of an "empty-nester downsizer" demographic;
0 increased the amount of indoor amenity space for the townhouse portion of the site from 191 square metres ( 2,060 sq.ft.) to 546 square metres ( $5,880 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.), which exceeds the Zoning By-law requirement for indoor amenity space; and
o provided a phasing plan (Appendix II) to demonstrate how the townhouse portion of the site will be built out over the next 4 years.
- The applicant has also held discussions with the Surrey School District, and the School District has provided updated comments. The School District's 5-Year Capital Plan includes new space requests for both Sullivan Elementary and Sullivan Heights Secondary School. The provincial government also recently announced capital project approval for an addition to the Sullivan Elementary School.
- In light of the applicant's proposed revisions to the townhouse portion of the site, the phasing of the project to occur over a 4 -year period, and the new School District information provided since March 7, 2016, staff are of the view that Council's concerns raised at the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting have been addressed, and that the application is in order to receive Council's consideration.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to partially amend the ОСР by re-designating a portion of the subject site in Development Application No. 7914-0286-oo from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" and a date for Public Hearing be set (Appendix VIII of the March 7, 2016 planning report - attached).
2. Council determine the opportunities for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities that are considered to be affected by the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan, as described in the Report, to be appropriate to meet the requirement of Section 475 of the Local Government Act.
3. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "Comprehensive Development Zone (By-law No. 12282)" (CD) to:

- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the portion of the site shown as Block E on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) for the portion of the site shown as Block B on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) for the portions of the site shown as Blocks A and C on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the portion of the site shown as Block D on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
and a date be set for Public Hearing.

4. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7914-0286-oo including a comprehensive sign design package generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
5. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-00 (Appendix IX of the March 7, 2016 planning report - attached) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum setback of the $\mathrm{C}-8$ Zone from 7.5 metres $(25 \mathrm{ft}$.) to:
i. $\quad 5.0$ metres ( 16.5 ft .) for the east property line (along 152 Street);
ii. 4.25 metres ( 14 ft .) for the west property line; and
iii. 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for the north property line (along the new east-west road);
(b) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new northsouth road;
ii. 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
iii. 3.0 ( 10 ft .) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel; and
iv. $\quad 4.4$ metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site.
(c) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-70 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the west, south and north setbacks;
(d) to increase the maximum lot coverage of the RM-70 Zone from $33 \%$ to $40 \%$; and
(e) to reduce the minimum number of on-site resident parking spaces for the apartment site from 151 parking stalls to 139 parking stalls.
6. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) approval from the Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure;
(d) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(e) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) submission of an acoustical report for the townhouse units adjacent to 152 Street and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant on the townhouse site to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space; and
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture.
7. Council pass a resolution to amend the South Newton NCP to redesignate the land from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park", as well as adjust the road network when the project is considered for final adoption, per Appendix VII of the March 7, 2016 planning report (attached).

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III of the March 7, 2016 planning report.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

## Projected number of students from this development:

57 Elementary students at Sullivan Elementary School 30 Secondary students at Sullivan Heights Secondary School

The applicant has advised that the first dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy in Fall of 2017.

Parks will acquire the proposed parkland, as shown in Appendix II. The applicant has agreed to provide improvements to the proposed park, including grading, drainage, hard surface pathway, landscape and design services as part of a community benefit in support of the proposed OCP amendment. Building elevations adjacent to parkland should ensure CPTED and urban design principles are applied. All fencing adjacent to parkland should be permeable and limited to 1.2 m in height. The applicant should set back any "up-hill" retaining walls adjacent to parkland.

Ministry of Transportation
\& Infrastructure (MOTI):
Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.
Ministry of Environment: The site received a Certificate of Compliance dated December 5, 2013. The Certificate of Compliance states that "a qualified environmental consultant must be available to identify, characterize and appropriately manage any environmental media that may be contaminated and may be encountered during any future subsurface work at the site". This will be a requirement of the Servicing Agreement, and the Building Permit, as applicable.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

## Existing Land Use: Vacant property.

## Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/NCP <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North and West <br> (Across Panorama <br> Drive): | Townhouse units. | Multiple Residential <br> / Apartments (45 upa <br> max) | CD (By-law No. 13637) |
| East (Across 152 <br> Street): | Single family residential. | Urban and Suburban | RH-G, RA and CD <br> (By-law Nos. 17603, <br> 18281, 14512, 14510, <br> 17731 and 18264) |
| South: | Commercial complex with <br> Market Place IGA, <br> Shoppers Drug Mart and <br> other CRUs | Commercial / <br> Commercial | CD (By-law Nos. 17005 <br> and 12282) |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background

- At the Regular Council - Land Use meeting of March 7, 2016, Council considered an initial Planning Report (Appendix III) which proposed:

0 to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" for a portion of the site;

0 to amend the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to redesignate the subject site from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park" for portions of the site;

0 to rezone the site from from "Comprehensive Development Zone (By-law No. 12282)" (CD) to:

- "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) for the townhouse portion;
- "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the apartment portion;
- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the commercial portion; and
- "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) for the park portion;

0 a Development Permit to allow the development of 198 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a 3-building commercial plaza; and
o a Development Variance Permit to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.

- Subsequently, Council passed the following resolution (RES.R16-410):
o That Application No. 7914-0286-oo be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant to address concerns regarding the proposed density, public consultation and the potential negative impact on local schools.
- The March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use minutes also state:
o Council noted that there was a potential negative impact on local schools and a lack of indoor amenity space and requested the application be referred back to staff to address the concerns raised during the Regular Council Land Use meeting with thought of phasing in densification.


## Current Proposal

- Since the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting, the applicant has worked to revise the proposal. Specifically, the applicant has made the following revisions to their proposal:
o The number of proposed townhouse units has been reduced by 17 units, from 198 units to 181 units. The reduction in townhouse units reduces the density on the townhouse portion of the site from 59 units per hectare ( 24 units per acre) to 55 units per hectare ( 22 units per acre).

0 The size of 31 townhouse units has been increased to approximately 186 square metres ( 2,000 sq.ft.), and incorporated space for an elevator shaft in 28 units, in an effort to target more of an "empty-nester downsizer" demographic.
o The number of tandem parking units has been decreased from $43 \%$ ( 86 units) to $22 \%$ ( 40 units) of the total number of townhouse units.

0 The size of the indoor amenity space on the townhouse site has been significantly increased from 191 square metres ( 2,060 sq.ft.) to 546 square metres ( $5,88 \mathrm{o}$ sq.ft.), which exceeds the Zoning By-law requirements for indoor amenity space. The indoor amenity space will include a large games/activity room, a fitness room, a children's learning centre room, washrooms and kitchen facilities.
o A phasing plan (Appendix II) has been submitted which demonstrates how the townhouse portion of the site will be built out over the next 4 years. Phase 1 will have 33 units and has an estimated occupancy date in Fall 2017. Phase 2 will have 47 units and is expected to be ready for occupancy in Fall 2018. Phase 3 ( 51 units) and Phase 4 ( 50 units) are proposed for completion in Fall 2019 and Fall 2020, respectively.

## School District Comments

- The School District has advised that they have been aware of the subject application for some time and in response:
o have included the new residential units in the school projections;
o have increased the priority of an addition to Sullivan Elementary School in their capital plan;
o have increased the priority of an addition to Sullivan Heights Secondary School in their capital plan; and
o will in the future look to incorporate a request for a new elementary school in the South Newton area (in response to higher than expected density approvals, the continued residential development around Woodward Hill and the subject development).
- In May 2016, the government announced capital project approval for an addition to Sullivan Elementary, however details around the size of the addition and completion date are not yet finalized.
- Although the School District has requested new space, timelines for future approvals are unknown and enrolment pressures remain very high in the area (requiring the district to increase portable allocations, make numerous catchment changes, cap enrolment at Sullivan Heights and propose choice- program reductions). Surrey is a rapidly growing urban centre and as NCPs build out and densities increase, the school district is concerned that capital investment from the Ministry of Education will not be available in a timely manner to support this local growth. The School District will continue to work with the City and Province to adjust our capital plans to request additional school spaces to meet local demands.


## PUBLIC CONSULTATION

The applicant held a Public Information (PIM) meeting on May 9, 2016 at the Tong Louie Family YMCA to apprise the local community of the proposed revisions to the project. A total of 114 residents signed in, and 68 comment sheets or email responses were submitted. The comment sheet prepared by the applicant did not ask if the proposal was supported by attendees, however, the majority of feedback indicates concern with the proposed development. The primary concerns identified include overcrowding at local schools, traffic, parking and concerns about the proposed rental tenure of the apartment building.

Revised pre-notification letters were also mailed out on May 18, 2016. Staff have received the following correspondence since the March 7, 2016 Regular Council - Land Use meeting and in response to the revised notification and the applicant's Public Information Meeting (PIM):

- 53 emails and 3 phone calls expressing concerns with the proposal. The following provides a summary of the concerns that were noted:
o Overcrowding in local schools. Many residents are concerned that the proposed 181 townhouse units and 106 apartment will increase this issue;
(See response below.)
o Parking related concerns. Residents have expressed concern that the proposed number of residential units and the commercial development will add to parking congestion in the area. Many residents pointed out that they already experience parking shortages, exacerbated by the nearby YMCA;
(See response below.)
o Traffic related concerns. Many residents expressed concern that adding more people to the area will increase traffic.
(See response below.)
- Additionally, 20 letters were received from Grade 1 and 2 students at Cambridge Elementary School, which is located in close proximity to the proposal. Nineteen (19) of the letter writers were not in favour of the proposed development and 1 writer was in support of the development; and
- It should also be noted that 1 phone call was received from a nearby business owner who expressed support for the proposal.

In terms of the main issues raised by area residents, the following can be noted:

- Overcrowding in local schools;
(The School District's 5-Year Capital Plan includes new space requests for both the Sullivan Elementary and Sullivan Heights Secondary schools. The provincial government has announced capital project approval for an addition to the Sullivan Elementary School.)
- Lack of parking in neighbourhood;
(The revised proposal meets the Zoning By-law requirement for parking spaces for the townhouse and commercial portions of the site. A twelve (12) parking space reduction from the Zoning By-law requirement of 154 spaces ( $8 \%$ reduction) is proposed on the apartment portion, which is considered acceptable by staff given the site's proximity to existing transit services on 152 Street.
It should also be noted that additional on-street parking spaces will be available on Panorama Drive and the new east-west road proposed through the site; however these would be supplemental to the available parking on site. Based on a neighbourhood parking study conducted as part of the application, the number of on-street parking spaces provided exceeds the anticipated additional on-street parking demand that would be generated by the proposed development.
In addition, the applicant is proposing a reduction in the number of tandem parking units from what was proposed in the March 7, 2016 planning report, from 86 units (43\%) to 40 units (22\%).)
- Increase in traffic;
(The applicant was requested to conduct a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to assess the impact of the anticipated site-generated traffic from the proposed development. The TIA confirms that the overall impact of the site-generated traffic on the operations of the existing transportation infrastructure will be modest. The proposed development assists in achieving a finer grained road network to distribute traffic, with the applicant providing a dedication for a new east-west road, connecting 152 Street to Panorama Drive.)
- Rental tenure proposed for apartment building.
(The proposed apartment building is of a high quality in terms of design and materials. The proposed rental tenure and unit type increases the housing diversity in the area, as there are few rental buildings in the South Newton NCP area. The proposed building form (no stairs) and tenure is attractive to aging residents who wish to remain in the area. The applicant advises that the units will be targeted to residents aged 55+. It should also be noted that tenure is not regulated by the City. Staff understands that there is a need for rental units throughout the City which this proposal could help to resolve.)


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary
Appendix II. Phasing Plan, Site Plan, Building Elevations and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Planning Report No. 7914-0286-oo, dated March 7, 2016 (Note: Architectural and Landscape Plans (Appendix II) are not included as they are superseded by the Plans attached to this report as Appendix II)
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne<br>General Manager<br>Planning and Development
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## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Jess Dhillon

Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd.
Address: Unit 102, 31324 Peardonville Road
Abbotsford, BC V2T 6K8
Tel: $\quad$ 604-852-4912
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Drive
(b) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Dr

Owner: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd
PID: o23-208-732
Lot 13 Section 10 Township 2 Plan LMP 24916 New Westminster District
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce a By-law to amend the Official Community Plan to redesignate a portion of the property.
(b) Introduce a By-law to rezone the property.
(c) Application is under the jurisdiction of MOTI.

MOTI File No. 2014-05984
(d) Proceed with Public Notification for Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-oo and bring the Development Variance Permit forward for an indication of support by Council. If supported, the Development Variance Permit will be brought forward for issuance and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with the final adoption of the associated Rezoning By-law.
(e) Remove Notice of Development Permit No. 6792-0609-oo from title (BJ317062).

## PANロRAMADRIVEDEVERロPMENT
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## BUTLER <br> SUNDVICK
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4-19089 94th Ave Surrey, BC V4N 3 S4 www.butlersundvick.ca Tel. 604-513-9611
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# Appendix III 



City of Surrey<br>PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT<br>File: $\quad 7914-0286-00$<br>Planning Report Date: March 7, 2016

## PROPOSAL:

- Partial OCP Amendment from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential"
- NCP Amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max", "Apartment 65 upa max", and "Park"
- Rezoning from CD (By-law No. 12282) to RA, C-8, RM-30 and RM-70
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 198 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a commercial plaza.
LOCATION: 5750 Panorama Drive

OWNER: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd

ZONING:
CD (By-law No. 12282)
OCP DESIGNATION: Commercial
NCP DESIGNATION: Institutional


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for:
o OCP Amendment; and
o Rezoning.
- Approval to reduce indoor amenity space for the townhouse site.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is proposing a partial OCP amendment to redesignate a portion of the site from "Commercial" to "Multiple-Residential".
- The applicant is proposing an NCP amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", ""Townhouses 25 upa max" and "Apartments 65 upa max".
- The applicant is seeking to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.
- The applicant is seeking to reduce the indoor amenity space from the required 594 square metres ( 6,394 sq.ft.) to 191 square metres ( 2,058 sq.ft.), and pay cash-in-lieu for the shortfall.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- Partly complies with OCP Designation. An amendment is required for the proposed residential portion of the site, to redesignate from "Commercial" to "Multiple-Residential".
- The proposed development is comprehensively designed, and is appropriate in scale and density to the existing neighbourhood context. The development builds on and supports the existing commercial centre at the corner of 152 Street and Highway No. 10, while being sensitive in interfacing with the existing residential neighbourhood to the north and west across Panorama Drive.
- Given the site's location close to the major intersection of 152 Street and Highway No. 10, the commercial site to the south, and the presence of transit along 152 Street, and the proposed park improvements, there is merit to the proposed OCP and NCP redesignations.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape.
- The proposed increase in lot coverage assists in reducing the building height to a 4 -storey height, which is a more appropriate massing for the neighbourhood.
- The proposed indoor amenity space shortfall is supportable given that the proposed reduced indoor amenity space is functional, with the remaining shortfall addressed through a cash-in-lieu contribution in accordance with City policy.
- The proposed development assists in achieving a finer grained road network, with the applicant providing a dedication for a new east-west road, connecting 152 Street to Panorama Drive, and also a north-south road linking the new east-west road to the existing commercial area south of the subject site along Highway No. 10.
- The City will be acquiring park land from the applicant, which will enable a park land linkage from the existing Springwood Forest Park to the existing commercial area south of the subject site at the corner of Highway No. 10 and 152 Street, and will also provide a new open space area/play area for neighbourhood residents.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to partially amend the OCP by redesignating a portion of the subject site in Development Application No. 7914-0286-oo from to "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" and a date for Public Hearing be set (Appendix VIII).
2. Council determine the opportunities for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities that are considered to be affected by the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan, as described in the Report, to be appropriate to meet the requirement of Section 475 of the Local Government Act.
3. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "CD By-law No. 12282" (CD) to:

- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the portion of the site shown as Block E on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "One-Acre Residential (RA)" for the portion of the site shown as Block B on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) for the portions of the site shown as Blocks A and C on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the portion of the site shown as Block D on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
and a date be set for Public Hearing.

4. Council approve the applicant's request to reduce the amount of required indoor amenity space from 594 square metres ( 6,394 square feet) to 191 square metres ( 2,058 square feet), for the proposed townhouse site.
5. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7914-0286-oo including a comprehensive sign design package generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
6. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-oo (Appendix IX) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum setback of the C-8 Zone from 7.5 metres $(25 \mathrm{ft}$.) to:
i. $\quad 5.0$ metres ( 16.5 ft .) for the east property line (along 152 Street);
ii. 4.25 metres ( 14 ft .) for the west property line; and
iii. 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for the north property line (along the new east-west road);
(b) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-30 Zone from $7 \cdot 5$ metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new northsouth road;
ii. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 1 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
iii. 3.0 ( 10 ft .) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel; and
iv. $\quad 4.4$ metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site.
(c) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-70 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the west, south and north setbacks;
(d) to increase the maximum lot coverage of the RM-7o Zone from $33 \%$ to $40 \%$; and
(e) to reduce the minimum number of on-site resident parking spaces for the apartment site from 151 parking stalls to 139 parking stalls.
7. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) approval from the Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure;
(d) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(e) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) submission of an acoustical report for the townhouse units adjacent to 152 Street and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant on the townhouse site to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space;
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture; and
(i) the applicant adequately address the impact of reduced indoor amenity space.
8. Council pass a resolution to amend the South Newton NCP to redesignate the land from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park", as well as adjust the road network when the project is considered for final adoption, per Appendix VII.

## REFERRALS

Engineering:

School District:

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

## Projected number of students from this development:

6o Elementary students at Sullivan Elementary School 32 Secondary students at Sullivan Heights Secondary School

## (Appendix IV)

The applicant has advised that the first dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by summer of 2017.

Parks will acquire the proposed parkland, as shown in Appendix II. The applicant has agreed to provide improvements to the proposed park, including grading, drainage, hard surface pathway, landscape and design services as part of a community benefit in light of the proposed OCP amendment. Building elevations adjacent to parkland should ensure CPTED and urban design principles are applied. All fencing adjacent to parkland should be permeable and limited to 1.2 m in height. The applicant should set back any "up-hill" retaining walls adjacent to parkland.

Ministry of Transportation
\& Infrastructure (MOTI):
Preliminary approval was granted for the rezoning under MOTI file \#2014-05984.

Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.
Ministry of Environment: The site received a Certificate of Compliance dated December 5, 2013. The Certificate of Compliance states that "a qualified environmental consultant must be available to identify, characterize and appropriately manage any environmental media that may be contaminated and may be encountered during any future subsurface work at the site". This will be a requirement of the Servicing Agreement, and the Building Permit, as applicable.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

## Existing Land Use: vacant property

Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/NCP <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North and West <br> (Across Panorama <br> Drive): | Townhouse units. | Multiple Residential <br> / Apartments (45 upa <br> max) | CD (By-law No. 13637) |
| East (Across 152 <br> Street): | Single family residential. | Urban and Suburban | RH-G, RA and CD <br> (By-law Nos. 17603, <br> 18281, 14512, 14510, <br> 17731 and 18264) |
| South: | Commercial complex with <br> Market Place IGA, <br> Shoppers Drug Mart and <br> other CRUs | Commercial / <br> Commercial | CD (By-law Nos. 17005 <br> and 12282) |

## 【USTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- The applicant is proposing to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" for a portion of the site and to amend the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to redesignate the subject site from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park".
- The applicant is proposing to retain a portion of the site's OCP "Commercial" designation, in the southeast corner of the site, adjacent to the existing commercial plaza south of the subject site. The proposed amendment to "Multiple Residential" for the remainder of the site corresponds with the adjacent "Multiple Residential" designation on the sites to the north and west. The applicant has agreed to provide improvements to the proposed park within the development, including grading, drainage, hard surface pathway, landscape and design services as part of a community benefit in light of the proposed OCP amendment.
- The current NCP "Institutional" designation reflects the fact that the site was owned for many years by the Province, with the expectation that the subject site would develop into a hospital and/or a post-secondary institution. However, the site was sold to the private sector in 2014.
- The proposed development is comprehensively designed, and is appropriate in scale and density to the existing neighbourhood context. The development builds on and supports the existing commercial centre at the corner of 152 Street and Highway No. 10, while being sensitive in interfacing with the existing residential neighbourhood to the north and west across Panorama Drive.
- Given the site's location close to the major intersection of 152 Street and Highway No. 10, the commercial site to the south, and the presence of transit along 152 Street, and the proposed park improvements, there is merit to the proposed OCP and NCP redesignations.


## PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS FOR OCP AMENDMENT

Pursuant to Section 879 of the Local Government Act, it was determined that it was not necessary to consult with any persons, organizations or authorities with respect to the proposed OCP amendment, other than those contacted as part of the pre-notification process.

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background

- The 6.1-hectare ( 15.0 acre) subject site is located at the southwest corner of 152 Street and Panorama Drive. The site is sparsely treed and slopes from north to south.
- The site is currently designated "Commercial" in the OCP, "Institutional" in the South Newton NCP, and is zoned "Comprehensive Development Zone" (CD) (By-law No. 12282).
- When the commercial site at the northeast corner of Highway No. 10 ( 56 Avenue) and 152 Street was developed in the 1990s, it was expected that the subject site would develop into a hospital and university. The site was owned by the Province for many years, but was sold to the private sector in 2014.


## Proposal

- The applicant is proposing:

0 the above described OCP and NCP amendments;
0 to rezone the site from CD (By-law No. 12282) to:

- "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) for the townhouse portion;
- "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the apartment portion;
- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the commercial portion; and
- "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) for the park portion;
o a Development Permit to allow the development of 198 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a 3-building commercial plaza;
o a Development Variance Permit to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.
- The applicant proposes to subdivide the site into 4 lots: one commercial lot, one apartment site, one townhouse site, and one park parcel.
- The park site will be purchased by the City, but the applicant will provide improvements to the park, including completion of site grading, drainage, a pathway and landscaping as a community benefit in light of the proposed OCP amendment.
- Three buildings are proposed on the commercial portion of the site: one large grocery store with a smaller commercial unit at the west portion of the commercial site, and two smaller buildings at each corner of the site along 152 Street.
- A townhouse site is proposed with a total of 198 ground-oriented units. A 4-storey rental apartment building with 106 units is also proposed. Twenty-one (21) units ( $20 \%$ ) are proposed as studios or 1-bedroom units, and the remaining 84 units are proposed as 2-bedroom or 2bedroom and den units.


## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

## Commercial Site

- The commercial lot is proposed at the southeast corner of the site along 152 Street, with a total area of 1.02 hectares ( 2.52 acres). The proposal is compatible with the Commercial designation in the OCP, and is considered an appropriate use for this part of South Newton. The site will interface with existing commercial to the south, the proposed apartment building to the west, 152 Street to the east and the new east-west road to the north.
- The proposal includes 3 separate buildings on the site, with a total of 3,147 square metres ( $33,875 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.), representing a floor area ratio (FAR) of o. 31 and lot coverage of $29 \%$, which are within the maximum o.8 FAR and $50 \%$ lot coverage permitted by the C-8 Zone. The proposed building height is 10 metres ( 33 ft .), which is within the maximum 12 metres ( 40 ft .) allowed in the C-8 Zone. Variances are required for some of the setbacks, and are discussed later in this report.
- Building 1 is the largest building proposed on the site, with a grocery store, and a commercial retail unit (CRU) on the northern portion of the building. Building 1 is proposed on the west portion of the commercial site. The building's main material is brick veneer (mountain red) at the base, particularly along the east and south elevations. For the north and west elevations, the main material is stucco (beige) at the base, as well as glazing (spandrel panels). For the second storey, a mix of aluminum and steel is proposed. In some areas, the height of the building has been increased to assist in screening the roof top equipment from the adjacent proposed apartment building.
- Building 2 is proposed at the northeast corner of the site, with 3 CRUs on the ground floor and 1 CRU on the upper floor. Due to the site's slope, the upper floor has ground floor access on the north elevation, and the 3 CRUs on the ground floor have ground floor access on the south elevation. The vertical columns are proposed in brick veneer (mountain red), with aluminum and glazing in between. The horizontal portions between glazing are proposed to be corrugated metal siding.
- Building 3 is a single-tenant building proposed at the southeast corner of the site. The building is proposed with a brick veneer (beige) base, and corrugated metal siding along the upper portions. Glazing and accent aluminum panels in blue are proposed along all four façades.


## Commercial Site: Vehicular Access \& Parking

- Vehicular access to the commercial site is proposed from the new east-west road, as well as an existing access on 152 Street, that is shared with the existing development to the south. The southern access has already been constructed, and access easements are already in place.
- A total of 126 parking stalls are proposed, exceeding the minimum 94 stalls required for the site. This includes 23 stalls for small car (within the maximum $25 \%$ ), and 4 disabled parking stalls.


## Commercial Site: Signage

- A free-standing sign is proposed on the east property line, along 152 Street. The proposed sign is 3.66 metres ( 12 ft .) in height and 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) in width, and includes a brick veneer base with a steel panel above. The signage is proposed in individual channel letters, with space for 6 signs. The proposed free-standing sign is 2.0 metres ( 6.5 ft .) from the property line, and complies with the Sign By-law.
- Fascia signs are also proposed for the buildings, all in individual channel letters, as described below:
o Building 1:3 signs proposed
- East Elevation: one sign for the grocery store - 8.0 metres ( 26 ft .) by 2.0 metres ( 6.5 ft .), and one sign for the CRU - 8.5 metres ( 28 ft .) by 1.0 metre ( 3 ft .).
- Northwest Elevation: one sign for the grocery store - 7.3 metres ( 24 ft .) by 1.0 metre (3 ft.).
o Building 2: 4 signs proposed
- South Elevation: 3 signs for three different CRUs - 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) by 1.0 metre (3 ft.).
- North Elevation: 1 sign for the upper floor CRU - 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) by 1.0 metre (3 ft.).
o Building 3: 2 signs proposed
- West Elevation: 1 sign -8.2 metres ( 27 ft .) by 1.2 metres ( 4 ft .).
- East Elevation: 1 sign - 8.2 metres ( 27 ft .) by 1.2 metres ( 4 ft .).


## Townhouse Site

- The townhouse lot is in the western and northern portion of the site, with a total area of 3.3 hectares ( 8.15 acres). This lot will be divided into three portions due to the proposed eastwest road and the park site, but will remain as one legal "hooked" lot. As such, it will be developed as one strata project.
- The proposed RM-3o Zone requires a redesignation of the property, both in the OCP, and the South Newton NCP. The proposal includes amending the OCP in this area from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential", and amending the South Newton NCP from "Institutional" to "Townhouses ( 25 upa max)". The proposed density is considered appropriate for this part of South Newton. The proposed townhouse site will interface with existing commercial to the south, the proposed apartment building to the east and south, 152 Street to the east, Panorama Drive to the north and the new east-west road to the south.
- The proposal includes 44 separate buildings on the site, with a total of 198 units and 26,062 square metres ( $280,538 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of floor area, representing a unit density of 24 units per acre (upa), an FAR of 0.79 and lot coverage of $34.5 \%$, which are within the maximum 0.90 FAR and $45 \%$ lot coverage permitted by the RM-30 Zone. The proposed building height is 11.7 metres ( 38 ft .), which is within the maximum 13 metres ( 42.5 ft .) permitted in the RM-30 Zone. Variances are required for some of the setbacks, as discussed later in this report.
- The portion of the site south of the new east-west road contains 4 buildings, and a total of 19 units; the portion west of the park and north of the new east-west road contains 20 buildings with a total of 90 units, including the indoor amenity building and outdoor amenity spaces; and the portion east of the park contains 20 buildings, and a total of 89 units. The number of dwelling units within each individual building varies from 2 to 8 units. Of the 198 units, 30 are proposed as 2-bedroom units, 165 as 3 -bedroom units and 3 as 4 -bedroom units.
- Along Panorama Drive and the new east-west road, the street-fronting units contain active living space on the ground floor which will promote interaction with the public realm. These units have entries facing the street, a walkway connecting each residence to the street, and windows that provide casual surveillance of the street.
- High quality building materials are proposed. Building materials and colours include cement boards (beige, grey, black), metal cladding (charcoal), and wood trim boards (barnwood). The roofs are proposed to be clad in black asphalt shingles and will include gables and sloped portions.


## Townhouse Site: Access, Pedestrian Circulation and Parking

- Vehicular access to the townhouse site is proposed to be either from the new east-west road or the new road link to the existing commercial site to the south. No accesses are proposed on 152 Street or Panorama Drive.
- Street-fronting units along Panorama Drive and the new east-west road are proposed to have individual pedestrian access to the street. The applicant is proposing a series of paths throughout the site, providing good pedestrian connectivity throughout the site and also to the proposed park on the site.
- The majority ( $56.5 \%$ ) of the units (112) have a side-by-side garage parking arrangement, with 86 units ( $43.5 \%$ ) proposing a tandem parking arrangement, which complies with the maximum $50 \%$ tandem parking permitted in the Zoning By-law.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 396 resident parking spaces and 40 visitor parking spaces, which meets the parking requirements of the Zoning By-law.


## Townhouse Site: Amenity Space

- The Zoning By-law requires that 594 square metres ( 6,394 sq. ft.) of both indoor and outdoor amenity space be provided for the townhouse portion of the site, based on 3 square metres ( 32 sq. ft.) per dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing to provide a 191 square metres ( $2,058 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) indoor amenity building, which is less than the 594 square metres ( 6,394 sq. ft.) required under the Zoning By-Law. The proposed amenity building is attached to Townhouse Building nı, utilizing the same building materials as the townhouse units, but with a proposed flat roof. It is a site feature with a functional space, adjacent to one of the outdoor amenity spaces. The amenity building is proposed to have a kitchen on the ground floor with an accessible washroom, and a larger space on the second floor, also with a kitchen. The mailbox area is proposed on the ground floor of the amenity building. In accordance with City Policy, the applicant must address the shortfall of indoor amenity space with cash-in-lieu compensation.
- The applicant is proposing to provide outdoor amenity space containing 612 square metres ( 6,591 sq. ft.) in 4 separate areas on the townhouse site:
o The outdoor amenity space adjacent to the indoor amenity space is 184.6 square metres ( $1,987 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) and is located between the indoor amenity space and Townhouse Building 10, along one of the internal drive aisles. This space is proposed to have a grass/play area, two picnic tables and landscaping.
o A 189.5 -square metre ( 2,040 sq. ft.) outdoor amenity area is proposed along Panorama Drive, between Townhouse Buildings 15 and 18 . This space has a connection to Panorama Drive, and two rain gardens and two benches are proposed.
o A 99.7 square metres ( $1,073 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) outdoor amenity area is proposed between Townhouse Buildings 7 and 8, adjacent to the proposed park. This space features a grass/play area with a rain garden and two benches.
o A 138.5 square metres ( 1,490 sq. ft.) outdoor amenity area is proposed south of Townhouse Building 33, adjacent to the proposed park. This space features a proposed community garden space containing a gardening shed, with a green roof, and an outdoor seating area.
- The total 612 square metres ( $6,591 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of proposed outdoor amenity space exceeds the Zoning By-law minimum requirements of 594 square metres ( 6,394 sq. ft.).


## Townhouse Site: Landscaping

- Landscaping is proposed throughout the townhouse site. The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, ground cover and open lawn areas. The proposed retaining walls are proposed to be architectural poured in placed concrete walls with reveal line patterns or split-face architectural modular block walls in less visible areas.
- A 1.0 metre ( 3.5 feet) high wood screen fence is proposed at various locations along the perimeter of the townhouse site. Decorative entry features are proposed at various pedestrian entries to the site. Front entry posts are proposed at individual unit accesses to adjacent sidewalks. Project signage is proposed at various locations to identify the project.
- Decorative paving is proposed at the vehicular entrances and pervious pavers are proposed for the visitor parking spaces. No garbage enclosure is proposed as garbage and recycling will be picked up at each unit.


## Apartment Site

- The apartment lot is in the south portion of the site, with a total area of o. 66 hectares (1.64 acres).
- The proposed RM-7o Zone requires a redesignation of the property, both in the OCP, and the South Newton NCP. The proposal includes amending the OCP in this area from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential", and amending the South Newton NCP from "Institutional" to "Apartment (65 upa max.)". The proposed density is considered appropriate for this part of South Newton. The apartment site will interface with the existing commercial site to the south, proposed townhouses and a new road to the west, proposed new commercial buildings to the east, and proposed townhouses and the new east-west road to the north.
- The proposal is for a 4 -storey building with a total of 106 rental units and 9,915 square metres ( 106,724 sq. ft.) of floor area, representing an FAR of 1.49 , which is within the maximum 1.5 FAR permitted in the RM-7o Zone. The proposed building height is 14.9 metres ( 49 ft ), which is within the maximum 50 metres ( 164 ft .) of the RM-70 Zone. Variances are required for some of the setbacks, lot coverage and parking, and are discussed later in this report.
- The building is proposed as an articulated building with street presence on the new east-west road, and larger setbacks along the back portion of the building, where the building interfaces with the existing commercial site to the south.
- Of the 106 units, 50 are proposed as studios or 1 -bedroom units, and 56 are proposed as 2-bedroom units. As the applicant is proposing rental units, an office area is proposed on the ground floor.
- High quality building materials are proposed. Building materials and colours include cement boards (beige, grey, black), metal cladding (charcoal), and wood trim boards (barnwood). The roof is proposed to be clad in black asphalt shingles and will include gables and sloped roofs.
- The main pedestrian access is provided from the new east-west road, with individual access provided to the ground floor units.


## Apartment Site: Access, Pedestrian Circulation and Parking

- All of the parking for the apartment is proposed to be provided underground. Vehicular access to the underground parkade is proposed at the east portion of the proposed apartment lot, adjacent to the proposed commercial site. Two gates are proposed to the parkade: the first gate provides access to the visitor parking area, and the second gate provides access to the residential parking area.
- Pedestrian connections to the sidewalk are proposed for most of the street-fronting ground units, and a pathway is proposed from the new north-south road on the western side of the site to allow access to the outdoor amenity area and the lobby area.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 139 resident parking spaces and 21 visitor parking spaces. The proposal complies with the minimum requirement for parking spaces for visitor parking, but a variance is required for the resident parking spaces from 151 stalls to 139 stalls. The variance is discussed later in this report.


## Apartment Site: Amenity Space

- The Zoning By-law requires 318 square metres ( 3,423 sq. ft .) of both indoor and outdoor amenity space be provided for this project, based on 3 square metres ( 32 sq . ft.) per dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing 344 square metres ( $3,707 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of indoor amenity space, which meets the requirements in the Zoning By-Law. Each floor has a 44 -square metre ( 475 sq . ft.) amenity space, and the ground floor has an additional 168 square metres ( $1,807 \mathrm{sq}$. ft .) of indoor amenity space provided. The indoor amenity spaces are as follows:
o Ground floor: three spaces with a total area of 212 square metres ( $2,282 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.)
- Meeting room: 44 square metres ( 475 sq. ft.)
- Conference room: 85 square metres ( 914 sq . ft.)
- Fitness room: 83 square metres ( 893 sq . ft.)
- The conference room and fitness room are side-by-side, and can be combined through an operable wall to a larger 168 square-metre ( $1,807 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) space;

O $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor: 44 square-metre ( 475 sq. ft.) games amenity;
o $3^{\text {rd }}$ floor: 44 square-metre ( 475 sq. ft.) crafts amenity; and
o $\quad 4^{\text {th }}$ floor: 44 square-metre ( 475 sq. ft.) library amenity.

- The applicant is proposing to provide 1,032 square metres ( $11,109 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of outdoor amenity space, connected with the proposed indoor amenity area, located to the south of the building. Over half of the space is proposed as a common lawn, with the remainder proposed to contain an eating area with trellis and built-in barbeque, a sandbox, and raised garden beds. The total 1,032 square metres ( $11,109 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of outdoor amenity spaces provided exceeds the Zoning Bylaw minimum requirements of 318 square metres ( 3,423 sq. ft.).


## Apartment Site: Landscaping

- Landscaping is proposed throughout the apartment site. The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, ground cover and open lawn areas.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

The commercial and apartment portions of the project were referred to the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) on October 22, 2015 (Appendix VI). The ADP comments and suggestions have been satisfactorily addressed.

## TREES

- Laura Ralph, ISA Certified Arborist of BC Plant Health Care Inc. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder | 15 | 15 | o |
| Cottonwood | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| Deciduous Trees(excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Apple | 2 | 2 | o |
| Cherry | 3 | 3 | o |
| Black Locust | 2 | 2 | o |
| Red Oak | 1 | 1 | o |
| Horse Chestnut | 6 | 6 | o |
| English Walnut | 5 | 5 | o |
| Scouler's Willow | 11 | 11 | o |
| Big Leaf Maple | 14 | 14 | o |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Douglas Fir | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Western Red Cedar | 6 | 6 | o |
| Norway Spruce | 2 | 2 | o |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 59 | 59 | o |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 341 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 341 |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Fund |  | n/a |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 59 protected trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Forty (40) existing trees, approximately $40 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 158 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 341 replacement trees, exceeding City requirements.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Crimson Sentry Maple, Katsura, Ace of Hearts Redbud, Skyline Honeylocust, Pin Oak, Golden Deodar Cedar, Dawn Redwood, and Vandewolfs Pyramid Limber Pine.
- In summary, a total of 341 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on November 04, 2015, and a development proposal sign was installed on November 10, 2015. Staff received the following correspondence:

- 34 emails expressing concerns with the proposal;
- 5 phone calls from area residents expressing concern about the proposal;
- 3 emails and 1 phone caller with general questions about the proposal and no concerns expressed;
- Ongoing correspondence with the Panorama Neighbourhood Association (PNA) via email and also meetings; and
- an on-line petition organized by the PNA at https://www.change.org with 246 people "signing" a petition against the proposal. No addresses or signatures are provided so it is not possible to verify all of the respondents.

The main concerns identified by area residents include:

- overcrowding in local schools - many are concerned that the proposed development will increase this issue;
- parking - concerns the proposal will add to parking congestion in the area. Many residents pointed out that they already experience parking shortages, exacerbated by the nearby YMCA;
- traffic - concerns that adding more people to the area will increase traffic.

The applicant held a Public Information (PIM) meeting on September 16, 2015 at Cambridge Elementary School from 7 pm to $8: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. A total of 19 residents signed in, representing 18 households, of which 9 submitted comment sheets. The concerns identified by residents included overcrowding at local schools, traffic, parking and concerns about the proposed rental tenure of the apartment building.

On December 4, 2015 staff met with the developer and 2 representatives from the PNA. The PNA identified that their number one concern was with school overcrowding. They felt the proposed townhouses would attract a demographic with school-age children which would add to the overcrowding problem. The PNA indicated no concern with the proposed commercial or apartment portions of the site.
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In terms of the main issues identified by area residents, the following can be noted:

- overcrowding in local schools - the School Board is aware of this issue.
- parking - the applicant is providing the minimum required number of parking stalls on the townhouse and commercial portions of the site, as per the Zoning bylaw. A twelve (12) stall reduction out of 154 required stalls ( $8 \%$ reduction) is proposed on the apartment portion, which is considered acceptable by staff based on the site's proximity to transit on 152 Street. The applicant is also providing additional on-street parking spaces on Panorama Drive and the new east-west road propose through the site. Based on a neighbourhood parking study conducted as part of the application, the number of onstreet parking spaces provided exceeds the anticipated additional on-street parking demand that would be generated by the proposed development.
- traffic - The applicant was required to conduct a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to assess the impacts of the anticipated site-generated traffic from the proposed development. Based on the TIA, the overall impact of the site-generated traffic on the operations of the existing transportation infrastructure is anticipated to be modest. The proposed development assists in achieving a finer grained road network to distribute traffic, with the applicant providing a dedication for a new east-west road, connecting 152 Street to Panorama Drive.
- rental - The proposed apartment building is of a high quality in terms of design and materials. The proposed rental tenure and unit type increases the housing diversity in the area. The applicant advises that the units will be targeted to residents aged $55+$.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on October 17, 2014. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :--- | :--- |
|  <br> Location (A1-A2) | - The site is within the South Newton NCP area. |
| 2. Density \& Diversity <br> (B1-B7) | - The proposal includes a 3-building commercial development, 198 <br> townhouses and io6 rental apartments. Community garden beds <br> are proposed on the townhouse and apartment sites. |
|  <br> Stewardship (C1-C4) | - The applicant is proposing to utilize absorbent soils greater than <br> 30omm (1 ft.)in depth, rain gardens, cisterns and dry swales. <br> - Recycling pick-up is available. |
| 4. Sustainable Transport <br> \& Mobility (Dı-D2) | - There is access to transit on 152 Street. <br> - Secure bicycle storage is provided for the apartment building for <br> 129 bicycles. <br> - On-site walkways are provided. |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety <br> (E1-E3) | - The proposal has townhouse and apartment units facing the roads <br> and the park, with windows facing public areas. |


| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6. Green Certification <br> $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}\right)$ | $\bullet \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  <br> Awareness (G1-G4) | $\bullet \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

The project was reviewed by the ADP at its meeting of October 22, 2015 (minutes, Appendix VI).
The applicant has resolved the majority of the issues identified by the Panel to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department.

## BY-LAW VARIANCE AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variance:

- To reduce the minimum setback of the $\mathrm{C}-8$ Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
o 5.0 metres ( 16.5 ft .) for the east property line (along 152 Street);
o 4.25 metres ( 14 ft .) for the west property line; and
0 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for the north property line (along the new east-west road).


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed variances along 152 Street and the new east-west road bring the buildings closer to the street which animates the public realm and encourages pedestrian activity along the street frontages.
- The applicant is proposing heavy planting along the westerly property line to provide screening for proposed Building 1.
(b) Requested Variance:
- To reduce the minimum setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
o 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new northsouth road;
o 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
03.0 ( 10 ft. ) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel; and
o 4.4 metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed setbacks for the townhouse site will bring the townhouse units closer to the street and the park parcel which allows the units to better engage the street and park, which enlivens the public realm, and also provides surveillance over the public realm.
- The proposed setbacks allow for sufficient landscaping that helps delineate the private and public realms, while still allowing the townhouse buildings to address the public realm.
(c) Requested Variance:
- To reduce the minimum setback of the RM-7o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the west, south and north setbacks.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed setback along the street frontages will bring the apartment units to better engage the street, which enlivens the public realm, and also provides surveillance over the public realm.
- The proposed relaxation for the south setback (adjacent to the existing commercial site to the south) is only for a corner of the building. The rest of the building has a substantial setback on the south side, and also a large outdoor amenity area which buffers the building from the neighbouring commercial site.
(d) Requested Variance:
- To increase the maximum lot coverage of the RM-7o Zone from $33 \%$ to $40 \%$.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed increase in lot coverage assists in reducing the building height to a 4 -storey height, which is a more appropriate massing for the neighbourhood.
- Although the lot coverage is proposed to be increased, it is noted that the applicant is proposing a very large outdoor amenity space, and all parking for the apartment is being provided underground.
(e) Requested Variance:
- To reduce the minimum number of on-site resident parking spaces for the apartment site from 151 parking stalls to 139 parking stalls.

Staff Comments:

- The apartment building is proposed to be a rental building, which typically have lower rates of car ownership, according to the 2012 Metro Vancouver Apartment Parking Study, which demonstrated that rental apartment buildings did not require the same rate of parking as owner-occupied apartment buildings.
- The applicant is also providing additional on-street parking spaces on Panorama Drive and the new east-west road propose through the site. Based on a neighbourhood parking study conducted as part of the application, the number of on-street parking spaces provided exceeds the anticipated additional on-street parking demand that would be generated by the proposed development.
- The proposed 12 stall reduction out of the required 154 stalls ( $8 \%$ reduction) is considered acceptable by staff based on the site's proximity to transit on 152 Street and the rental tenure proposed for the building.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Proposed Site Plan, Building Elevations and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI. ADP Comments and Applicant's Response
Appendix VII. NCP Redesignation Map
Appendix VIII. OCP Redesignation Map
Appendix IX. Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-oo
original signed by Ron Hintsche

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
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## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Jess Dhillon

Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd.
Address: $\quad 31324$ Peardonville Road, Unit 102
Abbotsford, BC V2T 6K8
Tel: $\quad$ 604-852-4912 - Work
604-852-4912 - Fax
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Drive
(b) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Dr

Owner: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd
PID: 023-208-732
Lot 13 Section 10 Township 2 Plan LMP 24916 NWD
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce a By-law to amend the Official Community Plan to redesignate a portion of the property.
(b) Introduce a By-law to rezone the property.
(c) Application is under the jurisdiction of MOTI.

MOTI File No. 2014-05984
(d) Proceed with Public Notification for Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-oo and bring the Development Variance Permit forward for an indication of support by Council. If supported, the Development Variance Permit will be brought forward for issuance and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with the final adoption of the associated Rezoning By-law.
(e) Remove Notice of Development Permit No. 6792-0609-oo from title (BJ317062).

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET - COMMERCIAL SITE

Proposed Zoning: C-8

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  |  |
| Road Widening area |  |  |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Commercial Site Net Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10,191 sq.m. } \\ & \text { (2.52 acres) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 50\% | 29\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  |  |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| Front (152 St) | 7.5 m | 5.om |
| Rear (east) | 7.5 m | 4.25 m |
| Side \#1 (north) | 7.5 m | 4.0m |
| Side \#2 (south) | 7.5 m | 7.5 m |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 12 m | 10 m |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  |  |
| Three Bedroom + |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  | 3,147 sq.m. |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 3,147 sq.m. |

[^1]
## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / <br> Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) |  |  |
| FAR (gross) |  | 0.31 |
| FAR (net) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor |  |  |
| Outdoor |  | 126 |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor + 1 Bedroom |  |  |
| 2-Bed |  | 126 |
| Residential Visitors |  |  |
| Institutional |  | 23 |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of <br> Total Number of Units |  |  |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces <br> width/length |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET - TOWNHOUSE SITE

Proposed Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  |  |
| Road Widening area |  |  |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Townhouse Site Net Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 32,990 \text { sq.m. } \\ (8.15 \text { acres }) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 45\% | 35\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  |  |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| All sides | 7.5m | See DVP section in report |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 13 m | 11.7 m |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  |  |
| Three Bedroom + |  |  |
| Total |  | 198 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 26,o62 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 26,062 sq.m. |

*If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | 75 uph/3o upa | 59 uph/24 upa |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 0.90 | 0.79 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 594 sq.m. | 191 sq.m. |
| Outdoor | 594 sq.m. | 612 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential | 396 | 396 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Visitors | 40 | 40 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 436 | 436 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  | 96 units/49\% |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET - APARTMENT SITE

Proposed Zoning: RM-7o

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  |  |
| Road Widening area |  |  |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Apartment Site Net Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6,633 sq.m. } \\ & \text { (1.64 acres) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 33\% | 40\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  |  |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| Front (west) | 7.5 m | 4.5 m |
| Rear (east) | 7.5 m | 7.5 m |
| Side \#1 (north) | 7.5 m | 4.5 m |
| Side \#2 (south) | 7.5 m | 4.5 m |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 50m | 14.9 m |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Studio/One Bed |  | 50 |
| Two Bedroom |  | 56 |
| Three Bedroom + |  |  |
| Total |  | 106 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential (not including amenity area) |  | 9,915 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 9,915 sq.m. |

## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) |  | 161 uph/65 upa |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 1.50 | 1.49 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 318 sq.m. | 344 sq.m. |
| Outdoor | 318 sq.m. | 1,032 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Studio + 1 Bedroom | 50 X $1.3=65$ | $50 \mathrm{X} 1.1=55$ |
| 2 -Bed | $56 \mathrm{X} 1.5=84$ | $56 \mathrm{X} 1.5=84$ |
| 3-Bed |  |  |
| Total Resident | 149 | 139 |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Visitors | 21 | 21 |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 170 | 160 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls | 2 | 3 |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  |  |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- South Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: March 2, $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ PROJECT FILE: 7814-0286-00
RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements (Commercial/Industrial)
Location: 5750 Panorama Drive
OCP AMENDMENT/NCP AMENDMENT
There are no engineering requirements relative to the OCP Amendment/NCP Amendment.
REZONE/SUBDIVISION
Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate on 152 Street 1.5 m for ultimate 30.0 m wide Arterial Road standard.
- Dedicate on Panorama drive 2.3 m for ultimate 28.0 m wide Arterial Road standard.
- Dedicate 20.0 m on 58 Avenue for ultimate 20.0 m wide Local Road standard.
- Dedicate 12.0 m for the 12.0 m wide Green Lane.
- Dedicate required corner cuts at all intersections.
- Register 0.5 m SRW along all frontages for maintenance of City infrastructure.


## Works and Services

- Construct northbound left turn bay on 152 Street at 58 Avenue intersection, modify existing landscaping and the existing southbound left turn lane at 56A Ave if required.
- Construct south portion of Panorama Drive to modified Arterial Road standard.
- Provide 20\% funding for construction of a signal at Panorama Drive and Highway 10.
- Construct 58 Avenue to Local Road standard.
- Construct the 12.0 m wide lane to "urban" lane standard including sidewalk.
- Construct storm sewers, sanitary sewers and water mains to service the development.
- Provide onsite stormwater management features.
- Develop the Park parcel.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.
DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT
There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit/ Development Variance Permit.

IKı

Wednesday, December 23, 2015 Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS APPLICATION \#: <br> 14028600

## SUMMARY <br> The proposed <br> 198 townhouses

are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:

## Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 60 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Secondary Students: | 32 |

September 2015 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Sullivan Elementary |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $30 \mathrm{~K}+258$ |  |
| Capacity (K/1-7): | $20 \mathrm{~K}+175$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| Sullivan Heights Secondary | 1536 |  |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1000 |  |
| Nominal Capacity (8-12): | 1080 |  |
| Functional Capacity ${ }^{\star}(8-12)$; |  |  |

## School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:

The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Both Sullivan Elementary and Sullivan Heights Secondary are overcapacity. A number of catchment changes are being implemented for September 2016 to help manage demand between South Newton elementary schools. The Sullivan Heights Secondary site cannot accommodate additional portables and from September 2016 onwards any new in-catchment registrants that are unable to be accommodated at Sullivan Heights Secondary will be accommodated at Frank Hurt Secondary. The District's 5-Year Capital Plan includes new space requests for both the elementary and secondary school.

In recent years, amendments to the South Newton NCP have resulted in a larger number of residential units and higher enrolment growth than was originally envisioned when the NCP was first adopted in 1999. The Surrey School District expresses its concern about development consistently occurring at densities higher than outlined in the NCP given the flow on implications to educational facility planning. Given the existing and projected enrolment pressures in South Newton, the school district does not support development occurring at a higher density than outlined in approved NCPs.

Sullivan Elementary


Sullivan Heights Secondary

*Functional Capacity at secondary schools is based on space utilization estimate of 27 students per instructional space. The number of instructional spaces is estimated by dividing nominal facility capacity (Ministry capacity) by 25.

## Tree Preservation Summary

## Surrey Project No:

Address: 1401064 Ave, Surrey
Registered Arborist: Laura Ralph, PN6420A - BC Plant Health Care Inc.

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas). Includes 6 tree which failed during Aug/Nov 2015 storms. | 143 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed (includes 3 boulevard trees, does not include 6 trees which failed during Aug/Nov 2015 storms) | 102 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained (includes 35 boulevard trees) (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 35 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ $x$ one (1) $=40$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ 59 $X$ two (2) $=118$ | 158 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 341 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] |  |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :--- | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Boulevard Trees to be Removed |  |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: |  |
| - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio |  |
| X one (1) $=0$ | 0 |
| $\quad$- All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio (boulevard) <br> $X$ two (2) $=0$ |  |
| Replacement Trees Proposed |  |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit |  |

Summary, report and plan prepared and submitted by:
Laura
(Signature of Arborist)
December 2, 2015
Date

# Appendix VI 

# Advisory Design Panel Minutes 

Present:<br>Chair - L Mickelson<br>M. Higgs<br>E. Mashing<br>C. Taylor<br>M. Vance

## Staff Present:

M. Rondeau, Acting City Architect
H. Ahking, Senior Planner
L. Moraes, Planner
N. Chow, Urban Design Planner
L. Luaifoa, Administrative Assistant

## B. NEW SUBMISSIONS

2. $\quad \mathbf{4 : 4 5} \mathbf{P M}$

File No.:
New or Resubmit:
Last Submission Date:
7914-0286-00
New

Description:
Address:
Developer:
Architect:
Landscape Architect:
Planner:
Urban Design Planner:
N/A
Commercial buildings
5750 Panorama Drive; South Newton
James Redekop - Redekop (Panorama Homes Ltd.)
Rob Ciccozzi - Ciccozzi Architecture Inc.
Mark van der Zalm - van der Zalm + associates
Keith Broersma
Mary Beth Rondeau/Henry Ahking

The Acting City Architect presented an overview of the project:

- North of the subject site, including the subject site, was planned to be a hospital or university in the NCP.
- A road connection was achieved all the way through the site which is a desirable achievement.
- The subject site is for retail use and adjacent to the next ADP agenda item is a 4 -storey apartment building.
- Land use and density for this project is supportable by staff.
- Staff are asking for advice on the signage. There are 5 proposed signs and only 2 are permitted. A variance will be sought. The free-standing sign has more individual tenant signs with add visual clutter and the yellow background colour in the signage of the grocery store would be more muted.

The Project Architect presented an overview of the site plan, building plans, elevations, cross sections, and streetscapes and highlighted the following:

- The proposal is for 3 buildings - Building 1 includes a grocery store with a mezzanine and a smaller retail unit. Building 2 is a 2 -level unit with a large open deck and Building 3 is intended for a bank.
- A ramp was incorporated on the site for vehicular access. An existing driveway to the south commercial site straddles the property line and the truck access goes to loading area of the grocery store. A staircase integrates with the ramp system.
- The current location of the garbage enclosure requested by staff is not ideal and would prefer it be relocated.
- The general character is simple boxes with a series of columns. Brick material was introduced on the grocery store along the entrance with glass canopy. To maintain a rhythm, stucco was introduced by the columns. The entry is highlighted with higher quality material. Some areas have glass and some have a corrugated metal.

The Landscape Architect reviewed the landscape plans and highlighted the following:

- Significant tree planting is planned for the site. One challenge is the parking lot and to achieve some mature canopy height, a structural soil base is proposed to enable trees to grow to their potential.
- A significant planted buffer will be in place between grocery store and proposed apartment building.
- Bike requirements are exceeded as well as parking spaces.
- Decorative paving is proposed with movable planters, benches and site furnishings to animate space in front of CRU's.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW Commercial buildings

File No. 7914-0286-oo
It was Moved by M. Higgs
Seconded by M. Vance
That the Advisory Design Panel (ADP)
recommends A - that the applicant address the following issues to the satisfaction of the Planning \& Development Department.

## Carried
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## STATEMENT OF REVIEW COMMENTS

The Panel considered the project quite successful especially given the complicated and challenging site.

## Site

- Site grades well resolved given the challenges.
- Vehicular and pedestrian flow through and in-between developments are well thought out.
- The gateway to the site is not well marked and the access of 152 Street looks straight onto loading dock. Consider a lantern or beacon to mark the entrances.


## Building Form and Character

- Generally the form and massing are good.
- Consider the relationship of Building 1 and the adjacent CRU 2. If they must be conjoined and expressed separately then suggest increasing the reveal.
- East façade of Building 1 should be more animated i.e. more glass and less metal cladding. Fabric canopies appear to be a downgrade.
- The use of galvalume is harsh and heavy on the top of the building. Transparency may be more successful.
- Good animated use of patio on Building 2 on the corner.
- Signage is excessive and should be more consistent with the City of Surrey guidelines. Pilon sign is located too close to bank CRU.
- Consider light fixtures be made more contemporary for consistency with building aesthetic.
- Consider screening for rooftop units and ensure it is suitable and supports the building palette.


## Landscaping

- Recommend consideration of lighting for the site along the ramps and stairs for pedestrians. Need more lighting information.
- Landscaping is minimal. Suggest fewer stalls and more landscaping in parking area.
- Landscaping buffers and the planting palette is ok.


## Accessibility

- Recommend 2 additional wheelchair spaces located close to the grocery stores.
- Recommend providing power doors at all main entrances.
- Recommend providing wheelchair and gender neutral accessible washrooms.
- Recommend providing let downs for wheelchairs.
- Recommend ramp to CRU in Building 2.


## Sustainability

- Consider storm water retention and reuse on site for irrigation.
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## Panorama City comment response

All response are in red

## General

1) The vehicular interface with the property to the south still hasn't been resolved. That "island" on the neighboring property is still right in the middle of traffic movements. Please work with the neighbor to the south to resolve. Neighbor to the South's contact information is: INVESTORS GROUP TRUST CO LTD: C/O BENTALL KENNEDY (CANADA) L ATTN: CATHERINE YANG, PROPERTY 305-935 MARINE DR NORTH VANCOUVER BC V7P 1S3

Neighboring property has agreed for existing curb island to be removed and in cooperation to redesigning drive aisle for efficient traffic flow. See attached letter.
2) Fascia signage - Please provide more signage details (materials, dimensions, etc.) as per my Oct. 1 email. Fascia signage should be channel letters. No yellow backing should be used (ie. grocery store). The grocery store has too many signs. It is allowed 2 signs, not 5 signs.

Grocery store signs have been changed to white and reduced to two signs along the front (east elevation) and on the diagonal wall flanking the proposed road (north elevation).
3) Free-standing sign - In keeping with the recently approved signage on the commercial site across 152 Street, the free-standing sign should be no more than 3.6 m high, as seen in the below Street view screenshot. The sign needs to be 2 m from property line - please label on site plan.

Free standing sign has been reduced in height to 3.6 m and relocated away from building \#3 and towards the middle of the retaining wall planters. A more detailed drawing is also provided to show materials/finishes. (Refer to site plan)
4) Please clarify if a restaurant is proposed for CRU\#5, as mentioned at the ADP meeting. Let's design for this now (ie. patio, parking, venting, etc).

A restaurant will not be proposed in the space of CRU\#5 on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor of building \#2.
5) Clarify specialty paving on the onsite sidewalks.

Refer to landscape drawings.
6) Show light standard fixture manufacturers information including height and finishes.

Light standard fixture will have an overall height of $28^{\prime}$ ( 8.5 m ); 25'H. ( 7.6 m ) pole mounted to a $3^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$. Concrete base. Light standard manufactured by Cree.
7) Show gas meters located away from street frontages.

Gas meters have been located on site plan as requested; refer to site plan for locations
8) Reconsider corrugated metal fascia with a metal panel.

Corrugated metal fascia has been replaced with spandrel panels at storefront locations on the east elevation of building \#1. The rest of the corrugated fascia will remain at other locations as part of the architectural character.
9) Provide detailed site grading and show any retaining walls.

Refer to A1.3 and A1.4 for detailed grading.
10) Please show on site dimensions for pedestrian walkways, etc.

Additional dimensions have been added at walkways/paths
11) Show let downs for sidewalks.

Let downs have been shown. Refer to site plan A1.0
12) Confirm that canopies have a minimum underside 2.7 m clearance.

Canopies vary in height per building in relation with building/floor/window head heights and character. To meet minimum underside of canopies, it will be raised higher than current height and the design will be altered. Please refer to each building elevation for underside of canopy heights.
13) Show floor plans for each building.

Floor plans have been drawn for each building, refer to drawing set.
14) Planters along the driveway ramp should show a curb only with guard rails incorporated where necessary and provide details. Planting should slope down at maximum 1:3 to reduce retaining wall heights.

Planters at driveway ramp have been reduced to 6 inch curbs up from ramp and follows the drive way slope. $3^{\prime}-6$ " Guard rails are provided where necessary by code. (Refer to building \#2 west elevation)
15) Improve material on retaining walls along $152^{\text {nd }}$ ie not allan block. Provide detail of all retaining walls on site. Allan blocks are not used, all poured concrete walls.
16) Provide a roof plan and show roof mechanical screening for both visual and acoustic to adjacent residential. Show any restaurant exhaust located away from residential interfaces.

A study has been done to see where mechanical unit screening is required. In response we have screened all units on top of building \#1 grocery store roof from adjacent apartment complex to the west. CRU \#2 in building \#1 is further away and not in view. (Refer to A2.2 and site sections A2.9) In addition, screening is provided to 3 sides of mechanical units on top of building \#2.
17) Provide a finer grain of detailing of ground level interfaces to add interest and character such as reveals in the brick columns and masonry bases.

Refer to sheet A2.2 for detail of pilaster.
18) Please provide detail of soil cells for the trees planted in the "diamonds" in the parking lot. Please also include the cost of the soil cells in the landscaping cost estimate.

Landscape has provided details on planter cells. Refer to Landscape drawings.

## Building 1

19) Extend the use of brick across the east façade columns and wrapping around where visible to the new road and wrap above the loading bay.

Brick façade usage have been revised, please refer to building \#1 elevations on A2.3.
20) Show details of screen at loading bay with durable high quality material and detailing. Height can be to match the bottom of the brick on columns.

Refer to building \#1 south elevation on A2.3 for screen elevation and finishes. Screen at loading is $10^{\prime}(3 \mathrm{~m})$ high.
21) Clarify use of wider sidewalk area along the grocery store frontage.

Wider sidewalk allows for pedestrians and carts to pass side by side and also bike racks along the front. (Refer to site plan for bike rack locations)
22) Extend glass in a spandrel panel along grocery down to 0.6 m of grade. Incorporate trees along grocery store parking including soil cells. Refer to elevations on A2.3 for spandrel panel locations on East elevations. Additional planter cells will not be provided along grocery store.
23) Dot in any outdoor display along frontage. Cart storage along frontage not supported.

No outdoor displays along frontage of grocery store. Cart storage located in parking area, will not be along the front of building.
24) Confirm exiting requirements and show exit routes.

Exit door provided at back of loading bay on the south side, front of building by demising wall, and mezzanine level. (Refer to plans and elevations of building \#1)
25) Show the exit sidewalk along the west extending south and routing along the south side of the building, integrating with the loading exit stair.

We propose the exit sidewalk along the west side of the building to extend north towards the street, this allows for a safe and at grade pathway. If we extend to the south, stairs have to be provided which will lead to an unsafe passage onto the adjacent property's drive aisle.
26) This sidewalk should be secured at the south end of the building. The remainder of this triangle should have low planting along the interface with the adjacent service driveway then native conifers toward the building setback area. Refer to landscape drawings.
27) Show adjacent proposed apartment building parkade deck and integrate the interface including correct grades. Avoid retaining walls in this area. Refer to site section on A2.9. Retaining wall is required and cant $b$ avoided as the adjacent site finished grades are higher.
28) Extend the weather protection canopy along the east façade similar to the main entrance canopy. Weather protection is provided, canopy at entry and fabric awnings at storefront. Refer to elevations on A2.3.

## Building 2

29) Resolve grades along Panorama Drive setback area so that any steps, ramps or raised planters are avoided. Provide a detailed plan with grades. Provide a continuous row of inside trees along the street frontage and continuous weather protection on the building frontage.

Refer to building \#2 plans and elevations for detailed grading plan. There are no ramps provided to access CRU\#5. Canopies are provided as on elevations.

## Building 3

30) Label the maximum height of vertical extension to be 0.6 m above show consistently. Completed, refer to elevations on A2.8.
31) Exit door and associated walkway along $152^{\text {nd }}$ to be relocated away from the east and south frontage. Weather protection can be removed.

For exit door relocated to the south of building \#3, refer to plans and elevations.
32) South and east elevation to be improved with spandrel glass.

Completed/updated. Refer to elevations on A2.8.
33) Clarify blue panels on the north and south elevation. These could be deleted.

Blue metal panels are part of future tenant's design.

## Response to ADP Minutes

## Building Form and Character

- Generally the form and massing are good.
- Consider the relationship of Building 1 and the adjacent CRU 2. If they must be conjoined and expressed separately then suggest increasing the reveal.
- East façade of Building 1 should be more animated i.e. more glass and less metal cladding.

Fabric canopies appear to be a downgrade.
Spandrel panels used in replace of metal cladding. (See elevations)
Fabric canopies to remain

- The use of galvalume is harsh and heavy on the top of the building. Transparency may be more successful.

Use of galvalume is part of building character.

- Good animated use of patio on Building 2 on the corner.
- Signage is excessive and should be more consistent with the City of Surrey guidelines. Pilon sign is located too close to bank CRU.

Pylon sign has been relocated to middle of site along $152^{\text {nd }}$ street. Size has been reduced as part of city guidelines. (See A1.0)

- Consider light fixtures be made more contemporary for consistency with building aesthetic. Light fixtures to remain.
- Consider screening for rooftop units and ensure it is suitable and supports the building palette. Screening of rooftop units provided. (See plans and sections)


## Accessibility

-Recommend 2 additional wheelchair spaces located close to the grocery stores.
1 additional disability space is provided at grocery store.
-Recommend providing power doors at all main entrances.
Power doors provided.
-Recommend providing wheelchair and gender neutral accessible washrooms.
Washroom rough-ins provided. Future tenant to determine use of washroom.
-Recommend providing let downs for wheelchairs.
Let downs provided. (see site plan A1.0)
-Recommend ramp to CRU in Building 2.
Use of ramp at Northwest corner will connect to CRU at building 2 .

## Advisory Design Panel Minutes

2E - Community Room B
City Hall
13450-104 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
Thursday, October 22, 2015
Time: 4:00 pm

## Present:

Chair - L Mickelson
M. Higgs
E. Mashing
C. Taylor
M. Vance

## Guests:

T. Paul, Pinora Enterprises Ltd.
S. Paul, Pinora Enterprises Ltd.
L. Barnett, Barnett Dembek Architects
M. Mitchell, M2 Landscape

Architecture
J. Redekop, Redekop (Panorama Homes Ltd.)
R.Ciccozzi, Ciccozzi Architecture Inc. M. van der Zalm, van der Zalm + assoc. E. Poxleitner, Keystone Architecture \& Planning

## Staff Present:

M. Rondeau, Acting City Architect
H. Ahking, Senior Planner
L. Moraes, Planner
N. Chow, Urban Design Planner
L. Luaifoa, Administrative Assistant

## B. NEW SUBMISSIONS

3. $5: 45 \mathrm{PM}$

File No.:
7914-0286-00
New or Resubmit:
New
Last Submission Date:
N/A
Description:
Address:
Developer:
4-storey apartment building
5750 Panorama Drive; South Newton

Architect:
Landscape Architect:
Planner:
Urban Design Planner:
James Redekop - Redekop (Panorama Homes Ltd.)
Eric Poxleitner - Keystone Architecture \& Planning
Mark van der Zalm - van der Zalm + associates
Keith Broersma
Mary Beth Rondeau/Henry Ahking

The Acting City Architect presented an overview of the project and highlighted the following:

- The use, form and density are supported. Staff are generally satisfied with the proposal and do not have any specific issues.
- The parking is slightly below requirements however, staff supports the reduction due to the site being located near two major arterial roads and the availability of transit.
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The Project Architect presented an overview of the site plan, building plans, elevations, cross sections, and streetscapes and highlighted the following:

- The concept of the design of the project is to be reflective of the community and still tie in between the residential and commercial portions. The townhouses which are north of the apartment building are also a similar design of colours and scale of materials.
- There is no parking on the surface, that area is all landscaping. All parking is provided through a parkade that is accessed from 58 Avenue.

The Landscape Architect reviewed the landscape plans and highlighted the following:

- The grade continues to be an issue in this precinct with the commercial development. The landscape is a curved form with a grass boulevard and street planting on 58 Avenue.
- The amenity space provides a lot of openness with significant vegetative and screening. Planters are built up for privacy on own patios and screening from whatever is taking place in the open space.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW 4-storey apartment building

File No. 7914-0286-oo
It was Moved by C. Taylor
Seconded by E. Mashig
That the Advisory Design Panel (ADP)
recommends C - that the applicant address the following issues to the satisfaction of the Planning \& Development Department and, at the discretion of Planning staff, resubmit the project to the ADP for review.

Carried

## STATEMENT OF REVIEW COMMENTS

In general, the Panel support the layout of the site, the form and massing.

## Site

- Decent solution to challenging site relative to the grade of adjacent existing development.


## Building Form and Character

- The site arrangement is well articulated for a massive building.
- Consider something to break up the long corridor. Allow natural light where it changes in direction. The corridor is broken up using a variety of methods:
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- Even though the building itself is large, the common corridor is not a long straight run, due to the articulation of the building, which follows the street curves, but rather is broken up into much shorter portion of corridor lengths.
- At each 90 degree corner in the corridor (2 per floor), the ceiling design will have cove LED lighting to provide a feeling of going to a destination that has a lighting feature.
- By having the elevators and a stair shaft centrally located, the distance from these main access points to any unit entry door is as short as possible.
- On every floor, at approximately halfway between the central elevator/central stair area and the further unit entry door in the southwest corner (the longest distance in the building) is an amenity room with numerous windows between it and the corridor. This further breaks up the corridor and brings interest along the circulation route.
- Every unit entry is not simply a door that is flush with the corridor. Rather, each entry has a distinctive design, with 4 " projections on either side of the entry area in a contrasting colour, and the wall with the entry door recessed from the main corridor plane by approximately 12 ", for a total recess of about 16 ". There will be a dropped ceiling with a pot light over this entry area which also enhances the distinctness of the entry area. With all these design elements, each entry area becomes a unique place of entry and further breaks up the corridor.
- Recommend further developing elevations and use of materials. Palette is busy and there is a lack of clarity regarding vertical expression vs. horizontal datum. The palette has been simplified, vertical elements have been removed or adjusted so that a uniform horizontal theme is now consistent for the entire building.
- Specifically, the strong vertical elements going up to the roof gable. These vertical elements have been re-designed to match other more horizontal themes, which further emphasizes a more harmonious design.
- Re-consider materials; metal looks like wood, concrete looks like stone and fibre cement looks like wood. Recognizing that good quality materials have been used, it's how they have been composed that is the problem. Material selections have been revised in numerous areas to have a more uniform composition and in keeping with a more traditional hierarchy with strong lower (base) materials.
- Consider reducing number of elements to improve the "very busy" look. The number of elements has been drastically reduced so that the design is more consistent throughout.
- Rental approach should be the same care as market projects. Agreed.
- Consider indoor garage access for tenants. All the tenants parking is in a secure underground parkade, separate from the underground visitor parking area.
- Better relationship of indoor and outdoor amenity areas.One large room rather than broken up by corridor. The main indoor amenity area has been combined into one large room and moved further east. This allows it to be directly
 jurisdiction\15-12-23-dp re-application\adp minutes - oct22-15-7914-0286-oo (with keystone response).docx
adjacent to the outdoor amenity area. This relationship is further enhanced by having direct connections between the two by way of a patio door and sidewalk.


## Landscaping

- Re-consider the tree located between the wood fence and concrete parking wall at the south property line. This has been adjusted.
- Consider rotating garden beds to be able to provide more of them. Add gardening amenities such as tool storage. The usable area of the raised garden beds has been substantially increased. The beds are 1.2 m wide and the aisles are 1 m wide to optimize garden area and accessibility. A small tool shed and hose bib has been added to the northeast corner of the garden bed.


## Accessibility

- Recommend elevator panel button be horizontal. Agree to include.
- Recommend call buttons. Agree to include elevator call buttons.
- Recommend power doors at entrance. Agree to include.
- Provide emergency call button in underground lobby. Agree to include an emergency call button in the underground lobby, but would ask for further clarification details about how this would work, such as where the button would signal to.
- Suggest $50 \%$ of units be wheelchair accessible. As noted in my email to Keith Broersma on Oct.30/15, this is a typo in the minutes: the actual suggestion was to have $5 \%$ as adaptable units. We have provided more than $5 \%$ of the units as accessible, as defined by the BC Building Code.
- Provide wheelchair accessible washrooms in amenities room. Agree to include.

[^5] jurisdiction\15-12-23-dp re-application\adp minutes - oct22-15-7914-0286-oo (with keystone response).docx



# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7914-0286-oo
Issued To:
Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd
("the Owner")
Address of Owner: 102-31324 Peardonville Road Abbotsford BC V2T 6K8

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 023-208-732
LT 13 SE SC 10 T2 PLLMP24916 Part NE1/4. 5750 Panorama Dr

> (the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once titles have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic addresses change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic addresses for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Subsection F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 36 Community Commercial Zone (C8), the minimum setbacks are reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft. ) to:
i. $\quad 5.0$ metres ( 16.5 ft .) for the east property line (along 152 Street);
ii. $\quad 4.25$ metres ( 14 ft .) for the west property line; and
iii. $\quad 4.0$ metres ( 13 ft .) for the north property line (along the new east-west road);
(b) In Subsection F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM30), the minimum setbacks are reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new north-south road;
ii. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
iii. $\quad 3.0$ ( 10 ft .) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel;
iv. $\quad 4.4$ metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site;
(c) In Subsection F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 24 Multiple Residential 70 Zone (RM70 ), the minimum setbacks are reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the west, south and north setbacks;
(d) In Subsection E. Lot Coverage of Part 24 Multiple Residential 70 Zone (RM-70), the maximum lot coverage is increased from $33 \%$ to $40 \%$; and
(e) In Table C. 6 Parking Requirement for Residential Uses of Part 5 Off-Street Parking, the number of required parking spaces is reduced for the apartment site from 151 on-site resident parking spaces to 139 parking spaces.
5. This development variance permit applies to only that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , , o . ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20 .

Mayor - Linda Hepner

City Clerk - Jane Sullivan

## PANDRAMADRIVEDEVELDPMENT 575ロ PANDRAMADRIVE, SURREY, BC <br> A DRIVE, SURREY, BC

(b) In Subsection F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30), the minimum setbacks are reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to: i. 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to th bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new northsouth road;
ii. 4.5 metres ( 15 ft. ) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
iii. 3.0 (10 ft.) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel
iv. 4.4 metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site;





# City of Surrey <br> PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT <br> File: <br> 7914-0286-00 

Planning Report Date: March 7, 2016

PROPOSAL:

- Partial OCP Amendment from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential"
- NCP Amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max", "Apartment 65 upa max", and "Park"
- Rezoning from CD (By-law No. 12282) to RA, C-8, RM-30 and RM-70
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 198 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a commercial plaza.

LOCATION: 5750 Panorama Drive

OWNER: Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd

ZONING: CD (By-law No. 12282)
OCP DESIGNATION: Commercial
NCP DESIGNATION: Institutional


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for:
- OCP Amendment; and
- Rezoning.
- Approval to reduce indoor amenity space for the townhouse site.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is proposing a partial OCP amendment to redesignate a portion of the site from "Commercial" to "Multiple-Residential".
- The applicant is proposing an NCP amendment from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max" and "Apartments 65 upa max".
- The applicant is seeking to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.
- The applicant is seeking to reduce the indoor amenity space from the required 594 square metres ( 6,394 sq.ft.) to 191 square metres ( 2,058 sq.ft.), and pay cash-in-lieu for the shortfall.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- Partly complies with OCP Designation. An amendment is required for the proposed residential portion of the site, to redesignate from "Commercial" to "Multiple-Residential".
- The proposed development is comprehensively designed, and is appropriate in scale and density to the existing neighbourhood context. The development builds on and supports the existing commercial centre at the corner of 152 Street and Highway No. 10, while being sensitive in interfacing with the existing residential neighbourhood to the north and west across Panorama Drive.
- Given the site's location close to the major intersection of 152 Street and Highway No. 10, the commercial site to the south, and the presence of transit along 152 Street, and the proposed park improvements, there is merit to the proposed OCP and NCP redesignations.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape.
- The proposed increase in lot coverage assists in reducing the building height to a 4 -storey height, which is a more appropriate massing for the neighbourhood.
- The proposed indoor amenity space shortfall is supportable given that the proposed reduced indoor amenity space is functional, with the remaining shortfall addressed through a cash-in-lieu contribution in accordance with City policy.
- The proposed development assists in achieving a finer grained road network, with the applicant providing a dedication for a new east-west road, connecting 152 Street to Panorama Drive, and also a north-south road linking the new east-west road to the existing commercial area south of the subject site along Highway No. 10.
- The City will be acquiring park land from the applicant, which will enable a park land linkage from the existing Springwood Forest Park to the existing commercial area south of the subject site at the corner of Highway No. 10 and 152 Street, and will also provide a new open space area/play area for neighbourhood residents.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to partially amend the OCP by redesignating a portion of the subject site in Development Application No. 7914-0286-oo from to "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" and a date for Public Hearing be set (Appendix VIII).
2. Council determine the opportunities for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities that are considered to be affected by the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan, as described in the Report, to be appropriate to meet the requirement of Section 475 of the Local Government Act.
3. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "CD By-law No. 12282" (CD) to:

- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the portion of the site shown as Block E on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "One-Acre Residential (RA)" for the portion of the site shown as Block B on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) for the portions of the site shown as Blocks A and C on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
- "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the portion of the site shown as Block D on the attached zoning block plan (Appendix II);
and a date be set for Public Hearing.

4. Council approve the applicant's request to reduce the amount of required indoor amenity space from 594 square metres ( 6,394 square feet) to 191 square metres ( 2,058 square feet), for the proposed townhouse site.
5. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7914-0286-oo including a comprehensive sign design package generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
6. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7914-o286-oo (Appendix IX) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum setback of the $\mathrm{C}-8$ Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. $\quad 5.0$ metres $(16.5 \mathrm{ft}$.) for the east property line (along 152 Street);
ii. 4.25 metres ( 14 ft .) for the west property line; and
iii. 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for the north property line (along the new east-west road);
(b) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new northsouth road;
ii. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 1 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
iii. 3.0 ( 10 ft .) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel; and
iv. $\quad 4.4$ metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site.
(c) to reduce the minimum setback of the $\mathrm{RM}-70$ Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the west, south and north setbacks;
(d) to increase the maximum lot coverage of the RM-70 Zone from $33 \%$ to $40 \%$; and
(e) to reduce the minimum number of on-site resident parking spaces for the apartment site from 151 parking stalls to 139 parking stalls.
7. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) approval from the Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure;
(d) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(e) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) submission of an acoustical report for the townhouse units adjacent to 152 Street and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant on the townhouse site to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space;
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture; and
(i) the applicant adequately address the impact of reduced indoor amenity space.
8. Council pass a resolution to amend the South Newton NCP to redesignate the land from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park", as well as adjust the road network when the project is considered for final adoption, per Appendix VII.

## REFERRALS

Engineering:

School District

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

## Projected number of students from this development:

6o Elementary students at Sullivan Elementary School 32 Secondary students at Sullivan Heights Secondary School

## (Appendix IV)

The applicant has advised that the first dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by summer of 2017.

Parks will acquire the proposed parkland, as shown in Appendix II. The applicant has agreed to provide improvements to the proposed park, including grading, drainage, hard surface pathway, landscape and design services as part of a community benefit in light of the proposed OCP amendment. Building elevations adjacent to parkland should ensure CPTED and urban design principles are applied. All fencing adjacent to parkland should be permeable and limited to 1.2 m in height. The applicant should set back any "up-hill" retaining walls adjacent to parkland.

Ministry of Transportation Preliminary approval was granted for the rezoning under MOTI file \& Infrastructure (MOTI): \#2014-05984.

Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.
Ministry of Environment: The site received a Certificate of Compliance dated December 5, 2013. The Certificate of Compliance states that "a qualified environmental consultant must be available to identify, characterize and appropriately manage any environmental media that may be contaminated and may be encountered during any future subsurface work at the site". This will be a requirement of the Servicing Agreement, and the Building Permit, as applicable.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

## Existing Land Use: vacant property

Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/NCP <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North and West <br> (Across Panorama <br> Drive): | Townhouse units. | Multiple Residential <br> / Apartments (45 upa <br> max) | CD (By-law No. 13637) |
| East (Across 152 <br> Street): | Single family residential. | Urban and Suburban | RH-G, RA and CD <br> (By-law Nos. 17603, <br> $18281,14512,14510$, <br> 17731 and 18264) |
| South: | Commercial complex with <br> Market Place IGA, <br> Shoppers Drug Mart and <br> other CRUs | Commercial / <br> Commercial | CD (By-law Nos. 17005 <br> and 12282) |

## IUSTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- The applicant is proposing to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential" for a portion of the site and to amend the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to redesignate the subject site from "Institutional" to "Commercial", "Townhouses 25 upa max.", "Apartments 65 upa max." and "Park".
- The applicant is proposing to retain a portion of the site's OCP "Commercial" designation, in the southeast corner of the site, adjacent to the existing commercial plaza south of the subject site. The proposed amendment to "Multiple Residential" for the remainder of the site corresponds with the adjacent "Multiple Residential" designation on the sites to the north and west. The applicant has agreed to provide improvements to the proposed park within the development, including grading, drainage, hard surface pathway, landscape and design services as part of a community benefit in light of the proposed OCP amendment.
- The current NCP "Institutional" designation reflects the fact that the site was owned for many years by the Province, with the expectation that the subject site would develop into a hospital and/or a post-secondary institution. However, the site was sold to the private sector in 2014.
- The proposed development is comprehensively designed, and is appropriate in scale and density to the existing neighbourhood context. The development builds on and supports the existing commercial centre at the corner of 152 Street and Highway No. 10, while being sensitive in interfacing with the existing residential neighbourhood to the north and west across Panorama Drive.
- Given the site's location close to the major intersection of 152 Street and Highway No. 10, the commercial site to the south, and the presence of transit along 152 Street, and the proposed park improvements, there is merit to the proposed OCP and NCP redesignations.


## PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS FOR OCP AMENDMENT

Pursuant to Section 879 of the Local Government Act, it was determined that it was not necessary to consult with any persons, organizations or authorities with respect to the proposed OCP amendment, other than those contacted as part of the pre-notification process.

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background

- The 6.1 -hectare ( 15.0 acre) subject site is located at the southwest corner of 152 Street and Panorama Drive. The site is sparsely treed and slopes from north to south.
- The site is currently designated "Commercial" in the OCP, "Institutional" in the South Newton NCP, and is zoned "Comprehensive Development Zone" (CD) (By-law No. 12282).
- When the commercial site at the northeast corner of Highway No. 10 ( 56 Avenue) and 152 Street was developed in the 1990s, it was expected that the subject site would develop into a hospital and university. The site was owned by the Province for many years, but was sold to the private sector in 2014.


## Proposal

- The applicant is proposing:
- the above described OCP and NCP amendments;
- to rezone the site from CD (By-law No. 12282) to:
* "Multiple Residential 30 Zone" (RM-30) for the townhouse portion;
- "Multiple Residential 70 Zone" (RM-70) for the apartment portion;
- "Community Commercial Zone" (C-8) for the commercial portion; and
- "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) for the park portion;
- a Development Permit to allow the development of 198 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a 3 -building commercial plaza;
- a Development Variance Permit to reduce setbacks for the proposed townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, to increase lot coverage for the apartment site, and to reduce parking requirements for the apartment site.
- The applicant proposes to subdivide the site into 4 lots: one commercial lot, one apartment site, one townhouse site, and one park parcel.
- The park site will be purchased by the City, but the applicant will provide improvements to the park, including completion of site grading, drainage, a pathway and landscaping as a community benefit in light of the proposed OCP amendment.
- Three buildings are proposed on the commercial portion of the site: one large grocery store with a smaller commercial unit at the west portion of the commercial site, and two smaller buildings at each corner of the site along 152 Street.
- A townhouse site is proposed with a total of 198 ground-oriented units. A 4-storey rental apartment building with 106 units is also proposed. Twenty-one ( 21 ) units ( $20 \%$ ) are proposed as studios or 1-bedroom units, and the remaining 84 units are proposed as 2 -bedroom or 2bedroom and den units.


## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

## Commercial Site

- The commercial lot is proposed at the southeast corner of the site along 152 Street, with a total area of 1.02 hectares ( 2.52 acres). The proposal is compatible with the Commercial designation in the OCP, and is considered an appropriate use for this part of South Newton. The site will interface with existing commercial to the south, the proposed apartment building to the west, 152 Street to the east and the new east-west road to the north.
- The proposal includes 3 separate buildings on the site, with a total of 3,147 square metres ( $33,875 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.), representing a floor area ratio (FAR) of o. 31 and lot coverage of $29 \%$, which are within the maximum o.8 FAR and $50 \%$ lot coverage permitted by the C-8 Zone. The proposed building height is 10 metres ( 33 ft .), which is within the maximum 12 metres ( 40 ft .) allowed in the C-8 Zone. Variances are required for some of the setbacks, and are discussed later in this report.
- Building 1 is the largest building proposed on the site, with a grocery store, and a commercial retail unit (CRU) on the northern portion of the building. Building 1 is proposed on the west portion of the commercial site. The building's main material is brick veneer (mountain red) at the base, particularly along the east and south elevations. For the north and west elevations, the main material is stucco (beige) at the base, as well as glazing (spandrel panels). For the second storey, a mix of aluminum and steel is proposed. In some areas, the height of the building has been increased to assist in screening the roof top equipment from the adjacent proposed apartment building.
- Building 2 is proposed at the northeast corner of the site, with 3 CRUs on the ground floor and 1 CRU on the upper floor. Due to the site's slope, the upper floor has ground floor access on the north elevation, and the 3 CRUs on the ground floor have ground floor access on the south elevation. The vertical columns are proposed in brick veneer (mountain red), with aluminum and glazing in between. The horizontal portions between glazing are proposed to be corrugated metal siding.
- Building 3 is a single-tenant building proposed at the southeast corner of the site. The building is proposed with a brick veneer (beige) base, and corrugated metal siding along the upper portions. Glazing and accent aluminum panels in blue are proposed along all four façades.


## Commercial Site: Vehicular Access \& Parking

- Vehicular access to the commercial site is proposed from the new east-west road, as well as an existing access on 152 Street, that is shared with the existing development to the south. The southern access has already been constructed, and access easements are already in place.
- A total of 126 parking stalls are proposed, exceeding the minimum 94 stalls required for the site. This includes 23 stalls for small car (within the maximum $25 \%$ ), and 4 disabled parking stalls.


## Commercial Site: Signage

- A free-standing sign is proposed on the east property line, along 152 Street. The proposed sign is 3.66 metres ( 12 ft .) in height and 3.0 metres ( 10 ft .) in width, and includes a brick veneer base with a steel panel above. The signage is proposed in individual channel letters, with space for 6 signs. The proposed free-standing sign is 2.0 metres ( 6.5 ft .) from the property line, and complies with the Sign By-law.
- Fascia signs are also proposed for the buildings, all in individual channel letters, as described below:
- Building 1:3 signs proposed
- East Elevation: one sign for the grocery store -8.0 metres ( 26 ft .) by 2.0 metres ( 6.5 ft .), and one sign for the CRU - 8.5 metres ( 28 ft .) by 1.0 metre ( 3 ft .).
- Northwest Elevation: one sign for the grocery store -7.3 metres ( 24 ft .) by 1.0 metre (3 ft.).
- Building 2: 4 signs proposed
* South Elevation: 3 signs for three different CRUs -4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) by 1.0 metre ( 3 ft .).
- North Elevation: i sign for the upper floor CRU -4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) by 1.0 metre ( 3 ft .).
- Building 3: 2 signs proposed
- West Elevation: 1 sign -8.2 metres ( 27 ft .) by 1.2 metres ( 4 ft .).
- East Elevation: 1 sign -8.2 metres ( 27 ft .) by 1.2 metres ( 4 ft .).


## Townhouse Site

- The townhouse lot is in the western and northern portion of the site, with a total area of 3.3 hectares ( 8.15 acres). This lot will be divided into three portions due to the proposed eastwest road and the park site, but will remain as one legal "hooked" lot. As such, it will be developed as one strata project.
- The proposed RM-3o Zone requires a redesignation of the property, both in the OCP, and the South Newton NCP. The proposal includes amending the OCP in this area from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential", and amending the South Newton NCP from "Institutional" to "Townhouses (25 upa max)". The proposed density is considered appropriate for this part of South Newton. The proposed townhouse site will interface with existing commercial to the south, the proposed apartment building to the east and south, 152 Street to the east, Panorama Drive to the north and the new east-west road to the south.
- The proposal includes 44 separate buildings on the site, with a total of 198 units and 26,062 square metres ( 280,538 sq. ft.) of floor area, representing a unit density of 24 units per acre (upa), an FAR of 0.79 and lot coverage of $34.5 \%$, which are within the maximum 0.90 FAR and $45 \%$ lot coverage permitted by the RM-30 Zone. The proposed building height is 11.7 metres ( 38 ft .), which is within the maximum 13 metres ( 42.5 ft .) permitted in the $\mathrm{RM}-30$ Zone. Variances are required for some of the setbacks, as discussed later in this report.
- The portion of the site south of the new east-west road contains 4 buildings, and a total of 19 units; the portion west of the park and north of the new east-west road contains 20 buildings with a total of 90 units, including the indoor amenity building and outdoor amenity spaces; and the portion east of the park contains 20 buildings, and a total of 89 units. The number of dwelling units within each individual building varies from 2 to 8 units. Of the 198 units, 30 are proposed as 2-bedroom units, 165 as 3 -bedroom units and 3 as 4 -bedroom units.
- Along Panorama Drive and the new east-west road, the street-fronting units contain active living space on the ground floor which will promote interaction with the public realm. These units have entries facing the street, a walkway connecting each residence to the street, and windows that provide casual surveillance of the street.
- High quality building materials are proposed. Building materials and colours include cement boards (beige, grey, black), metal cladding (charcoal), and wood trim boards (barnwood). The roofs are proposed to be clad in black asphalt shingles and will include gables and sloped portions.


## Townhouse Site: Access, Pedestrian Circulation and Parking

- Vehicular access to the townhouse site is proposed to be either from the new east-west road or the new road link to the existing commercial site to the south. No accesses are proposed on 152 Street or Panorama Drive.
- Street-fronting units along Panorama Drive and the new east-west road are proposed to have individual pedestrian access to the street. The applicant is proposing a series of paths throughout the site, providing good pedestrian connectivity throughout the site and also to the proposed park on the site.
- The majority ( $56.5 \%$ ) of the units (112) have a side-by-side garage parking arrangement, with 86 units ( $43.5 \%$ ) proposing a tandem parking arrangement, which complies with the maximum $50 \%$ tandem parking permitted in the Zoning By-law.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 396 resident parking spaces and 40 visitor parking spaces, which meets the parking requirements of the Zoning By-law.


## Townhouse Site: Amenity Space

- The Zoning By-law requires that 594 square metres ( 6,394 sq. ft .) of both indoor and outdoor amenity space be provided for the townhouse portion of the site, based on 3 square metres ( 32 sq. ft.) per dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing to provide a 191 square metres ( $2,058 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) indoor amenity building, which is less than the 594 square metres ( $6,394 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) required under the Zoning By-Law. The proposed amenity building is attached to Townhouse Building 11, utilizing the same building materials as the townhouse units, but with a proposed flat roof. It is a site feature with a functional space, adjacent to one of the outdoor amenity spaces. The amenity building is proposed to have a kitchen on the ground floor with an accessible washroom, and a larger space on the second floor, also with a kitchen. The mailbox area is proposed on the ground floor of the amenity building. In accordance with City Policy, the applicant must address the shortfall of indoor amenity space with cash-in-lieu compensation.
- The applicant is proposing to provide outdoor amenity space containing 612 square metres ( $6,591 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) in 4 separate areas on the townhouse site:
- The outdoor amenity space adjacent to the indoor amenity space is 184.6 square metres ( $1,987 \mathrm{sq}$. ft .) and is located between the indoor amenity space and Townhouse Building 10 , along one of the internal drive aisles. This space is proposed to have a grass/play area, two picnic tables and landscaping.
- A 889.5 -square metre ( 2,040 sq. ft.) outdoor amenity area is proposed along Panorama Drive, between Townhouse Buildings 15 and 18. This space has a connection to Panorama Drive, and two rain gardens and two benches are proposed.
- A 99.7 square metres ( $1,073 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) outdoor amenity area is proposed between Townhouse Buildings 7 and 8, adjacent to the proposed park. This space features a grass/play area with a rain garden and two benches.
- A 138.5 square metres ( $1,490 \mathrm{sq}$. ft .) outdoor amenity area is proposed south of Townhouse Building 33, adjacent to the proposed park. This space features a proposed community garden space containing a gardening shed, with a green roof, and an outdoor seating area.
- The total 612 square metres ( $6,591 \mathrm{sq}$. ft .) of proposed outdoor amenity space exceeds the Zoning By-law minimum requirements of 594 square metres ( $6,394 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.).


## Townhouse Site: Landscaping

- Landscaping is proposed throughout the townhouse site. The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, ground cover and open lawn areas. The proposed retaining walls are proposed to be architectural poured in placed concrete walls with reveal line patterns or split-face architectural modular block walls in less visible areas.
- A 1.0 metre ( 3.5 feet) high wood screen fence is proposed at various locations along the perimeter of the townhouse site. Decorative entry features are proposed at various pedestrian entries to the site. Front entry posts are proposed at individual unit accesses to adjacent sidewalks. Project signage is proposed at various locations to identify the project.
- Decorative paving is proposed at the vehicular entrances and pervious pavers are proposed for the visitor parking spaces. No garbage enclosure is proposed as garbage and recycling will be picked up at each unit.

Apartment Site

- The apartment lot is in the south portion of the site, with a total area of 0.66 hectares (1.64 acres).
- The proposed RM-7o Zone requires a redesignation of the property, both in the OCP, and the South Newton NCP. The proposal includes amending the OCP in this area from "Commercial" to "Multiple Residential", and amending the South Newton NCP from "Institutional" to "Apartment ( 65 upa max.)". The proposed density is considered appropriate for this part of South Newton. The apartment site will interface with the existing commercial site to the south, proposed townhouses and a new road to the west, proposed new commercial buildings to the east, and proposed townhouses and the new east-west road to the north.
- The proposal is for a 4 -storey building with a total of 106 rental units and 9,915 square metres ( $106,724 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of floor area, representing an FAR of 1.49 , which is within the maximum 1.5 FAR permitted in the RM-7o Zone. The proposed building height is 14.9 metres ( 49 ft .), which is within the maximum 50 metres ( 164 ft .) of the RM-70 Zone. Variances are required for some of the setbacks, lot coverage and parking, and are discussed later in this report.
- The building is proposed as an articulated building with street presence on the new east-west road, and larger setbacks along the back portion of the building, where the building interfaces with the existing commercial site to the south.
- Of the 106 units, 50 are proposed as studios or 1 -bedroom units, and 56 are proposed as z-bedroom units. As the applicant is proposing rental units, an office area is proposed on the ground floor.
- High quality building materials are proposed. Building materials and colours include cement boards (beige, grey, black), metal cladding (charcoal), and wood trim boards (barnwood). The roof is proposed to be clad in black asphalt shingles and will include gables and sloped roofs.
- The main pedestrian access is provided from the new east-west road, with individual access provided to the ground floor units.


## Apartment Site: Access, Pedestrian Circulation and Parking

- All of the parking for the apartment is proposed to be provided underground. Vehicular access to the underground parkade is proposed at the east portion of the proposed apartment lot, adjacent to the proposed commercial site. Two gates are proposed to the parkade: the first gate provides access to the visitor parking area, and the second gate provides access to the residential parking area.
- Pedestrian connections to the sidewalk are proposed for most of the street-fronting ground units, and a pathway is proposed from the new north-south road on the western side of the site to allow access to the outdoor amenity area and the lobby area.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 139 resident parking spaces and 21 visitor parking spaces. The proposal complies with the minimum requirement for parking spaces for visitor parking, but a variance is required for the resident parking spaces from 151 stalls to 139 stalls. The variance is discussed later in this report.


## Apartment Site: Amenity Space

- The Zoning By-law requires 318 square metres ( 3,423 sq. ft.) of both indoor and outdoor amenity space be provided for this project, based on 3 square metres ( 32 sq . ft.) per dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing 344 square metres ( $3,707 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of indoor amenity space, which meets the requirements in the Zoning By-Law. Each floor has a 44 -square metre ( $475 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) amenity space, and the ground floor has an additional 168 square metres ( $1,807 \mathrm{sq}$. ft .) of indoor amenity space provided. The indoor amenity spaces are as follows:
- Ground floor: three spaces with a total area of 212 square metres ( $2,282 \mathrm{sq}$. ft .)
- Meeting room: 44 square metres ( 475 sq. ft.)
- Conference room: 85 square metres ( 914 sq. ft.)
- Fitness room: 83 square metres ( 893 sq. ft.)
- The conference room and fitness room are side-by-side, and can be combined through an operable wall to a larger 168 square-metre ( $1,807 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) space;
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor: 44 square-metre ( 475 sq . ft.) games amenity;
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ floor: 44 square-metre ( 475 sq. ft.) crafts amenity; and
- $4^{\text {th }}$ floor: 44 square-metre ( 475 sq. ft.) library amenity.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 1,032 square metres ( $11,109 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) of outdoor amenity space, connected with the proposed indoor amenity area, located to the south of the building. Over half of the space is proposed as a common lawn, with the remainder proposed to contain an eating area with trellis and built-in barbeque, a sandbox, and raised garden beds. The total 1,032 square metres ( $11,109 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of outdoor amenity spaces provided exceeds the Zoning Bylaw minimum requirements of 318 square metres ( $3,423 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.).


## Apartment Site: Landscaping

- Landscaping is proposed throughout the apartment site. The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, ground cover and open lawn areas.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

The commercial and apartment portions of the project were referred to the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) on October 22, 2015 (Appendix VI). The ADP comments and suggestions have been satisfactorily addressed.

## TREES

- Laura Ralph, ISA Certified Arborist of BC Plant Health Care Inc. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:


- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 59 protected trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Forty (40) existing trees, approximately $40 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 158 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 341 replacement trees, exceeding City requirements.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Crimson Sentry Maple, Katsura, Ace of Hearts Redbud, Skyline Honeylocust, Pin Oak, Golden Deodar Cedar, Dawn Redwood, and Vandewolfs Pyramid Limber Pine.
- In summary, a total of 341 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on November 04, 2015, and a development proposal sign was installed on November 10, 2015. Staff received the following correspondence:

- 34 emails expressing concerns with the proposal;
- 5 phone calls from area residents expressing concern about the proposal;
- 3 emails and 1 phone caller with general questions about the proposal and no concerns expressed;
- Ongoing correspondence with the Panorama Neighbourhood Association (PNA) via email and also meetings; and
- an on-line petition organized by the PNA at https://www.change.org with 246 people "signing" a petition against the proposal. No addresses or signatures are provided so it is not possible to verify all of the respondents.

The main concerns identified by area residents include:

- overcrowding in local schools - many are concerned that the proposed development will increase this issue;
- parking - concerns the proposal will add to parking congestion in the area. Many residents pointed out that they already experience parking shortages, exacerbated by the nearby YMCA;
- traffic - concerns that adding more people to the area will increase traffic.

The applicant held a Public Information (PIM) meeting on September 16, 2015 at Cambridge Elementary School from 7 pm to $8: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. A total of 19 residents signed in, representing 18 households, of which 9 submitted comment sheets. The concerns identified by residents included overcrowding at local schools, traffic, parking and concerns about the proposed rental tenure of the apartment building.

On December 4, 2015 staff met with the developer and 2 representatives from the PNA. The PNA identified that their number one concern was with school overcrowding. They felt the proposed townhouses would attract a demographic with school-age children which would add to the overcrowding problem. The PNA indicated no concern with the proposed commercial or apartment portions of the site.

In terms of the main issues identified by area residents, the following can be noted:

- overcrowding in local schools - the School Board is aware of this issue.
- parking - the applicant is providing the minimum required number of parking stalls on the townhouse and commercial portions of the site, as per the Zoning bylaw. A twelve (12) stall reduction out of 154 required stalls ( $8 \%$ reduction) is proposed on the apartment portion, which is considered acceptable by staff based on the site's proximity to transit on 152 Street. The applicant is also providing additional on-street parking spaces on Panorama Drive and the new east-west road propose through the site. Based on a neighbourhood parking study conducted as part of the application, the number of onstreet parking spaces provided exceeds the anticipated additional on-street parking demand that would be generated by the proposed development.
- traffic - The applicant was required to conduct a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to assess the impacts of the anticipated site-generated traffic from the proposed development. Based on the TIA, the overall impact of the site-generated traffic on the operations of the existing transportation infrastructure is anticipated to be modest. The proposed development assists in achieving a finer grained road network to distribute traffic, with the applicant providing a dedication for a new east-west road, connecting 152 Street to Panorama Drive.
- rental - The proposed apartment building is of a high quality in terms of design and materials. The proposed rental tenure and unit type increases the housing diversity in the area. The applicant advises that the units will be targeted to residents aged $55+$.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on October 17, 2014. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Site Context \& Location (A1-A2) | - The site is within the South Newton NCP area. |
| 2. Density \& Diversity $\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{7}\right)$ | - The proposal includes a 3-building commercial development, 198 townhouses and 106 rental apartments. Community garden beds are proposed on the townhouse and apartment sites. |
| 3. Ecology \& Stewardship (C1-C4) | - The applicant is proposing to utilize absorbent soils greater than 300 mm ( 1 ft .) in depth, rain gardens, cisterns and dry swales. <br> - Recycling pick-up is available. |
| 4. Sustainable Transport <br> \& Mobility ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{2}$ ) | - There is access to transit on 152 Street. <br> - Secure bicycle storage is provided for the apartment building for 129 bicycles. <br> - On-site walkways are provided. |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety (Ei-E3) | - The proposal has townhouse and apartment units facing the roads and the park, with windows facing public areas. |


| Sustainability Criteria |  | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6. Green Certification <br> $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}\right)$ | $\bullet \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| $7 .$ <br> Awareness $\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}-\mathrm{G}_{4}\right)$ | $\bullet \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

The project was reviewed by the ADP at its meeting of October 22, 2015 (minutes, Appendix VI).
The applicant has resolved the majority of the issues identified by the Panel to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department.

## BY-LAW VARIANCE AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variance:

- To reduce the minimum setback of the $\mathrm{C}-8$ Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
- 5.0 metres ( 16.5 ft .) for the east property line (along 152 Street);
- 4.25 metres ( 14 ft .) for the west property line; and
- 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for the north property line (along the new east-west road).


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed variances along 152 Street and the new east-west road bring the buildings closer to the street which animates the public realm and encourages pedestrian activity along the street frontages.
- The applicant is proposing heavy planting along the westerly property line to provide screening for proposed Building 1.


## (b) Requested Variance:

- To reduce the minimum setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
- 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new northsouth road;
- 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
- 3.0 ( 10 ft .) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel; and
- 4.4 metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed setbacks for the townhouse site will bring the townhouse units closer to the street and the park parcel which allows the units to better engage the street and park, which enlivens the public realm, and also provides surveillance over the public realm.
- The proposed setbacks allow for sufficient landscaping that helps delineate the private and public realms, while still allowing the townhouse buildings to address the public realm.
(c) Requested Variance:
- To reduce the minimum setback of the RM-70 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the west, south and north setbacks.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed setback along the street frontages will bring the apartment units to better engage the street, which enlivens the public realm, and also provides surveillance over the public realm.
- The proposed relaxation for the south setback (adjacent to the existing commercial site to the south) is only for a corner of the building. The rest of the building has a substantial setback on the south side, and also a large outdoor amenity area which buffers the building from the neighbouring commercial site.
(d) Requested Variance:
- To increase the maximum lot coverage of the RM-70 Zone from $33 \%$ to $40 \%$.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed increase in lot coverage assists in reducing the building height to a 4 -storey height, which is a more appropriate massing for the neighbourhood.
- Although the lot coverage is proposed to be increased, it is noted that the applicant is proposing a very large outdoor amenity space, and all parking for the apartment is being provided underground.
(e) Requested Variance:
- To reduce the minimum number of on-site resident parking spaces for the apartment site from 151 parking stalls to 139 parking stalls.

Staff Comments:

- The apartment building is proposed to be a rental building, which typically have lower rates of car ownership, according to the 2012 Metro Vancouver Apartment Parking Study, which demonstrated that rental apartment buildings did not require the same rate of parking as owner-occupied apartment buildings.
- The applicant is also providing additional on-street parking spaces on Panorama Drive and the new east-west road propose through the site. Based on a neighbourhood parking study conducted as part of the application, the number of on-street parking spaces provided exceeds the anticipated additional on-street parking demand that would be generated by the proposed development.
- The proposed 12 stall reduction out of the required 154 stalls ( $8 \%$ reduction) is considered acceptable by staff based on the site's proximity to transit on 152 Street and the rental tenure proposed for the building.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Proposed Site Plan, Building Elevations and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI. ADP Comments and Applicant's Response
Appendix VII. NCP Redesignation Map
Appendix VIII. OCP Redesignation Map
Appendix IX. Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-oo


## KB/dk

## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Jess Dhillon

Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd.
Address: $\quad 31324$ Peardonville Road, Unit 102
Abbotsford, BC V2T 6K8

Tel:
$604-852-4912$ - Work
$604-852-4912$ - Fax
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Drive
(b) Civic Address: 5750 Panorama Dr

Owner: $\quad$ Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd
PID: 023-208-732
Lot 13 Section 10 Township 2 Plan LMP 24916 NWD
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce a By-law to amend the Official Community Plan to redesignate a portion of the property.
(b) Introduce a By-law to rezone the property.
(c) Application is under the jurisdiction of MOTI.

MOTI File No. 2014-05984
(d) Proceed with Public Notification for Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0286-00 and bring the Development Variance Permit forward for an indication of support by Council. If supported, the Development Variance Permit will be brought forward for issuance and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with the final adoption of the associated Rezoning By-law.
(e) Remove Notice of Development Permit No. 6792-0609-00 from title (BJ317062).

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET - COMMERCIAL SITE

Proposed Zoning: C-8

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  |  |
| Road Widening area |  |  |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Commercial Site Net Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10,191 sq.m. } \\ & (2.52 \text { acres) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 50\% | 29\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  |  |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| Front (152 St) | 7.5 m | 5.0m |
| Rear (east) | 7.5 m | 4.25 m |
| Side \#1 (north) | 7.5 m | 4.0 m |
| Side \#2 (south) | 7.5 m | 7.5 m |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 12 m | 10 m |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  |  |
| Three Bedroom + |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  | 3,147 sq.m. |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 3,147 sq.m. |

*If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / <br> Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) |  |  |
| FAR (gross) |  | 0.31 |
| FAR (net) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor |  |  |
| Outdoor |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor + I Bedroom |  |  |
| 2-Bed |  |  |
| 3-Bed |  |  |
| Residential Visitors |  |  |
| Institutional |  | 126 |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of |  |  |
| Total Number of Units |  |  |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces <br> width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET - TOWNHOUSE SITE

Proposed Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  |  |
| Road Widening area |  |  |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Townhouse Site Net Total |  | $\begin{gathered} 32,990 \text { sq.m. } \\ \text { (8.15 acres) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 45\% | 35\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  |  |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS (in metres) |  |  |
| All sides | 7.5m | See DVP section in report |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 13 m | 11.7 m |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  |  |
| Three Bedroom + |  |  |
| Total |  | 198 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 26,062 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 26,062 sq.m. |

* If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | 75 uph/30 upa | $59 \mathrm{uph} / 24 \mathrm{upa}$ |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 0.90 | 0.79 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 594 sq.m. | 191 sq.m. |
| Outdoor | 594 sq.m. | 612 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential | 396 | 396 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Visitors | 40 | 40 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 436 | 436 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  | 96 units/49\% |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET - APARTMENT SITE

Proposed Zoning: RM-7o

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  |  |
| Road Widening area |  |  |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Apartment Site Net Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6,633 sq.m. } \\ & \text { (1.64 acres) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 33\% | 40\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  |  |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS (in metres) |  |  |
| Front (west) | 7.5 m | 4.5 m |
| Rear (east) | 7.5 m | 7.5 m |
| Side \#1 (north) | 7.5 m | 4.5 m |
| Side \#2 (south) | 7.5 m | 4.5 m |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 5om | 14.9 m |
| Accessory |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Studio/One Bed |  | 50 |
| Two Bedroom |  | 56 |
| Three Bedroom + |  |  |
| Total |  | 106 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential (not including amenity area) |  | 9,915 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 9,915 sq.m. |

[^6]| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) |  | 161 uph/65 upa |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 1.50 | 1.49 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 318 sq.m. | 344 sq.m. |
| Outdoor | 318 sq.m. | 1,032 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Studio +1 Bedroom | $50 \mathrm{X} 1.3=65$ | $50 \times 1.1=55$ |
| 2-Bed | 56 X $1.5=84$ | $56 \times 1.5=84$ |
| 3-Bed |  |  |
| Total Resident | 149 | 139 |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Visitors | 21 | 21 |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 170 | 160 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls | 2 | 3 |
| Number of small cars |  |  |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  |  |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  |  |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development <br> - South Surrey Division <br> Planning and Development Department

FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: March 2, 2016 PROJECT FILE: 7814-0286-00

## RE: Engineering Requirements (Commercial/Industrial) Location: 5750 Panorama Drive

OCP AMENDMENT/NCP AMENDMENT
There are no engineering requirements relative to the OCP Amendment/NCP Amendment.

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate on 152 Street 1.5 m for ultimate 30.0 m wide Arterial Road standard.
- Dedicate on Panorama drive 2.3 m for ultimate 28.0 m wide Arterial Road standard.
- Dedicate 20.0 m on 58 Avenue for ultimate 20.0 m wide Local Road standard.
- Dedicate 12.0 m for the 12.0 m wide Green Lane.
- Dedicate required corner cuts at all intersections.
- Register 0.5 m SRW along all frontages for maintenance of City infrastructure.


## Works and Services

- Construct northbound left turn bay on 152 Street at 58 Avenue intersection, modify existing landscaping and the existing southbound left turn lane at 56A Ave if required.
- Construct south portion of Panorama Drive to modified Arterial Road standard.
- Provide $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ funding for construction of a signal at Panorama Drive and Highway 10.
- Construct 58 Avenue to Local Road standard.
- Construct the 12.0 m wide lane to "urban" lane standard including sidewalk.
- Construct storm sewers, sanitary sewers and water mains to service the development.
- Provide onsite stormwater management features.
- Develop the Park parcel.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.
DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT
There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit/ Development Variance Permit.


Rémi Dubé, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
IKı

NOTE: Detailed Land Development Engineering Review available on file

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Both Sullivan Elementary and Sullivan Heights Secondary are overcapacity. A number of catchment changes are being implemented for September 2016 to help manage demand between South Newton elementary schools. The Sullivan Heights Secondary site cannot accommodate additional portables and from September 2016 onwards any new in-catchment registrants that are unable to be accommodated at Sullivan Heights Secondary will be accommodated at Frank Hurt Secondary. The District's 5-Year Capital Plan includes new space requests for both the elementary and secondary school.

In recent years, amendments to the South Newton NCP have resulted in a larger number of residential units and higher enrolment growth than was originally envisioned when the NCP was first adopted in 1999. The Surrey School District expresses its concern about development consistently occurring at densities higher than outlined in the NCP given the flow on implications to educational facility planning. Given the existing and projected enrolment pressures in South Newton, the school district does not support development occurring at a higher density than outlined in approved NCPs.
*Functional Capacity at secondary schools is based on space utilization estimate of 27 students per instructional space. The number of instructional spaces is estimated by dividing nominal facility capacity (Ministry capacity) by 25.

## Tree Preservation Summary

## Surrey Project No:

Address: 1401064 Ave, Surrey
Registered Arborist: Laura Ralph, PN6420A - BC Plant Health Care Inc.

| OnSterirees | Number offrees |
| :---: | :---: |
| (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas). Includes 6 tree which failed during Aug/Nov 2015 storms. | 143 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed (includes 3 boulevard trees, does not include 6 trees which failed during Aug/Nov 2015 storms) | 102 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained (includes $\mathbf{3 5}$ boulevard trees) (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 35 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $40 \quad X$ one $(1)=40$ $\qquad$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ 59 $x$ two (2) $=118$ | 158 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 341 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] |  |


| Off Site Trees | Numberoftrees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Boulevard Trees to be Removed |  |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $\qquad$ $X$ one (1) $=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio (boulevard) $\qquad$ $X$ two (2) $=0$ | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed |  |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |

Summary, report and plan prepared and submitted by:

(Signature of Arborist)

December 2, 2015
Date

## Advisory Design Panel Minutes

## Appendix VI

-ny 1 an<br>13450-104 Avenue<br>Surrey, B.C.<br>ThURSDAY, October 22, 2015<br>Time: 4:00 pm

Present:
Chair - L Mickelson
M. Higgs
E. Mashing
C. Taylor
M. Vance

## Guests:

T. Paul, Pinora Enterprises Ltd.
S. Paul, Pinora Enterprises Ltd.
L. Barnett, Barnett Dembek Architects
M. Mitchell, Mz Landscape

Architecture
J. Redekop, Redekop (Panorama Homes Ltd.)
R.Ciccozzi, Ciccozzi Architecture Inc. M. van der Zalm, van der Zalm + assoc. E. Poxleitner, Keystone Architecture \& Planning

## Staff Present:

M. Rondeau, Acting City Architect
H. Ahking, Senior Planner
L. Moraes, Planner
N. Chow, Urban Design Planner
L. Luaifoa, Administrative Assistant

## B. NEW SUBMISSIONS

2. 4:45 PM

File No.:
New or Resubmit:
Last Submission Date:
Description:
Address:
Developer:
Architect:
Landscape Architect:
Planner:
Urban Design.Planner:
$7914-0286-00$
New
N/A
Commercial buildings
5750 Panorama Drive; South Newton
James Redekop - Redekop (Panorama Homes Ltd.)
Rob Ciccozzi - Ciccozzi Architecture Inc.
Mark van der Zalm - van der Zalm + associates
Keith Broersma
Mary Beth Rondeau/Henry Ahking

The Acting City Architect presented an overview of the project:

- North of the subject site, including the subject site, was planned to be a hospital or university in the NCP.
- A road connection was achieved all the way through the site which is a desirable achievement.
- The subject site is for retail use and adjacent to the next ADP agenda item is a 4-storey apartment building.
- Land use and density for this project is supportable by staff.
- Staff are asking for advice on the signage. There are 5 proposed signs and only 2 are permitted. A variance will be sought. The free-standing sign has more individual tenant signs with add visual clutter and the yellow background colour in the signage of the grocery store would be more muted.
d:lprojectsi2015|15-108 - panorama masterplan for entire development, panorama dr. surrey (redekop) \correspondence \& data \authorities having jurisdiction\15-12-23-dp re-application\adp minutes - oct22-15-7914-0286-00 (with keystone response).docx

The Project Architect presented an overview of the site plan, building plans, elevations, cross sections, and streetscapes and highlighted the following:

- The proposal is for 3 buildings - Building 1 includes a grocery store with a mezzanine and a smaller retail unit. Building 2 is a 2 -level unit with a large open deck and Building 3 is intended for a bank.
- A ramp was incorporated on the site for vehicular access. An existing driveway to the south commercial site straddles the property line and the truck access goes to loading area of the grocery store. A staircase integrates with the ramp system.
- The current location of the garbage enclosure requested by staff is not ideal and would prefer it be relocated.
- The general character is simple boxes with a series of columns. Brick material was introduced on the grocery store along the entrance with glass canopy. To maintain a rhythm, stucco was introduced by the columns. The entry is highlighted with higher quality material. Some areas have glass and some have a corrugated metal.

The Landscape Architect reviewed the landscape plans and highlighted the following:

- Significant tree planting is planned for the site. One challenge is the parking lot and to achieve some mature canopy height, a structural soil base is proposed to enable trees to grow to their potential.
- A significant planted buffer will be in place between grocery store and proposed apartment building.
- Bike requirements are exceeded as well as parking spaces.
- Decorative paving is proposed with movable planters, benches and site furnishings to animate space in front of CRU's.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW

## Commercial buildings

File No. 7914-0286-oo
It was
Moved by M. Higgs
Seconded by M. Vance
That the Advisory Design Panel (ADP)
recommends A - that the applicant address the following issues to the satisfaction of the Planning \& Development Department.

Carried
 jurisdiction\15-12-23-dp re-application\adp minutes - oct22-15-7914-0286-oo (with keystone response).docx

## STATEMENT OF REVIEW COMMENTS

The Panel considered the project quite successful especially given the complicated and challenging site.

## Site

- Site grades well resolved given the challenges.
- Vehicular and pedestrian flow through and in-between developments are well thought out.
- The gateway to the site is not well marked and the access of 152 Street looks straight onto loading dock. Consider a lantern or beacon to mark the entrances.


## Building Form and Character

- Generally the form and massing are good.
- Consider the relationship of Building 1 and the adjacent CRU 2 . If they must be conjoined and expressed separately then suggest increasing the reveal.
- East façade of Building 1 should be more animated i.e. more glass and less metal cladding. Fabric canopies appear to be a downgrade.
- The use of galvalume is harsh and heavy on the top of the building. Transparency may be more successful.
- Good animated use of patio on Building 2 on the corner.
- Signage is excessive and should be more consistent with the City of Surrey guidelines. Pilon sign is located too close to bank CRU.
- Consider light fixtures be made more contemporary for consistency with building aesthetic.
- Consider screening for rooftop units and ensure it is suitable and supports the building palette.


## Landscaping

- Recommend consideration of lighting for the site along the ramps and stairs for pedestrians. Need more lighting information.
- Landscaping is minimal. Suggest fewer stalls and more landscaping in parking area.
- Landscaping buffers and the planting palette is ok.


## Accessibility

- Recommend 2 additional wheelchair spaces located close to the grocery stores.
- Recommend providing power doors at all main entrances.
- Recommend providing wheelchair and gender neutral accessible washrooms.
- Recommend providing let downs for wheelchairs.
- Recommend ramp to CRU in Building 2.


## Sustainability

- Consider storm water retention and reuse on site for irrigation.


## Panorama City comment response

All response are in red

## General

1) The vehicular interface with the property to the south still hasn't been resolved. That "island" on the neighboring property is still right in the middle of traffic movements. Please work with the neighbor to the south to resolve. Neighbor to the South's contact information is: INVESTORS GROUP TRUST CO LTD: C/O BENTALL KENNEDY (CANADA) L ATTN: CATHERINE YANG, PROPERTY 305-935 MARINE DR NORTH VANCOUVER BC V7P 1S3

Neighboring property has agreed for existing curb island to be removed and in cooperation to redesigning drive aisle for efficient traffic flow. See attached letter.
2) Fascia signage - Please provide more signage details (materials, dimensions, etc.) as per my Oct. 1 email. Fascia signage should be channel letters. No yellow backing should be used (ie. grocery store). The grocery store has too many signs. It is allowed 2 signs, not 5 signs.

Grocery store signs have been changed to white and reduced to two signs along the front (east elevation) and on the diagonal wall flanking the proposed road (north elevation).
3) Free-standing sign - In keeping with the recently approved signage on the commercial site across 152 Street, the free-standing sign should be no more than 3.6 m high, as seen in the below Street view screenshot. The sign needs to be $2 m$ from property line - please label on site plan.

Free standing sign has been reduced in height to 3.6 m and relocated away from building \#3 and towards the middle of the retaining wall planters. A more detailed drawing is also provided to show materials/finishes. (Refer to site plan)
4) Please clarify if a restaurant is proposed for CRU\#5, as mentioned at the ADP meeting. Let's design for this now (ie. patio, parking, venting, etc).

A restaurant will not be proposed in the space of CRU\#5 on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor of building \#2.
5) Clarify specialty paving on the onsite sidewalks.

Refer to landscape drawings.
6) Show light standard fixture manufacturers information including height and finishes.

Light standard fixture will have an overall height of $28^{\prime}(8.5 \mathrm{~m}) ; 25^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$. ( 7.6 m ) pole mounted to a $3^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$. Concrete base. Light standard manufactured by Cree.
7) Show gas meters located away from street frontages.

Gas meters have been located on site plan as requested; refer to site plan for locations
8) Reconsider corrugated metal fascia with a metal panel.

Corrugated metal fascia has been replaced with spandrel panels at storefront locations on the east elevation of building \#1. The rest of the corrugated fascia will remain at other locations as part of the architectural character.
9) Provide detailed site grading and show any retaining walls.

Refer to A1.3 and A1.4 for detailed grading.
10) Please show on site dimensions for pedestrian walkways, etc.

Additional dimensions have been added at walkways/paths
11) Show let downs for sidewalks.

Let downs have been shown. Refer to site plan A1.0
12) Confirm that canopies have a minimum underside 2.7 m clearance.

Canopies vary in height per building in relation with building/floor/window head heights and character. To meet minimum underside of canopies, it will be raised higher than current height and the design will be altered. Please refer to each building elevation for underside of canopy heights.
13) Show floor plans for each building.

Floor plans have been drawn for each building, refer to drawing set.
14) Planters along the driveway ramp should show a curb only with guard rails incorporated where necessary and provide details. Planting should slope down at maximum 1:3 to reduce retaining wall heights.

Planters at driveway ramp have been reduced to 6 inch curbs up from ramp and follows the drive way slope. $3^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ Guard rails are provided where necessary by code. (Refer to building \#2 west elevation)
15) Improve material on retaining walls along $152^{n d}$ ie not allan block. Provide detail of all retaining walls on site. Allan blocks are not used, all poured concrete walls.
16) Provide a roof plan and show roof mechanical screening for both visual and acoustic to adjacent residential. Show any restaurant exhaust located away from residential interfaces.

A study has been done to see where mechanical unit screening is required. In response we have screened all units on top of building \#1 grocery store roof from adjacent apartment complex to the west. CRU \#2 in building \#1 is further away and not in view. (Refer to A2.2 and site sections A2.9) In addition, screening is provided to 3 sides of mechanical units on top of building \#2.
17) Provide a finer grain of detailing of ground level interfaces to add interest and character such as reveals in the brick columns and masonry bases.

Refer to sheet A2.2 for detail of pilaster.
18) Please provide detail of soil cells for the trees planted in the "diamonds" in the parking lot. Please also include the cost of the soil cells in the landscaping cost estimate.

Landscape has provided details on planter cells. Refer to Landscape drawings.

## Building 1

19) Extend the use of brick across the east façade columns and wrapping around where visible to the new road and wrap above the loading bay.

Brick façade usage have been revised, please refer to building \#1 elevations on A2.3.
20) Show details of screen at loading bay with durable high quality material and detailing. Height can be to match the bottom of the brick on columns.

Refer to building \#1 south elevation on A2.3 for screen elevation and finishes. Screen at loading is $10^{\prime}(3 \mathrm{~m})$ high.
21) Clarify use of wider sidewalk area along the grocery store frontage.

Wider sidewalk allows for pedestrians and carts to pass side by side and also bike racks along the front. (Refer to site plan for bike rack locations)
22) Extend glass in a spandrel panel along grocery down to 0.6 m of grade. Incorporate trees along grocery store parking including soil cells. Refer to elevations on A2.3 for spandrel panel locations on East elevations. Additional planter cells will not be provided along grocery store.
23) Dot in any outdoor display along frontage. Cart storage along frontage not supported.

No outdoor displays along frontage of grocery store. Cart storage located in parking area, will not be along the front of building.
24) Confirm exiting requirements and show exit routes.

Exit door provided at back of loading bay on the south side, front of building by demising wall, and mezzanine level. (Refer to plans and elevations of building \#1)
25) Show the exit sidewalk along the west extending south and routing along the south side of the building, integrating with the loading exit stair.

We propose the exit sidewalk along the west side of the building to extend north towards the street, this allows for a safe and at grade pathway. If we extend to the south, stairs have to be provided which will lead to an unsafe passage onto the adjacent property's drive aisle.
26) This sidewalk should be secured at the south end of the building. The remainder of this triangle should have low planting along the interface with the adjacent service driveway then native conifers toward the building setback area. Refer to landscape drawings.
27) Show adjacent proposed apartment building parkade deck and integrate the interface including correct grades. Avoid retaining walls in this area. Refer to site section on A2.9. Retaining wall is required and cant $b$ avoided as the adjacent site finished grades are higher.
28) Extend the weather protection canopy along the east façade similar to the main entrance canopy. Weather protection is provided, canopy at entry and fabric awnings at storefront. Refer to elevations on A2.3.

## Building 2

29) Resolve grades along Panorama Drive setback area so that any steps, ramps or raised planters are avoided. Provide a detailed plan with grades. Provide a continuous row of inside trees along the street frontage and continuous weather protection on the building frontage.

Refer to building \#2 plans and elevations for detailed grading plan. There are no ramps provided to access CRU\#5. Canopies are provided as on elevations.

## Building 3

30) Label the maximum height of vertical extension to be 0.6 m above show consistently. Completed, refer to elevations on A2.8.
31) Exit door and associated walkway along $152^{\text {nd }}$ to be relocated away from the east and south frontage. Weather protection can be removed.

For exit door relocated to the south of building \#3, refer to plans and elevations.
32) South and east elevation to be improved with spandrel glass.

Completed/updated. Refer to elevations on A2.8.
33) Clarify blue panels on the north and south elevation. These could be deleted.

Blue metal panels are part of future tenant's design.

## Response to ADP Minutes

## Building Form and Character

- Generally the form and massing are good.
- Consider the relationship of Building 1 and the adjacent CRU 2. If they must be conjoined and expressed separately then suggest increasing the reveal.
- East façade of Building 1 should be more animated i.e. more glass and less metal cladding.

Fabric canopies appear to be a downgrade.
Spandrel panels used in replace of metal cladding. (See elevations)
Fabric canopies to remain

- The use of galvalume is harsh and heavy on the top of the building. Transparency may be more successful.

Use of galvalume is part of building character.

- Good animated use of patio on Building 2 on the corner.
- Signage is excessive and should be more consistent with the City of Surrey guidelines. Pilon sign is located too close to bank CRU.

Pylon sign has been relocated to middle of site along $152^{\text {nd }}$ street. Size has been reduced as part of city guidelines. (See A1.0)

- Consider light fixtures be made more contemporary for consistency with building aesthetic. Light fixtures to remain.
- Consider screening for rooftop units and ensure it is suitable and supports the building palette.

Screening of rooftop units provided. (See plans and sections)

## Accessibility

-Recommend 2 additional wheelchair spaces located close to the grocery stores.
1 additional disability space is provided at grocery store.
-Recommend providing power doors at all main entrances.
Power doors provided.
-Recommend providing wheelchair and gender neutral accessible washrooms.
Washroom rough-ins provided. Future tenant to determine use of washroom.
-Recommend providing let downs for wheelchairs.
Let downs provided. (see site plan A1.0)
-Recommend ramp to CRU in Building 2.
Use of ramp at Northwest corner will connect to CRU at building 2 .

## Advisory Design Panel Minutes

2E - Community Room B City Hall 13450-104 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
Thursday, October 22, 2015
Time: 4:00 pm

Present:
Chair - L Mickelson
M. Higgs
E. Mashing
C. Taylor
M. Vance

## Guests:

T. Paul, Pinora Enterprises Ltd.
S. Paul, Pinora Enterprises Ltd.
L. Barnett, Barnett Dembek Architects
M. Mitchell, M2 Landscape

Architecture
J. Redekop, Redekop (Panorama Homes Ltd.)
R.Ciccozzi, Ciccozzi Architecture Inc. M. van der Zalm, van der Zalm + assoc. E. Poxleitner, Keystone Architecture \& Planning

## Staff Present:

M. Rondeau, Acting City Architect
H. Ahking, Senior Planner
L. Moraes, Planner
N. Chow, Urban Design Planner
L. Luaifoa, Administrative Assistant

## B. NEW SUBMISSIONS

3. $5: 45 \mathrm{PM}$

File No.: 7914-0286-00
New or Resubmit: New
Last Submission Date: N/A
Description:
Address:
4-storey apartment building
5750 Panorama Drive; South Newton
Developer:
James Redekop - Redekop (Panorama Homes Ltd.)
Architect:
Landscape Architect:
Planner:
Urban Design Planner:
Eric Poxleitner - Keystone Architecture \& Planning
Mark van der Zalm - van der Zalm + associates
Keith Broersma
Mary Beth Rondeau/Henry Ahking

The Acting City Architect presented an overview of the project and highlighted the following:

- The use, form and density are supported. Staff are generally satisfied with the proposal and do not have any specific issues.
- The parking is slightly below requirements however, staff supports the reduction due to the site being located near two major arterial roads and the availability of transit.

[^7] jurisdiction\15-12-23-dp re-application\adp minutes - oct22-15-7914-0286-oo (with keystone response).docx

The Project Architect presented an overview of the site plan, building plans, elevations, cross sections, and streetscapes and highlighted the following:

- The concept of the design of the project is to be reflective of the community and still tie in between the residential and commercial portions. The townhouses which are north of the apartment building are also a similar design of colours and scale of materials.
- There is no parking on the surface, that area is all landscaping. All parking is provided through a parkade that is accessed from 58 Avenue.

The Landscape Architect reviewed the landscape plans and highlighted the following:

- The grade continues to be an issue in this precinct with the commercial development. The landscape is a curved form with a grass boulevard and street planting on 58 Avenue.
- The amenity space provides a lot of openness with significant vegetative and screening. Planters are built up for privacy on own patios and screening from whatever is taking place in the open space.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW

 4-storey apartment buildingFile No. 7914-0286-oo
It was
Moved by C. Taylor
Seconded by E. Mashig
That the Advisory Design Panel (ADP)
recommends $C$ - that the applicant address the following issues to the satisfaction of the Planning \& Development Department and, at the discretion of Planning staff, resubmit the project to the ADP for review.

Carried

## STATEMENT OF REVIEW COMMENTS

In general, the Panel support the layout of the site, the form and massing.
Site

- Decent solution to challenging site relative to the grade of adjacent existing development.


## Building Form and Character

- The site arrangement is well articulated for a massive building.
- Consider something to break up the long corridor. Allow natural light where it changes in direction. The corridor is broken up using a variety of methods:
d:|projectsl2015155-108-panorama masterplan for entire development, panorama dr. surrey (redekop) \correspondence \& data \authorities having jurisdiction\15-12-23-dp re-application\adp minutes - oct22-15-7914-0286-oo (with keystone response).docx
- Even though the building itself is large, the common corridor is not a long straight run, due to the articulation of the building, which follows the street curves, but rather is broken up into much shorter portion of corridor lengths.
- At each 90 degree corner in the corridor (2 per floor), the ceiling design will have cove LED lighting to provide a feeling of going to a destination that has a lighting feature.
- By having the elevators and a stair shaft centrally located, the distance from these main access points to any unit entry door is as short as possible.
- On every floor, at approximately halfway between the central elevator/central stair area and the further unit entry door in the southwest corner (the longest distance in the building) is an amenity room with numerous windows between it and the corridor. This further breaks up the corridor and brings interest along the circulation route.
- Every unit entry is not simply a door that is flush with the corridor. Rather, each entry has a distinctive design, with 4 " projections on either side of the entry area in a contrasting colour, and the wall with the entry door recessed from the main corridor plane by approximately $12^{\prime \prime}$, for a total recess of about $16^{\prime \prime}$. There will be a dropped ceiling with a pot light over this entry area which also enhances the distinctness of the entry area. With all these design elements, each entry area becomes a unique place of entry and further breaks up the corridor.
- Recommend further developing elevations and use of materials. Palette is busy and there is a lack of clarity regarding vertical expression vs. horizontal datum. The palette has been simplified, vertical elements have been removed or adjusted so that a uniform horizontal theme is now consistent for the entire building.
- Specifically, the strong vertical elements going up to the roof gable. These vertical elements have been re-designed to match other more horizontal themes, which further emphasizes a more harmonious design.
- Re-consider materials; metal looks like wood, concrete looks like stone and fibre cement looks like wood. Recognizing that good quality materials have been used, it's how they have been composed that is the problem. Material selections have been revised in numerous areas to have a more uniform composition and in keeping with a more traditional hierarchy with strong lower (base) materials.
- Consider reducing number of elements to improve the "very busy" look. The number of elements has been drastically reduced so that the design is more consistent throughout.
- Rental approach should be the same care as market projects. Agreed.
- Consider indoor garage access for tenants. All the tenants parking is in a secure underground parkade, separate from the underground visitor parking area.
- Better relationship of indoor and outdoor amenity areas.One large room rather than broken up by corridor. The main indoor amenity area has been combined into one large room and moved further east. This allows it to be directly
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adjacent to the outdoor amenity area. This relationship is further enhanced by having direct connections between the two by way of a patio door and sidewalk.


## Landscaping

- Re-consider the tree located between the wood fence and concrete parking wall at the south property line. This has been adjusted.
- Consider rotating garden beds to be able to provide more of them. Add gardening amenities such as tool storage. The usable area of the raised garden beds has been substantially increased. The beds are 1.2 m wide and the aisles are 1 m wide to optimize garden area and accessibility. A small tool shed and hose bib has been added to the northeast corner of the garden bed.


## Accessibility

- Recommend elevator panel button be horizontal. Agree to include.
- Recommend call buttons. Agree to include elevator call buttons.
- Recommend power doors at entrance. Agree to include.
- Provide emergency call button in underground lobby. Agree to include an emergency call button in the underground lobby, but would ask for further clarification details about how this would work, such as where the button would signal to.
- Suggest $50 \%$ of units be wheelchair accessible. As noted in my email to Keith Broersma on Oct.30/15, this is a typo in the minutes: the actual suggestion was to have $5 \%$ as adaptable units. We have provided more than $5 \%$ of the units as accessible, as defined by the BC Building Code.
- Provide wheelchair accessible washrooms in amenities room. Agree to include.
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# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7914-0286-oo

| Issued To: | Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | ("the Owner") |
| Address of Owner: | 102-31324 Peardonville Road <br> Abbotsford BC V2T 6K8 |

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 023-208-732
LT ${ }_{13}$ SE SC 10 T2 PLLMP24916 Part NE1/4.
5750 Panorama Dr
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once titles have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
$\qquad$
(b) If the civic addresses change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic addresses for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Subsection F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 36 Community Commercial Zone (C8), the minimum setbacks are reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. $\quad 5.0$ metres ( 16.5 ft .) for the east property line (along 152 Street);
ii. 4.25 metres ( 14 ft .) for the west property line; and
iii. $\quad 4.0$ metres ( 13 ft .) for the north property line (along the new east-west road);
(b) In Subsection F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM30), the minimum setbacks are reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to:
i. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new north-south road;
ii. $\quad 4.5$ metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
iii. $\quad 3.0$ ( 10 ft .) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel;
iv. $\quad 4.4$ metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site;
(c) In Subsection F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 24 Multiple Residential 70 Zone (RM70 ), the minimum setbacks are reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) for the west, south and north setbacks;
(d) In Subsection E. Lot Coverage of Part 24 Multiple Residential 70 Zone (RM-70), the maximum lot coverage is increased from $33 \%$ to $40 \%$; and
(e) In Table C. 6 Parking Requirement for Residential Uses of Part 5 Off-Street Parking, the number of required parking spaces is reduced for the apartment site from 151 on-site resident parking spaces to 139 parking spaces.
5. This development variance permit applies to only that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two $(2)$ years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

## AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 .

 ISSUED THIS DAY OF , zo .Mayor - Linda Hepner

City Clerk - Jane Sullivan

## PANロRAMA DRIVE DEVELDPMENT

## S75ロ PANDRAMADRIVE, SURREY, BR

(b) In Subsection F. Yards and Setbacks of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30), the minimum setbacks are reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to: i. 4.5 metres $(15 \mathrm{ft}$.) to the building face, and 3.5 metres ( 11 ft .) to the bay window, along Panorama Drive, the new east-west road and the new northsouth road;
ii. 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 3.4 metres ( 11 ft ) to the bay window, along 152 Street;
iii. 3.0 ( 10 ft .) to the building face, and 1.9 metres ( 6 ft .) to the bay window, along the proposed park parcel;
iv. 4.4 metres ( 14.5 ft .) for Townhouse Building 4 in the southwest corner of the site;


SITE PLAN - OVERALL
SD8-2


[^0]:    Existing Land Use: Vacant property.
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