



PROCUREMENT SERVICES

**CITY OF SURREY, SURREY CITY HALL
13450 – 104 Avenue, Surrey, B.C., V3T 1V8
Tel: 604-590-7274
E-mail: purchasing@surrey.ca**

ADDENDUM No. 1

REQUEST FOR QUOTATIONS No.: 1220-040-2024-073
TITLE: BRIDGEVIEW DAYCARE PLAY SPACE
ADDENDUM ISSUE DATE: OCTOBER 4, 2024
REVISED DATE: PREFER TO RECEIVE SUBMISSION ON OR BEFORE OCTOBER 17, 2024.

INFORMATION FOR CONTRACTORS

Contractors are advised that Addendum No. 1 to 1220-040-2024-073 is hereby issued by the City. This addendum shall form part of the contract documents and is to be read, interpreted and coordinated with all other parts. The following information is provided to answer questions raised by Contractors for the above-named project, to the extent referenced and shall become a part thereof. No consideration will be allowed for extras due to the Contractors or any sub-contractor not being familiar with this addendum. This Addendum No. 1 contains four (4) pages.

REVISED DATE:

Delete Section 3 in its entirety and substitute with Section 3 below:

“3. DATE

The City would prefer to receive Quotations on or before **October 17, 2024** (the “Date”).”

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Q1. Could you please confirm my interpretation that we are supposed to be quoting the various items as shown in the provided drawings (i.e. 2 x playhuts, 2 x mud kitchen, 1 x learning circle in 0-2 area, 1 x learning circle with posts in preschool area, 7 stepping stumps outside the preschool trike track, log climber with 5 logs plus 3 stumps, and small boat structure). The play features are called out in the drawings provided but the pages

referred to for more detail (L4.1) on the structures are not included - if we are to quote the items as shown, could those missing pages be sent out?

- A1. The drawing is an interpretation of what elements could be included. It is not a directive on what should be included. We leave the option open to the supplier to come up with elements that fit the intent of the overall concept.**
- Q2. Are the log borders, log round sensory surfaces, arch bridges (2) and step bridge also included in the play structure RFQ or do they fall under landscaping scope? If it's the latter, would the City accept pricing for those items within our quote for the play structures?
- A2. The logs can be supplied by the City. The step bridge should be included in the RFQ.**
- Q3. Please confirm whether the sand, rubber surfacing and EWF are in this scope or not.
- A3. All play surfacing to be included in the Scope.**
- Q4. Please clarify: *"Each group should be partitioned from each other in a manner other than traditional fencing. The entire play area will be fenced and gated (by others)..."* If the partitions are to be something other than traditional fencing, does that fall into playground scope, or is it still considered to be fencing performed by others?
- A4. The intent is to have a softened appearance with a natural feel. There will be no traditional chain-link fencing. The perimeter fencing (by others) will be 42" high cedar split-rail with "no-climb" mesh on the inside. The portioning could be constructively done with natural materials and interpreted as more of a visual barrier. An example would be vertical staggered log segments or rocks. Again the City may supply logs for this purpose.**
- Q5. Is there a budget for this project.
- A5. The City is not releasing budgetary information at this time.**
- Q6. The RFQ mentions that the entire play area will be fenced and gated (by others) with a perimeter rubberized 1.2-meter-wide pathway. Can you please confirm if the rubberized pathway is part of the scope of work or will be by others.
- A6. The rubberized 1.2m surface would be on the inside of the fencing and could be considered a play surface. This would be included in the Scope.**
- Q7. When you mention about "natural", are you looking specifically for wood material, or other materials that resemble "natural" like GFRC material?
- A7. With the objective of "natural" it is anticipated there would be a combination of materials and not solely restricted to wood.**
- Q8. Is there a specific budget? If not, can you at least give us an approx. budget or a "limit not to exceed" so we can narrow our proposal to your needs. Also, clarify if that budget is including or excluding of taxes please.
- A8. Please see answer A5.**
- Q9. The scope of work is for play elements only (how about our IPEMA-certified EWF)?
- A9. All elements need to be certified.**

Q10. Seems like there is a preference for another vendor's products. There is a canoe and other logs products. Are you open to alternatives that have the same play value but better price?

A10. The renditions provided were for example purposes only. The City is open to all alternatives.

Q11. Are you looking for exactly the same type of products in each area, as specified on your drawing?

A11. No, the City is not looking for exactly the same type of products.

Q12. The exact scope of work seems unclear, can you please confirm what is included below?

Is the PIP Rubber Surfacing included in the scope?

Is the Base Prep for the PIP Rubber Included in this scope?

Is the Wood Fiber Surfacing included?

Is the drainage Layer for wood fibre included in this scope?

Is the Sand box included in the scope of work?

The Scope States Landscape is NIC Can you please confirm this means all: Soil/Planting/Gravel Areas/Paving Stones – Are done by others?

A12. The PIP Rubber Surfacing, Base Prep for the PIP Rubber, Wood Fiber Surfacing, drainage layer for wood fibre, and sand box are included in this scope.

The sub-base material brought in to raise the area is supplied and placed by others. Any work outside of the perimeter fence is provided by others.

Q13. The Play Equipment doesn't list a specific supplier however it appears that all the play equipment/bridges are all supplied by Habitat Systems. Would other suppliers be considered for play equipment, provided it is close replacement?

A13. The renditions of play equipment supplied by Habitat are for example purpose only. All equipment supplied by others will be equally considered.

Q14. Can Details for the Canoe/Boat be provided?

A14. Not necessary, used as examples only.

Q15. Can Details for the Bridges/Step bridge be provided?

A15. Not necessary, used as examples only.

Q16. I Understand that Site prep/Earth works/Demolition/Fencing/Landscaping are NIC in the scope who is completing this work?

A16. Separate Scope of Work.

Q17. Would you like an optional price to complete this work?

A17. Contractors may provide optional pricing that is separate from the base price which relates to the Scope of Work. Contractors may also submit multiple Quotations under separate Schedule C for different options.

Q18. Do we need to allow to excavate for concrete footing required for the play equipment or will this be completed by others?

A18. All footing prep and placement would be included in the Scope of Work

Q19. Please confirm all subgrade work will be completed by others?

A19. All work to the underside of the rubberized surface prep will be supplied by others. Any drainage required under the play surfacing would be included in the Scope of Work.

Q20. With a start date of January 1st and a Completion date of January 31st I don't think this work could be completed during such a short window. Would the City be open to extending the timeline?

A20. The completion date is March 31, 2025.

All Addenda will become part of the Contract Documents.

- END OF ADDENDUM -