



# Corporate Report

NO: R214

COUNCIL DATE: October 20, 2008

---

## REGULAR COUNCIL

TO: Mayor & Council DATE: October 20, 2008  
FROM: General Manager, Planning and Development FILE: 0370-20 (ADP)  
SUBJECT: Proposed Changes to the Terms of Reference for the  
Advisory Design Panel and Annual Appointments

---

## RECOMMENDATIONS

The Planning and Development Department recommends that Council:

1. Receive this report as information;
2. Approve revisions to the Terms of Reference for the Advisory Design Panel (the "ADP"), as outlined in this report and incorporated in Appendix "A" to this report;
3. Appoint the following persons to the ADP, for the indicated terms:
  - Architects (appoint for a term from November 1, 2008 to November 1, 2010)
    - Mr. Walter Francl, MAIBC;
    - Mr. Robert Ciccozzi, MAIBC;
  - Landscape Architect (appoint for a term from November 1, 2008 to November 1, 2010);
    - Mr. Alex Kurnicki, BCSLA; and
  - Heritage Advisor (appoint for a term from November 1, 2008 to November 1, 2010);
    - Mr. Barry McGinn, MAIBC; and

4. Instruct staff to issue a letter to each of the outgoing members of the ADP thanking them for their volunteer work, their valuable input to the design review process, and their contribution to the quality of urban design in the City of Surrey during their terms of service with the ADP.

## DISCUSSION

On October 20, 2007 Council approved changes to the ADP structure and the ADP Terms of Reference. The changes included appointment of several new architects and landscape architects to replace long serving panel members, the creation of two specialist and one heritage advisor position, and the provision to invite guest panellists for large scale or significant projects. The two specialists included a high rise residential specialist and a large scale commercial specialist. The terms for the members appointed in 2007 are effective to November 1, 2009. A list of the current and proposed ADP members and the expiry of their terms is attached as Appendix "B".

The heritage advisor position created in 2007 has been vacant, pending review with the Heritage Advisory Commission (the "HAC"). A nominee for the heritage advisor position has since been recruited. His appointment has been reviewed by the HAC and his nomination is presented for review by Council in this report.

The City's ADP is governed by the ADP Terms of Reference. Architects and landscape architects who serve on the panel are also subject to the policy set out by the Architectural Institute of BC (AIBC) and the BC Society of Landscape Architects (BCSLA). The AIBC policy is outlined in Bulletin #65: Advisory Design Panels - Standards for Procedures and Conduct. The BCSLA policy is outlined in the BCSLA Advisory Design Panel Guidelines. Architects and landscape architects may only serve a maximum of two two-year terms, based on the policy noted above. The terms of the architects and landscape architects on the City of Surrey ADP have been staggered so that new appointments are required for only a portion of the Panel in any given year. This approach provides continuity on the Panel and helps in the orientation of new members.

The proposed appointments of the new ADP members have been reviewed with the AIBC and the BCSLA and generally comply with the policy set out in the City's ADP Terms of Reference and the AIBC and BCSLA guidelines. Biographical information for each new candidate is attached as Appendix "C".

### Current ADP Panel Structure

Position	ADP Structure
Architects	8 architects (including 2 specialists)
Landscape Architects	3 landscape architects
Development Industry (DAC)	2 development industry representatives
Surrey RCMP	2 Surrey RCMP
Disabled Access Advisors	2 disabled access advisors
Heritage Advisor	1 architect (new position)
<b>Total</b>	<b>18 members</b>

## **Proposed Changes to the ADP Terms of Reference**

The following revisions are proposed to the ADP Terms of Reference and Submission Requirements:

- clarify the role of the City Architect; and
- include, in the ADP Submission Requirements:
  - preparation of a written brief to describe the relevant planning policy, project description, site context, and rationale for the design concept;
  - include a photograph of the exterior finish sample board; and
  - clarify which projects require a physical massing model.

## **CONCLUSION**

To ensure that the ADP continues to function effectively, it is recommended that the ADP membership, the ADP Terms of Reference, and the ADP Submission Requirements be amended as outlined above and in the attached Appendices.

Jean Lamontagne  
General Manager,  
Planning and Development

TA/saw

### Attachments:

Appendix "A" - ADP Terms of Reference

Appendix "B" - List of Proposed Members and Status

Appendix "C" - Biographical Information for New Candidates

**ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL  
TERMS OF REFERENCE**

**Revised October 2008**  
(Proposed revisions are underlined)

**1. ROLE & MANDATE**

1.1 The Advisory Design Panel (the "Panel") is appointed by the City Council under Section 3 below to advise the General Manager of the Planning & Development Department (the "Department") on the quality of design of the built environment in the City, and specifically to provide comments and suggestions to improve the design quality of the development projects being reviewed by the Department. In the review of the development projects referred to it by the Department, the Panel should consider the following matters:

- 1.1a the Development Permit Area Guidelines documented in the Official Community Plan, and City Council's policies on design and street beautification;
- 1.1b the intended function of the project, and the existing and future context within which the project is located; and
- 1.1c general principles of good design and practicality of implementing the design improvements as may be suggested by the Panel.

The following types of projects shall be referred to the ADP:

- 1.2a multi-family residential development projects with a proposed density over 30 units per acre;
- 1.2b commercial development projects, including mixed use development with a commercial use component, where,
  - (a) the construction cost exceeds \$500,000, or,
  - (b) the floor area exceeds 5,000 sq.ft.,
- 1.2c new gas station development or renovation of an existing gas station;
- 1.2d significant industrial development projects as referred by the Department;
- 1.2e any other development project considered to be significant by the Department in terms of:
  - (a) location (such as major road intersection, gateway, town centres), or,
  - (b) visual impact (such as substantially tall buildings or structures), or,
  - (c) size (such as over 1,000 square metres (11,000 sq.ft.); and;

- 1.2f any other matters related to the City's overall or specific urban design or architectural design issues or policies.

## **2. COMPOSITION**

2.1 The Advisory Design Panel shall be composed of eighteen positions as follows:

- 2.1a
- eight (8) architect positions;
  - three (3) landscape architect positions;
  - two (2) positions for representatives from the development industry;
  - two (2) positions for disabled access advisors;
  - two (2) positions for representatives of the Surrey RCMP; and
  - one (1) position for a heritage advisor.

2.1b These positions shall be occupied by the following Panel Members respectively:

- eight (8) members of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia (AIBC) including one (1) high rise residential specialist and one (1) large scale commercial specialist;
- the high rise residential specialist will be invited to attend ADP meetings for review of high rise residential projects; high rise residential is defined as any multi unit residential project 7 storeys or higher;
- the large scale commercial specialist will be invited to attend ADP meetings for review of large scale commercial buildings; large scale commercial is defined as any commercial project 1,860 m<sup>2</sup> (20,000 ft<sup>2</sup>) or greater in floor area, or with building height 7 storeys or higher;
- three (3) members of the British Columbia Society of Landscape Architects (BCSLA);
- two (2) members from the Development Advisory Committee or from the development industry at large;
- two (2) representatives of the RCMP familiar with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED); and
- two (2) disabled access advisors including a representative from the Surrey Access for All Committee or an accessibility specialist from the development industry at large.
- one (1) heritage advisor with professional designation as an architect and/or other relevant heritage qualifications and experience, to be invited to review all projects with a Heritage Revitalization Agreement, or buildings or sites with heritage significance.

2.1c Guest panellists - qualified guest panellists may be invited by staff to review large scale projects. The purpose is to facilitate the appointment of

expert panellists to complement the expertise of the regular ADP members for review of projects that will have a significant impact on the development of the City.

Projects selected for this type of review may include the following: large scale projects with multiple buildings, multiple block developments, or other similar projects on significant or highly visible sites. These projects may include phased development approval including initial approval of the land use concept and subsequent approval of more detailed development permit applications for the component phases.

The guest panellists will be selected for the review of designated projects only. The selection of design professionals as guests will be subject to approval by their professional associations (e.g., AIBC, BCSLA, APEGBC, or other). Other disciplines or community representatives may be invited as required.

- 2.1d The City Architect, as a representative of the City, shall act as the staff liaison for the Panel and consult stakeholders on the ADP policy and procedures. The City Architect will also attend the Panel meetings, facilitate compliance with the policy set out in the Terms of Reference, and review post ADP revisions for compliance with the ADP Statement of Review.

### **3. APPOINTMENTS**

- 3.1 All persons appointed to the Panel shall be familiar with development in the City of Surrey.
- 3.2 Members of the Panel shall be appointed by the City Council, by resolution, upon a recommendation from the General Manager, Planning and Development
- 3.3 The length of terms of the Architects, Landscape Architect, and Development Advisory Committee representatives shall be two years with an option of re-appointment for one additional consecutive term.
- 3.4 The City Council may, by resolution, remove any member from the Panel at any time.

### **4. QUORUM**

- 4.1 All actions and recommendations by the Panel, except as otherwise provided for, shall be done and made by quorum of the members present at the Panel meetings.
- 4.2 Four (4) members of the Panel, with a minimum of two members filling either the two Architect positions or one Architect position and one Landscape Architect position, shall constitute a quorum, and the decisions and recommendations of a quorum shall be the decisions and recommendations of the Panel.

- 4.3 In the event that quorum is not achieved, projects may be reviewed on an informal basis by the Panel members present at the meeting and the minutes of the meeting shall so indicate. The decisions and recommendations made at this informal meeting shall not be construed as the decisions and recommendations of the Panel. The General Manager, Planning & Development, shall have the discretion to consider such decisions and recommendations in due course and may schedule the project for submission to City Council without further review by the Panel.

## 5. PROCEDURES

- 5.1 Meetings of the Panel shall be called by the Department as required except that such meetings shall not generally be held more than two times in one month. All proceedings of the Advisory Design Panel shall be held in open meetings.
- 5.2 A schedule of ADP meetings for the following year shall be prepared in December; meeting dates to be scheduled every two weeks; dates are tentative and may be adjusted subject to demand; meetings may not be held if there is only one project on the agenda.
- 5.3 Panel composition - to ensure that all architects named to the panel are given equal opportunity to attend, architect members will be invited in rotation, i.e., the invited members will be advanced one name on the list for each meeting. No more than two architects will typically be confirmed to attend a meeting. The rotation will not apply to the two specialist architect panel members since they will be invited to review designated projects as required. In addition, one representative of each of the following groups will be invited to attend, as required: landscape architect, development industry, disabled advisor, heritage advisor, and RCMP.
- 5.4 When Planning staff are satisfied that the project, as described under Section 1.2 above, has made sufficient progress towards meeting the design objectives adopted by Council, or that a project should be reviewed by the Panel, the project shall be placed on the agenda of the earliest possible Panel meeting. In order to facilitate an effective review of the project, all submissions to the Advisory Design Panel shall be accompanied with sufficient contextual material and information generally in accordance with Schedule A, "Advisory Design Panel, Submission Requirements" attached hereto and forming part of this Terms of Reference.
- 5.5 Legislative Services shall prepare minutes of the Panel meetings and maintain a record of the approved minutes. Legislative Services shall be responsible for notifying members of the meeting, publishing the agenda for circulation to the attending Panel members one week in advance of the meeting, scheduling delegations, and making any arrangements required to facilitate the meeting.
- 5.6 The Panel members present shall, at the beginning of each meeting, elect one (1) of the members to act as Chairperson of that meeting.

- 5.7 The Panel may make by majority resolution such rules as it sees fit to govern its conduct noting that in so doing the intent is that in general the rules of parliamentary debate shall apply and the rules shall be consistent with this Terms of Reference.
- 5.8 The applicant for any project being considered by the Panel and the applicant's design consultants shall have an opportunity to present their design to the Panel.
- 5.9 Panel members shall have an opportunity to seek explanation and clarification from the applicant or staff.
- 5.10 In order to maintain and respect the independence of the Panel, staff from the Department, including the City Architect, the Senior Planner Urban Design, and the City Landscape Architect shall only act to provide background information and advice on the development approval processing procedure with respect to the projects to be reviewed by the Panel, except as herein below provided in Section 5.11.
- 5.11 In the case where the applicant disagrees with the Department's suggested design improvements related to any project, and requests that the project be reviewed by the Panel, staff from the Department shall have an opportunity to present the Department's opinion and suggested improvements to the Panel before the applicant makes the presentation.
- 5.12 The staff presentation to the Panel will typically be presented with the applicant and their delegation in attendance and will focus on the planning context and urban design issues to be addressed by the applicant and the Panel. This is consistent with the open nature of the meetings as noted in 5.1 above.
- 5.13 Discussion of an item shall generally follow immediately after the applicant's presentation. The Panel shall make recommendations in the same meeting following the presentation by the applicant and the applicant's consulting architect and/or landscape architect, provided that the Chairperson shall make reasonable efforts to limit the presentations and discussions of a project within a time frame of not more than one (1) hour.
- 5.14 The ADP Statement of Review shall be based on written comments from the Panel members. Panel member's comments should be focussed on the panel member's area of expertise, e.g., urban design, massing, form and character for architects, site planning, grading, and planting for landscape architects, CPTED for the RCMP, etc.
- 5.15 If the applicant is not able to attend the meeting to present the project, the Panel may review it in the absence of the applicant or the applicant's consulting architect and/or landscape architect unless the applicant has requested otherwise.



- 5.16 The recommendations of the Panel shall be forwarded to the General Manager, Planning & Development and shall be made available to the applicant following the meeting.
- 5.17 The City Architect may advise the applicant of the Panel's concerns and recommendations after the Panel meeting.
- 5.18 The General Manager, Planning and Development, shall consider the Panel's recommendations including any requests by the Panel for re-submission, and the applicant's response to the Panel's concerns and recommendations, and report to City Council with appropriate recommendations in due course. If the recommendations of the General Manager, Planning and Development to the City Council differ from those of the Panel, the City Council shall be so advised.

**Schedule A**  
**ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL**  
**SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

(Revised October 2008)

All submissions to the Advisory Design Panel must clearly illustrate the development proposal and must be self-explanatory. Include a graphic scale and north arrow, preferably with north at the top of the page. Label drawings as "Submission to Advisory Design Panel" with the ADP meeting date and the City of Surrey file number (e.g., 7907-0447-00) on all drawings and correspondence. Provide three (3) full size sets of drawings and ten (10) sets of 11" x 17" reductions to the Planning and Development Department. The applicant must also bring all presentation materials to the Advisory Design Panel meeting. Submissions shall include the following material:

**1. CONTEXT INFORMATION:**

- Context Plan - Show existing/proposed buildings and trees, vegetation, roads, grading, and other major features within the site and on the abutting properties and road allowances (1:500, or 1"=50'-0").
- Provide photographs of site and surroundings.

**2. ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS:**

- Written Brief - describe the relevant planning policy, project description, and site context. Describe the design concept including vehicle and pedestrian circulation, parking, form and character, landscape concept, CPTED strategy, and sustainability features. For urban design planning policy, refer to the City of Surrey OCP DP Area Guidelines, CPTED Guidelines, Gas Station Design Guidelines, and relevant NCP or local area plan design guidelines, as applicable.
- Site Analysis, Design Concept, Precedent Photos – provide a site analysis diagram to illustrate the site design issues and constraints, e.g., setbacks, grading, surrounding land use, existing trees, open space, pedestrian paths; provide a schematic design diagram to illustrate the design concept and main organizing principles, e.g., vehicle access, pedestrian circulation, massing, views, etc.; precedent photographs - provide photographs of existing projects to illustrate the proposed design character for the building and landscaping.
- Site Plan - Show site dimensions, grading, setbacks, easements, right-of-ways and paving. Show ground floor plans of all buildings with room names labelled to show interior-exterior relationship. Show existing and proposed grades, as a minimum, at the building and site perimeter and all parking and pedestrian paths.
- Streetscape Elevations - include proposed buildings on site and existing buildings adjacent to the site. Provide internal streetscape elevations, where applicable.
- Elevations – show all facades; note exterior finishes and colours and coordinate with the Exterior Finish Sample Boards (1:100 or 1/8" = 1'-0").
- Sections – show sufficient cross sections to show relationship to grade; extend sections to the property lines.
- Exterior Finish Colour Sample Boards - provide samples and colour chips for all exterior finishes; 8.5"x11" format; include a digital colour photo of the boards in the drawing package.
- Unit Plans - for residential buildings include unit plans (1:50 or 1/4" = 1'-0").

- Signage – note proposed sign location(s), setbacks, dimensions and materials. Demonstrate compliance with the City of Surrey Sign By-law.
- Perspective Drawings – provide coloured perspectives or rendered 3D digital model views to illustrate the form and character. *Please note: coloured elevations and perspectives are required for presentation to City Council.*

### 3. LANDSCAPE PLANS:

- *A Registered Landscape Architect is strongly recommended for all Development Permit projects.*
- Tree Retention Plan - Indicate all existing trees to be retained and/or removed; coordinate with the arborist's report.
- Landscape Plan - clearly explain the landscape concept, and show planting, paving, grading (existing and proposed), fencing, lighting, gates, and location/screening of outdoor equipment.
- Plant List - include a graphic key, botanical and common names, sizes and quantities; demonstrate compliance with the relevant OCP DP Area Guidelines.
- Paving and Fencing Plan - provide a separate landscape paving and structure plan showing all fences, retaining walls, trellises, play structures, furniture, lighting, and paving, and cross reference with clear symbols and /or legends to details.
- Details - Provide plan and section details of feature areas, e.g., patios and entries; provide details of landscape structures, fences, walls, furniture, paving, lighting, etc.

### 4. 3-D MODEL

- 3-D digital massing model - required for all commercial and industrial projects in excess of (470 m<sup>2</sup> (5,000 ft<sup>2</sup>) in floor area, all multi unit residential projects with a density of 30 upa or more, all gas stations, and other building types on significant sites as requested by the Urban Design Planner.
- Model format - Google Sketchup or AutoCAD format; include 3D geo-reference and geographic orientation of all lot lines.
- Purpose - to be submitted to the Planning Department to be included in the City of Surrey GIS database and to illustrate the design response to context. After presentation to ADP, the model shall be revised to reflect the approved DP design.
- Model views - submit local and distant views to illustrate the project's impact and response to its context. Provide shadow studies to illustrate sun access at 3:00 PM on the 21 day of March, June, September and December.
- Physical massing model - for large or complex projects, submission of a physical massing model, in addition to the digital model, may be required, at the discretion of staff.

***NOTE: All buildings exceeding 470 m<sup>2</sup> in size must be designed by a Registered Architect, or the applicant must show the design does not contravene the Architects' Act. This also applies to public assembly buildings exceeding 235 m<sup>2</sup>. Refer to Architectural Institute of BC Bulletin #31. The Architectural Institute of BC has instructed its members sitting on Design Panels not to review building designs which contravene the Architects' Act.***

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

List of Members and Status - October 2008

<u>MEMBERS</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	<u>APPOINTED</u>	<u>TERM EXPIRES</u>
<b>ARCHITECTURAL INSTITUTE OF BC</b>			
<b>Mr. Walter Francl, MAIBC Walter Francl Architecture Inc. Vancouver, BC</b>	<b>Candidate</b>	<b>November 1, 2008</b>	<b>November 1, 2010</b>
<b>Mr. Robert Ciccozzi, MAIBC Robert Ciccozzi Architecture Inc. Vancouver, BC</b>	<b>Candidate</b>	<b>November 1, 2008</b>	<b>November 1, 2010</b>
Mr. David Mah, MAIBC David S. Mah Architect Vancouver, BC	Member	November 1, 2007	November 1, 2009
John Henshaw, MAIBC John Henshaw Architect Vancouver, BC	Member	November 1, 2007	November 1, 2009
Gustavo da Roza III, MAIBC G3 Architecture Inc. Surrey, BC	Member	November 1, 2007	November 1, 2009
Patrick Cotter, MAIBC Patrick Cotter Architect Inc. Richmond, BC	Member	November 1, 2007	November 1, 2009
Doug Hamming, MAIBC Stantec Architecture Ltd. Vancouver, BC	Member - specialist large scale commercial	November 1, 2007	November 1, 2009
Mark Ehman, MAIBC Downs / Archambault & Partners Vancouver, BC	Member - specialist high rise residential	November 1, 2007	November 1, 2009
<b>BC SOCIETY OF LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS</b>			
<b>Mr. Alex Kurnicki, BCSLA IBI / HB Architects Vancouver, BC</b>	<b>Candidate</b>	<b>November 1, 2008</b>	<b>November 1, 2010</b>
Ron Myers, BCSLA City of Port Coquitlam, Port Coquitlam, BC	Member	January 1, 2008	January 1, 2010
<b>DEVELOPMENT INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVE</b>			
Mr. Norm Couttie, MAIBC Development Advisory Committee, The Adera Group Vancouver, BC	Member	November 1, 2007	November 1, 2009
vacant	To be appointed		

<b><u>MEMBERS</u></b>	<b><u>STATUS</u></b>	<b><u>APPOINTED</u></b>	<b><u>TERM EXPIRES</u></b>
<b>SURREY RCMP</b>			
Sgt. Roger Morrow	Member	November 1, 2007	N/A
Sgt. Jack Hundial	Member	November 1, 2007	N/A
Note - RCMP members may vary, subject to staff availability and recommendations from the Surrey RCMP.			
<b>DISABLED ACCESS ADVISOR</b>			
Mr. Leroy Mickelson, Surrey Access For All Surrey	Member	November 1, 2007 (Reappointed)	N/A
vacant	To be appointed		
<b>HERITAGE ADVISOR</b>			
<b>Mr. Barry McGinn, MAIBC Barry McGinn, Architect Vancouver, BC</b>	<b>Candidate</b>	<b>November 1, 2008</b>	<b>November 1, 2010</b>

## **ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION**

The biographies for the new ADP members are underlined.  
Current member's biographies are also included for reference.

### **Architects**

1. **Walter Francl, MAIBC (candidate)**

Walter Francl is principal of Walter Francl Architecture Inc., established in 1994. Walter has served on the AIBC Council, on the Surrey Advisory Design Panel prior to 2004, and most recently, on the City of Vancouver Urban Design Panel. Typical commissions for the firm include multi unit residential, industrial, office commercial and institutional projects, including several recent projects in the City of Surrey. Walter Francl Architecture Inc. has been recognized with a number of awards for its work including three Lieutenant Governor's Awards for Skytrain stations and the Canadian Architect Award for Excellence for the Kitsilano Community Centre.

2. **Robert Ciccozzi, MAIBC (candidate)**

Robert Ciccozzi is principal of Robert Ciccozzi Architecture Inc., established in 2000, and has been a major partner of other architectural firms since 1992. Typical commissions for the firm include multi unit residential, commercial, industrial, mixed use, and custom residential projects. The firm's first LEED™ project is currently under construction and is expected to achieve LEED™ Gold certification. Robert Ciccozzi Architecture Inc. has also received a number of awards for its residential projects including a CHBA-BC Gold Georgie Award, the Royal City Builders Award, and the Masonry Award.

3. **David Mah, MAIBC**

David Mah is principal of David S. Mah Architect, established in 1994. David graduated from the UBC School of Architecture in 1987 and has been registered as an architect since 1992. David has served on the AIBC Ethics Committee, on the City of Vancouver Chinatown Heritage Advisory Committee (CHAPAC), and on the Surrey Advisory Design Panel prior to 2004. Typical commissions for his firm include heritage revitalization, commercial retail, and multi-unit residential projects.

4. **John Henshaw, MAIBC**

John Henshaw is principal of John Henshaw Architect, established in 1989. John graduated from the Carleton School of Architecture in 1979 and received an MBA from UBC in 1985. He has been registered as an architect since 1982. Typical commissions for his firm include numerous custom single family residential projects. He has received City of Vancouver Heritage Awards for two single family projects. John's experience with development in Surrey includes a retail office proposal for Fleetwood Town Centre and a similar project in Newton.

5. **Gustavo da Roza, MAIBC**

Gustavo da Roza is principal of G3 Architecture Inc., established in 2003. Gus graduated from the University of Manitoba in 1987 and has been registered as an architect since 1998. Gus is also licensed to practice in the US in the states of Colorado and Minnesota. Typical commissions for his firm include commercial office and retail, custom single family, and multi-unit residential projects. His office is located in Surrey.

6. **Patrick Cotter, MAIBC**

Patrick Cotter is principal of Patrick Cotter Architect, established in 1996. Patrick graduated from the UBC School of Architecture in 1990 and has been registered as an architect since 1996. He has served on the Delta design panel (2006 - present) and the New Westminster design panel (1999 - 2003). Typical commissions for his firm include multi unit residential, both high rise and low rise, commercial, retail, health care, industrial, institutional, and master planned mixed use developments. Patrick's firm currently has a number of high density residential projects in Surrey City Centre area. The firm has consistently produced projects that demonstrate careful attention to building design and urban design principles. The firm has won Royal City Builders Awards in 1999 and 2003.

7. **Doug Hamming, MAIBC**

Doug Hamming is a Senior Associate with Stantec Architecture. He graduated from the Technical University of Nova Scotia in 1989 and has 18 years of architectural experience. At Stantec, Doug acts as the project architect for design and project delivery. His interests include public buildings, educational facilities, exhibits and attractions. Doug's project work includes NASA's Apollo/Saturn V Visitors Center at the Kennedy Space Centre, Metropolis Centre in Metrotown, Burnaby and the YMCA / Concert Properties tower and new YMCA at 955 Burrard in Vancouver. It is proposed that Doug act as the panel's large scale commercial specialist.

8. **Mark Ehman, MAIBC**

Mark Ehman is a partner with Downs Archambault & Partners, established in 1969. Mark graduated from the University of Manitoba in 1981 and has been registered as an architect since 1986. Mark was invited to the Surrey ADP as a guest reviewer in 2006 and has provided valuable input. Mark's past work experience includes high rise residential and multi use projects, hotels, community centres, schools, libraries and master planning. It is proposed that Mark act as the panel's high rise residential specialist.

**Landscape Architects**

1. **Ron Myers, BCSLA**

Ron Myers graduated from the UBC School of Landscape Architecture in 1988 and is currently Manager Design and Development, Parks, Recreation and Culture, City of Port Coquitlam. Ron is also a Certified Arborist.

In the public sector, the bulk of Ron's work has been park design and planning, tree retention, and DP review. He has also been involved in the preparation of design guidelines and planning policy, including streetscape design, neighbourhood design guidelines, DCC credits for parkland, tree retention, and park allocations. In this role he also conducted post development inspections and approvals.

Ron has also worked in private practice for Chris Phillips and Associates (now Phillips Farevaag Smallemberg Landscape Architects) and LA West Landscape Architects. His private practice work has included projects in Vancouver, West Vancouver and New Westminster and a variety of project types including multi unit residential (both medium and high density), commercial, and congregate care type facilities.

2. **Alex Kurnicki, BCSLA (candidate)**

Alex Kurnicki has a Bachelor of Landscape Architecture from UBC and a Master of Urban Design from City University of New York and has practised as a landscape architect for 9 years. Alex currently works for the IBI Group, a multi-disciplinary architecture and planning firm with office across North America. Alex has also worked for Philips Wuori Long, a Vancouver based landscape architecture firm. Alex has design experience with a variety of landscape project types including urban design studies, health care, multi unit residential and urban parks design. Some recent design projects include Southeast False Creek in Vancouver, Dockside Green in Victoria, the Aberdeen Station Lands in Richmond, and the No. 3 Road Urban Design Restoration in Richmond.

**Heritage Advisor**

1. **Barry McGinn, Architect (candidate)**

Barry McGinn is principal of Barry McGinn Architect, established in 1996. Barry is also a professional structural engineer and holds a Master of Science in Heritage Preservation. Typical commissions for his firm include heritage restoration assessment and design including the conversion of the Boothroyd House in the City of Surrey. He has received a City of Vancouver Heritage Award for the adaptive reuse of 868 Cassiar Street, the conversion of a mission style school to multi unit residential use. He has also provided consulting services for the renovation of Ceperley Mansion, Burnaby, St. Pauls Hospital, Vancouver and Holy Rosary Cathedral, Vancouver.