

Corporate Report

NO: R276

COUNCIL DATE: DECEMBER 18, 2006

REGULAR COUNCIL

TO: Mayor & Council DATE: December 11, 2006

FROM: Acting General Manager, Planning and Development FILE: 0510-01

SUBJECT: Surrey School Board Resolution: Eligible School Sites Proposal 2007 – 2016

RECOMMENDATION

The Planning and Development Department recommends that Council receive this report as information.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide information to Council on the Surrey School District's Eligible School Sites Proposal 2007 – 2016 and to advise Council of a future increase to the School Site Acquisition Charges ("SSAC") for residential development in Surrey in 2007.

BACKGROUND

Each year, all school districts in BC are required to submit a five-year capital plan, including an estimate of the number, location and cost of proposed new school sites, to the Provincial Ministry of Education. This is known as the Eligible School Sites Proposal. The Ministry reviews and approves the capital plan, including the Eligible School Sites Proposal, as the basis for funding new schools in each District. The *Education Statutes Amendment Act*, 2004, requires that, prior to forwarding the Eligible School Sites Proposal to the Ministry, the School District advise the City and request Council to either:

- pass a resolution to accept the School District's resolution regarding the Eligible School Site Proposal; or
- respond in writing to the School District indicating that it does not accept the Eligible School Site Proposal, documenting the reasons for the objection.

In preparing the School District capital plan, the School District utilizes the City's residential growth projections to calculate the number, size and location of new schools that will be required in the City over the next 10 years. The School District then estimates the costs for land acquisition, development and other capital requirements for each new school.

On October 12, 2006, the Board of School Trustees of School District No. 36, approved a resolution to incorporate the 2007 - 2016 Eligible School Sites Proposal into the School District's submission to the Ministry of Education (see Appendix I).

Pursuant to Section 937.4(6) of the *Education Statute Amendment Act*, the City must consider the School District's resolution at a regular council meeting and, within 60 days of receiving the request:

- 937.4(6) (a) pass a resolution accepting the school board's resolution of proposed eligible school site requirements for the school district, or
 - (b) respond in writing to the school board indicating that it does not accept the school board's proposed school site requirements for the school district and indicating
 - (i) each proposed eligible school site requirement to which it objects, and
 - (ii) the reasons for the objection.

According to legislation, if the City fails to respond within 60 days of receiving such a request, it is deemed to have agreed to the proposed eligible school site requirements for the School District as set out in the School Board's resolution. The City received the certified copy of the School Board's Resolution on November 9, 2006.

DISCUSSION

School District Resolution - Eligible School Sites Proposal

The School District's Eligible School Sites Proposal 2007 - 2016 documents the projected growth in the number of school-aged children that will occur over the next 10 years in the City, the number of new schools that will be needed to accommodate this growth, the general location and area of land required for each new school and the acquisition costs for the school sites, including servicing. The School District utilizes the City's residential growth projections as the basis for projecting the growth in student population and the allocation of this growth geographically across the City to establish where and when additional school capacity will be required.

The Eligible School Sites Proposal 2007 - 2016 has been based on the following:

• New residential development estimated at 38,249 housing units across the City over the next 10 years;

- An increase of 13,552 in the population of school-aged children in Surrey School District No. 36 over the next 10 years;
- That the new student population will require 6 new school sites and 2 school expansions over the next 10 years;
- That the new/expanded school sites will require the acquisition of 22 hectares (54 acres) of land; and
- That the new school sites will be purchased within five years and, at current land prices, will cost approximately \$53.5 million to acquire.

Land acquisition and site servicing cost estimates were reviewed and updated by the Surrey School Board in June 2006.

It is important to note that a portion of future enrolment growth will be accommodated on sites previously purchased by the School District and by utilizing capacity available at existing facilities.

City staff has determined that the School District's calculations for growth in student population and the related demand for and proposed location of new schools/sites are generally consistent with City of Surrey's residential growth estimates for the 10-year period from 2007 to 2016. As shown in Schedule B of Appendix I, the proposed new school sites are primarily within the Clayton and Grandview Heights areas, as well as providing for elementary school expansion in the Whalley area and site expansion at Earl Marriott Secondary, in South Surrey. On this basis the School District's Eligible School Sites Proposal is considered to be reasonable.

School Site Acquisition Charge (SSAC)

The SSAC regulation is established through the authority of Sections 937.2 and 937.91 of the *Local Government Act*. The regulation came into effect on January 28, 2000. The *Local Government Act* empowers School Districts to adopt a by-law establishing SSAC that are to be paid by each new dwelling unit in new residential development within the particular jurisdiction. The rates are calculated to provide revenues to cover 35% of the acquisition costs and servicing costs for new school sites required within that jurisdiction over a 10-year period. As required under legislation, the City of Surrey collects the SSAC on behalf of the School District and remits these charges to the School District each year. The current rates per dwelling unit for each of the different densities of residential development are documented in the following table:

Eligible Residential Development Category	Current SSAC per unit
Low (< 21 units per hectare)	\$647
Medium Low (21 - 50 units per hectare)	\$582
Medium (51 - 125 units per hectare)	\$518
Medium High (126 - 200 units per hectare)	\$453
High Density (> 200 units per hectare)	\$388

The School District has undertaken a review and updating of the acquisition costs, as well as servicing costs of school sites that have been acquired since the inception of SSAC

legislation. Due to substantial increases in land and servicing costs, an increase in SSAC charges is inevitable across all of the above-referenced density categories. The increase is to ensure that sufficient funding is available through SSAC to fund the necessary proportion (35%) of the acquisition and servicing of the needed school sites. The School District has calculated that the average cost per dwelling unit is \$951.00. The by-law that establishes the SSAC allows for a maximum average rate of \$800.00. (Appendix II) Within the maximum allowable average rate, the SSACs will vary based on different densities of residential development.

There is no increase in the SSAC rate at this time. School District staff advise that the SSAC by-law cannot be set until the 2007 – 2011 Five Year Capital Plan is accepted by the Ministry of Education. This is anticipated sometime early in 2007. Subsequently, the School District will adopt a by-law to set a new rate. Following the adoption of a new by-law there would be a 60 day grace period for developers to have their applications proceed under the old rate.

School District staff has indicated that they are willing to meet with City staff and representatives of the residential development industry, prior to the increases, to further explain the basis for the anticipated SSAC rate increases.

Council Position on SSAC

City Council has voiced concerns with the introduction of the SSAC legislation for a variety of reasons and has also voiced concerns that the SSAC legislation is not applied equitably across all School Districts in the Province. City Council has also requested that the Minister of Education take action to ensure that the SSAC are calculated and applied in a uniform manner across the Province. Due to these concerns, in considering Eligible School Site Proposals from the School District in previous years, Council has simply received the Proposal without passing a resolution to accept the Proposal. By taking such action to simply receive this information from the School District, Council has not implied that it was endorsing the concept of SSAC and accepting the current proposal for setting of school site acquisition charges.

Council is not required to provide a resolution back to the School District on the Eligible School Sites Proposal. However, according to legislation, if the City fails to respond within 60 days of receiving the School Board resolution, regarding the Proposal, the City is deemed to have agreed to the Eligible School Sites Proposal, as set out in the School Board's resolution.

CONCLUSION

Based on the above, even though the Eligible School Sites Proposal 2007 - 2016 is consistent with established methods for planning the school enrolment and related school sites and with the City of Surrey's residential growth projections, it is recommended that City Council receive this report as information and not provide a response to the School District regarding the Eligible School Sites Proposal.

How Yin Leung Acting General Manager Planning and Development

SJ:saw

Attachments:

Appendix I Eligible School Site Proposal 2007 – 2011

Appendix II Approved Eligible School Sites and Acquisition and Servicing Costs History

 $http://surrey.ihostez.com/content/uploaded/d679e79184f342ebb1bf080c4e9a9625-11291045-sj.doc\ M\ 7/16/10\ 4:05\ PM$



School District 36 (Surrey)

Secretary-Treasurer's Office

14225 - 56th Avenue, Surrey, BC V3X 3A3 • Tel: (604) 596-7733 Fax: (604) 596-4197

File No. 3100-02

2006 10 25

How Yin Leung
Acting General Manager
Planning and Development Department
City of Surrey
14245 - 56 Avenue
Surrey, BC V3X 3A2

Dear Mr. Leung:

Re: Eligible School Site Proposal

Please be advised that at its public meeting of 2006-10-12 the Board of School Trustees of School District No. 36 (Surrey) approved the Eligible School Site Proposal incorporated into the 2007–2011 Five Year Capital Plan. As you are aware, the Eligible School Site Proposal is a required component of the capital plan submission, which the School Board must approve annually and referred to local governments in the District for acceptance pursuant to the *Local Government Act.* Please find attached the certified resolution of the Board of School Trustees of School District No. 36 (Surrey) for acceptance by City Council. A copy of the Administrative Memorandum considered by the Board is also attached for your reference.

The eligible school site proposal for the 2007-2011 Five Year Capital Plan indicates the following:

- Based on information from local government, the Board of School Trustees of School District No. 36 (Surrey) estimates that there will be 38,249 new development units constructed in the school district over the next 10 years (Schedule "A" – Table 1 & 2);
- These 38,249 new development units will be home to an estimated 13,552 school age children (Schedule "A"- Tables 3);
- The School Board expects 6 new school sites and 2 site expansions over the 10 year period will be required as the result of this growth in the school district. The sites will be located as presented in Schedule "B";

 According to Ministry of Education site standards presented in schedule "B" the sites will require 22 hectares of land. These sites are expected to be purchased in 5 years and, at current service land costs, the land will cost approximately \$53,501,350 million.

The School Board also amended its 5 year capital plan to ensure eligibility for Provincial funding for the proposed new school sites.

Pursuant to the Education Statutes Act, local governments have 60 days to either:

- Pass a resolution accepting the proposed eligible school site requirements for the school district;
- Respond in writing to the school board indicating that it does not accept the school board's proposed site requirements for the school district and indicating
 - Each proposed school site to which it objects;
 - The reason for the objection.

If no response is received within 60 days the legislation states that the local government will have been deemed to accept the proposal. Please place the resolution on your Council's agenda to meet this timeline.

Please feel free to contact this office through Mr. Umur Olcay, Manager of Facilities and Demographics Planning, by telephone at 592-4295 or by email at olcay_u@sd36.bc.ca should you require any further information.

Yours truly,

Wayne D. Noye Secretary-Treasurer

enclosure

pc: Umur Olcay, Manager Facilities & Demographics Planning Ken Cameron, Policy & Planning Dept., GVRD

/cw/myfiles/wordper/noye/eligibleschoolsites

School District No.36 (Surrey)

Excerpt from the 2006-10-12 Regular Board Meeting Minutes

"Eligible School Sites Proposal – 2007-2011 Capital Plan

It was moved by Trustee McNally, seconded by Trustee Glass:

THAT WHEREAS the Board of School Trustees of School District No.36 (Surrey) has consulted with the City of Surrey and the City of White Rock on these matters;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT based on information from local government, the Board of School Trustees of School District No.36 (Surrey) estimates that there will be 38,249 development units constructed in the school district over the next 10 years (Schedule 'A' – Table 1 & 2); and

THAT these 38,249 new development units will be home to an estimated 13,552 school age children (Schedule 'A' – Table 3); and

THAT the School Board expects 6 new school sites and 2 site expansions, over the ten-year period, will be required as the result of this growth in the school district and the site acquisitions will be located as presented in Schedule 'B'; and

THAT according to Ministry of Education site standards presented in Schedule 'B' the sites will require 22 hectares of land. These sites should be purchased within ten years and, at current serviced land costs, the land will cost approximately \$53.5 million; and

THAT the Eligible School Sites Proposal as adjusted be incorporated into the Five Year Capital Plan, 2007-2011, and submitted to the Ministry of Education. CARRIED

This is a certified copy of an excerpt of the minutes for the 2006-10-12 Regular Board meeting of the Board of School Trustees of School District No. 36 (Surrey).

Wayne D. Noye

Secretary-Treasurer





ADMINISTRATIVE MEMORANDUM

(Regular)

MEETING DATE:

2006-10-12

TOPIC:

ELIGIBLE SCHOOL SITES PROPOSAL -

2007-2011 CAPITAL PLAN

The Eligible School Site Proposal is a required component of the Capital Plan submission, which must be passed annually by Board resolution and referred to local governments in the District for acceptance pursuant to the **Local Government Act**.

The Eligible School Site Proposal involves extensive consultation with the City of Surrey and the City of White Rock. Both municipalities provide revised 10-year projections for residential development consistent with their Official Community Plans, Regional Context Statements and Neighbourhood Concept Plans. Based on that work, the information provided by City staff was used to project the number of eligible school age children which would be generated by the growth and to estimate the number of eligible school site requirements for the School District, including approximate number, location and cost of school sites proposed to be included in the 2007–2011 Capital Plan.

It is noted that the Ministry of Education has so far approved 13 eligible school site acquisitions since the inception of the Eligible School Site Proposal process in 2001. Site acquisition projects that have received funding approval (for capital plan years 2001 through 2008) are not included in the 2007-2011 Eligible School Site Proposal.

Appraisals have been conducted, to estimate the cost increase of off site work for future school sites (Coastland Engineering) and to provide a time adjusted market analysis of the bare land cost of school sites (Carmichael and Wilson Land Appraisers). These appraisals, together with recent land acquisition of approved sites, were used to calculate revised bare land and serviced cost of land estimates for future eligible school sites, included in Schedule B.

It is noted that serviced cost of land for the proposed school sites on the list has escalated significantly from the previous year. It is anticipated that the increased land cost may result in a further increase to the school site acquisition charge bylaw rate applied to new development units, after the 2007 capital plan is accepted by the Minister.

Cont'd . . .

G

MEETING DATE: 2006-10-12

SCHEDULE:

3(f)

TOPIC:

ELIGIBLE SCHOOL SITES PROPOSAL -

2007-2011 CAPITAL PLAN

The following information has been considered:

- The Eligible School Site Proposal projections have been discussed with planning department staff for the City of Surrey and the City of White Rock, who have provided updated growth projections for the period 2007-2016 based on latest market trends for housing (See Schedule 'A' – Table A-2).
- A projection of the number of children of school age, as defined in the School Act, that
 will be added to the school district as the result of the projected eligible development
 units for the period 2007-2016 has been revised based on the new projections provided
 by the City of Surrey and the City of White Rock (Schedule 'A' Table A-3).
- The approximate size and the number of school sites required to accommodate the number of children projected under paragraph (2). (Schedule 'B').
- The approximate location and value of school sites referred to in paragraph (3). (see Schedule 'B').

The following motion is recommended:

THAT WHEREAS the Board of School Trustees of School District No.36 (Surrey) has consulted with the City of Surrey and the City of White Rock on these matters;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT based on information from local government, the Board of School Trustees of School District No.36 (Surrey) estimates that there will be 38,249 development units constructed in the school district over the next 10 years (Schedule 'A' – Table 1 & 2); and

THAT these 38,249 new development units will be home to an estimated 13,552 school age children (Schedule 'A' - Table 3); and

THAT the School Board expects 6 new school sites and 2 site expansions, over the tenyear period, will be required as the result of this growth in the school district and the site acquisitions will be located as presented in Schedule 'B'; and

THAT according to Ministry of Education site standards presented in Schedule 'B' the sites will require 22 hectares of land. These sites should be purchased within ten years and, at current serviced land costs, the land will cost approximately \$53.5 million: and

THAT the Eligible School Sites Proposal as adjusted be incorporated into the Five Year Capital Plan, 2007-2011, and submitted to the Ministry of Education.

Capital Plan, 2007-2011,	and submitted to the Ministry of Education.
Enclosures:	
Submitted by:	
×	W.D. Nove, Secretary-Treasurer
Approved by:	- Teasurer
Profite and the state of the st	M.A. McKay, Superintendent



2007-2016 Projections - Eligible Development and School Age Children SCHEDULE 'A'

Year 2007		The first had been continued in planting school year by July 1st	-	if and and a	TOTAL PROPERTY.		CAMBIO IDI SI		TOTAL PARTY	1	
	2000	-						100000	600	5	
CITY OF SUBSECU	Jan Y	2002	6002	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	10 or Tot
A SOUND LO										ı	
Single Detatched	1.439	1.40A	1 176	1,020	700						
Cuiton			:	000.	200	2	94/	948	815	080	40.504
2000	613	603	575	261	554	577	587	503	0	8	10,034
Row House	1 194	1 117	4 027	4 000		5	700	And I	900	630	5,897
			20.	980'	911.	1,153	1.068	1.036	1 033	900	40.100
Low Rise Apart.	636	618	611	619	ROR	660	9	3	3	99	96/'0L
High Rise Apart	130	105	000	2	9	9	250	484	503	503	5,682
CITY OF MAINT BOOK	2	8	720	434	416	504	900	711	754	777	AREE
STATE ROCK										7	4,000
Single Detatched	ų:	¥	u	١	•						
100	•	•	n	-		-	_	_	7	1	73
Somos	m	က	3	e	6	6				- (8
Row House	•	•	•		•	,	,	2	9	0	30
	,	>	>	9	•	0	0	0	0	0	
Low rose Apart.	33	35	35	35	50	ž,	35	36			
High Rise Apart	•	•	•		3 '	3	3	3	S	ç	320
			٦	0	٥	0	0	58	56	56	78
Table 2 College	5										
14018 2 - SCHOOL DISTRICT 36 - ELIG	CI 36 - ELIG		LOPMENT	UNITS (And	BLE DEVELOPMENT UNITS (Annual totals by housing type, 2007-2016)	housing type	se, 2007-201	(9)			An Tach
Single Detached	1,444	1,413	1.181	1.037	991	924	964	OEE	0000	100	and it of
Suites	616	909	670	700	: !	5	5	3	770	/98	10,758
Bow House	2	8 :	0/0	900	99/	280	290	900	603	633	5.927
and Today	134	1.17	1,077	1,098	1,116	1,153	1,068	1.036	1 033	906	40.708
Low rose Apart.	671	653	646	654	633	603	567	200	620	200	000'0
High Rise Apart.	130	185	500	757	140		3	020	999	920	6,032
Total Iluita	1	2	253	400	410	204	900	737	780	798	4,734
Total Ones	4,000	3,974	3,732	3,687	3,713	3,814	3,779	3.857	3.776	3 862	38 240

Gingle Detached					annothed and	0102-1002 01	6				Eliabble Studente
	1,054	1,024	850	741	704	687	873	000	1	100	CHARLES OF SERVICE
Sukes	75	73	200	67	4	5	2 6	600	0/0	66	7,531
Sow House	9		3 ;	õ	8	6	Š	69	6	23	682
9690	242	88	474	472	469	473	439	A25	YOF	274	-
Low Rise Apt.	81	78	11	11	7.4	2	2 2	9	454	200	4,427
High Rise Apt.	7	6	12	16	3 3	2 %	86	5	20 00	22 2	200
Total EDII Condonte	4 700	1000	1			3	3	ţ	S	98	213
oral coo students	1,700	7,067	1,482	1,373	1,331	1,320	1,272	1,258	1,166	1,233	13,552
ADIS 4 - PROJECTED AVERAGE YIELD	FRAGE YIEL	D FACTORS	(July 1, 20	007-2016)						ľ	
Single Detached	0 73	0.795	0.70	A 745	17.0						Yle(d (2016)
union Cultur		27.50	7/.7	0.735	0.7	0.705	0.705	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
upies - Suites	0.121	0.12	0.119	0.118	0.117	0.118	0.115	0 115	0 445		20,448
How House	0.48	0.45	0.44	0 43	0.43	0 44		2		0.0	CLT.0
Low Rise Apt.	0 424	0 40	0,00	2	7.0		4.0	0.4	0.41	0.41	0.41
Anh Dice And	0.00	2.0	err.o	0.118	0.117	0.116	0.118	0.116	0.116	0 116	0 446
an ruse Apr.	0.051	0.05	0.049	0.048	0.047	0.046	0.048	3700	2000	2000	



Capital Projects Requiring 2007-2011 Facility Capital Budget Eligible School Site Proposal New Sites SCHEDULE 'B'

Table 4: ELIGIBLE SCHOOL SITES (General Location, Size and Serviced Land Cost)

				THE CAN MICHINI CARNO COST)	and Cost)			S	RREY
School Site #	Discounter dive	ŀ						20-100	SCHOOL DISTRICT
	Pine Cinconsis	7112	#184	878A	l				
DB are of Costs	Estimate			200	2708	8216	4364	I	
Tone of Care		California	Coffmare	Falimote	Fathers &		200	#105	TOTALS
She or Project	Expansion	New			Cautions	Cathrade	Estimate	Settment	
Grade Level			Mak	New	New	Nex		- commont	C-Stamelo
	Chembersony	Secondary	Elementery				Now	Site Expension	_
Approximate Location	Whalley aree	Grandwine		CHRISTIAN	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Carried	
Existing Capacity	Ī		Chegyadan A.	Grandwiew S.	Grandview Crar.	Chrotro ME	_	Secondary	
	2002	0	-	•		1	Gray Ton Area	Earl Merriod	
Long Term Capacity	9			0	0	0	•		
Introduction in Co.	200	1,500	500	ous	900		٥	1,500	200
The case of Capacity	300	\$ 500			333	200	900	1 800	
Standard San Change		1,300	200	200	200			000'	6,000
(BI) ONC (IN)	2.7	63			88	200	200		1
Existing Site Area (ha)	1		7.7	2.7	2.7	F. C			4,300
	1.50	٥	-		I	1	2.7	6.3	28.86
Size of New Sile (ha)	0.71	0		3	0	3	c	ľ	
Paro Land On the		200	2.7	2.7				\$	9
Comp Code/Tel	2,464,789	2,460,317	1 242 564		Š	2.7	2.7	-	\$
Sarviced Land Cost	\$ 2.550.000			2,718,963	2,718,063	1,212,261	1252 364		1
Barre Land Court	1	00000000	4,373,194	\$ 8,438,769	\$ 8.478.790			3,140,455	2,091,687
Series const	1,750,000	15,500,000	\$ 3772 tox		Sol face to	4,373,104	4,373,104	4,354,500	SK1 A04 94A
Cost of servicing land	2000,0000		9,519,100	4 7,338,769	7,338,760	\$ 3273.104	100000		000,100,000
	000,000	1,100,000	1,100,000 \$	5 1.100.000	1 1/0 000		1	3,454,500	\$45,201,350
Total accusedion when a man a					October 1	3 000,000.	1,100,000	\$ 900,000	\$8,300,000
VID - SOME AND	noticeng 2 accessor	The of parte form probability	the state of the s						

Total acquisition sites = 8 (inchuding 2 augmentums of existing school sites and 6 new school site acquisitions) Eligible School Sites which received Nitristy funding approved for capital plan years 2001-2066 are not included in the above lable. Proposed sites to be included in the 2007-2011 Five Year Capital for 2009-2011 (approved years 3, 4 and 5).

*Standard size and site requirement adjustment
 Table 1:
 Approved Eligible School Sites and Acquisition and Servicing Costs History (original 21 sites included in ESSP prior to SSAC adoption in July 2001).

(origina	nal 21 sites included in General Location	(original 21 sites included in ESSP prior to SSAC adoption in July 2001) General Location Type Funding Orig	pption in July 2001 Funding		*Standard size	and site required	Standard size and site requirement adjustment Adjusted Acquired Site Area	Origi	Original Estimate		***Estimated by Coastland Engineering & Surveying servicing cost Revised services	Soastland Engine servicing cost	ering & Sur Revised	g & Surveying Revised serviced
	Description		/ Status	request (Ha)	Size (Ha)	Size (Ha)	outstanding (Ha)	bare	bare land cost	BARE LAND COST		***	land cost	ţ
032	Panorama Ridge	Secondary Site-Expansion	partial	0.61	0.96	0.72	0.24	S	800,000	\$ 1,167,980		\$ 144,000	\$	1,311,980
151	Cambridge EI.	Elementary New /partial	Fully acquired	0.85	1.13	1.13	0.00	S	800,000	\$ 915,000		\$ 825,000	\$	1,740,000
189	Rosemary Hgts. W.	Elementary New/Partial	Fully acquired	0.89	0.94	0.94	00.00	S	1,044,000	\$ 2,050,000		\$ 287,222	\$	2,337,222
201	Clayton Area E.	Elementary New/partial	Fully acquired	0.94	1.84	1.84	0.00	\$	800,000	\$ 1,866,000		\$ 900,000	\$	2,766,000
203	Clayton Centre Area	Elementary New Site	Fully acquired	2.79	2.63	2.63	00:0	S	1,725,000	\$ 2,990,000		\$ 900,000	\$	3,890,000
211	S. Newton E. Area	Elementary New Site	Fully acquired	2.79	2.79	2.79	00:00	s	2,243,000	\$ 3,010,000		\$ 900,000	\$	3,910,000
212	S. Newton W. Area	Elementary New Site	Fully acquired	2.79	2.50	2.50	00'0	↔	2,243,001	\$ 4,375,000		000'006 \$	\$	5,275,000
										Negotiation Actual	Negotiation Actual Market Value Estimates July 2006	90		
	215 Clatyon Area Sec.	Secondary New Site	partial	7.61	7.69	4.00	3.69	↔	4,700,000	\$ 2,734,290		\$ 900,000	\$	6,598,290
	202 Grandview Hts. NW	Elementary New Site	awaiting subdiv.	2.79	2.60	2.60	0.00	69	1,035,000	\$ 7,318,442		\$ 900,000	\$	8,218,442
180	Douglas Area	Elementary New Site	pending	2.79	2.50	•	2.50	ક્ક	2,415,000	\$ 4,672,575		\$ 900,000	\$	5,572,575
										Market Value Analy	Market Value Analysis (July 2006 value)			
204	Clayton NE Area II	Elementary New Site	switched 2007	2.79	2.70		2.70	S	1,725,000	\$ 3,278,070		\$ 1,100,000	\$	4,378,070
217	Clayton Vil. NE Area	Elementary New Site	switched 2007	2.79	2.70		2.70	↔	1,725,000	\$ 3,278,070		\$ 1,100,000	\$	4,378,070
	074 Discovery Elementary	Elementary site-expansion	Proposal in ESSP	0.80	0.71		0.71	s	500,000	\$ 1,750,000		\$ 800,000	\$	2,550,000
	177 Grandview Area Sec.	Secondary New Site	Proposal in ESSP	7.61	6.30		08.30	ક્ક	3,290,000	\$ 15,500,000		1,100,000	\$	16,600,000
184	Clayton N. Area	Elementary New Site	Proposal in ESSP	2.79	2.70		2.70	↔	1,725,000	\$ 3,273,104		1,100,000	\$	4,373,104
	206 Grandview S. Area	Elementary New Site	Proposal in ESSP	2.79	2.70		2.70	↔	1,035,000	\$ 7,338,769		1,100,000	\$	8,438,769
208	Grandview Cent. Area	Elementary New Site	Proposal in ESSP	2.79	2.70		2.70	↔	1,035,000	\$ 7,338,769		1,100,000	\$	8,438,769
	216 Clayton NE Area	Elementary New Site	Proposal in ESSP	2.79	2.70		2.70	S	2,243,000	\$ 3,273,104		1,100,000	\$	4,373,104
209	Clayton Area	Elementary New Site	Proposal in ESSP	2.79	2.70		2.70	s	1,725,000	\$ 3,273,104		1,100,000	\$	4,373,104
105	Earl Marriott Second.	Secondary Site-Expansion	Proposal in ESSP	1.10	1.10		1.10	S	3,454,500	\$ 3,454,500		\$ 900,000	\$	4,354,500
				53.89	52.59		2001/02 bare land cost est.	\$	36,262,501	\$ 82,856,777	2006 Serviced land cost estimate - eligible sites:	eligible sites:	\$ 1	103,876,999

\$ 36,356,949.65	Total collectable \$ 36,356,949.65
27%	% of total eligible cost remaining to be collected
\$ 28,565,487	Amount remaining to be collected
8%	% of total eligible cost already collected
\$ 7,791,463	Collected amounts transferred for bi annual periods from July 2001 to June 30, 2006\$
\$ 800	Estimated average SSAC Rate 2007 \$951 reduced to maximum allower \$
951	Average cost per unit
38,249	Number of eligible units 2007 ESSF
\$ 36,356,950	SSAC attributable cost - 35 % of total eligible cost \$
\$ 103,876,999	TOTAL eligible cost for SSAC calculation
\$ 50,375,649	Actual servicd cost of land (estimate) approved sites (
\$ 53,501,350	PROPOSED SERVICED COST OF LAND - ESSP 2007 SITES \$

18,329,932

Serviced cost

53,501,350 50,375,649

(PROPOSED SITES)

\$ 45,201,350

Bare land costs of proposed sites (estimate) =

sub total approved pending and completed sites =

\$ 12,265,932 \$ 37,655,427

Bare land costs of approved and purchased sites (actual cost) =

Bare land costs of approved and not yet purchased sites (actual cost estimate) =

	.350 649 Original ESSP 2000-2001; 999 \$ 48,305,301 950 \$ 16,906,855 35% 951 \$ 300 Maximum average rate is \$800	approx 19% of total value of serviced sites in original ESSP 2000-2001 approx 19% of total value of serviced sites in original ESSP 2000-2001
d cost 32,045,717 18,329,932 50,375,649 53,501,350	55,501,350 50,375,649 36,356,999 38,249 951 800 Maximum a	7,791,463 8% 28,565,487 0.27 36,356,950 35%
Serviced cost 32,046 18,325 50,376	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	s s s
cost actual cost of acquired sites PENDING SITES/APPROVED) (PROPOSED SITES)	PROPOSED SERVICED COST OF LAND - ESSP 2007 SITES Actual servicd cost of land (estimate) approved sites TOTAL eligible cost for SSAC calculation SSAC attributable cost - 35 % of total eligible cost Average cost per unit Estimated average SSAC Rate 2007 \$951 reduced to maximum allowed	Collected amounts transferred for bill amount periods from July 2001 to June 30, 2006 % of total eligible cost already collected % of total eligible cost remaining to be collected Total collectable % of eligible cost.
s 25,389,496 adual cost 25,389,496 adual cost 15,229,932 (PEND) \$ 40,619,427 \$ 45,201,350 (PROP)	Number of eligible units 2007 ES <mark>SP</mark>	Amount remaining to be collected
Table sosts of approved and purchased sites (actual cost) = \$ costs of approved and not yet purchased sites (actual cost estimate) = \$ proved and actual cost of bare land (pending and actual completed) \$ costs of proposed sites (estimate) = \$	Num	Amo