

## PROPOSAL:

- NCP Amendment to amend the road network and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Corridor location in the West Clayton NCP
- Rezoning from RA to RM-3о
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 79 townhouse units.


## LOCATION: <br> 18638-74 Avenue

ZONING:
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: Townhouse Residential ( $22+5$ upa)


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- Council file Rezoning Bylaw No. 19649 that received Third Reading at the September 17, 2018, Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting.
- Council file Development Variance Permit No. 7917-o116-oo
- Rezoning Bylaw to proceed to Public Notification. If supported the Bylaw will be brought forward for First, Second and Third Reading.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0116-o1 to proceed to Public Notification.
- Approval to draft amended Development Permit No. 7917-on6-oo in accordance with the updated design drawings and ecosystem development plan.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposing to reduce the building setback requirements of the RM-30 Zone.
- Proposing to vary the number of permitted back-to-back units within the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)".


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The subject development application was considered by Council at the Regular Council Land Use meeting on July 23, 2018, where First and Second Readings were granted to the proposed Rezoning Bylaw (No. 19649). At the September 17, 2018, Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting, Council granted Third Reading to the Rezoning Bylaw (No. 19649) for the subject land development application (RES. R18-1500 and RES. R18-1882 respectively).
- Following the granting of Third Reading, the Planning \& Development Department and Parks, Recreation \& Culture Department put forward an amendment to the West Clayton NCP designation for the subject site. The proposed amendment was to redesignate the southern portion of the subject site from "Proposed Park" to "Townhouse Residential". Council endorsed the amendment on July 10, 2023.
- The applicant has subsequently amended their proposal to provide additional townhouse units on the southern portion of the site, in accordance with the amended NCP.
- In accordance with changes to the Local Government Act, Section 464, under Bill 44 (2023) a Public Hearing is not permitted for the subject rezoning application as the proposed rezoning is consistent with the Official Community Plan (ОСР). As such, Council is requested to endorse the Public Notification to proceed for the proposed Rezoning By-law. The Rezoning By-law will be presented to Council for consideration of First, Second, and Third Reading, after the required Public Notification is complete, with all comments received from the Public Notification presented to Council prior to consideration of the By-law readings.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) and in accordance with the Development Permit (Form and Character) design guidelines in the OCP.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. Council file Rezoning Bylaw No. 19649 that received Third Reading at the September 17, 2018, Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting.
2. Council file Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0116-oo.
3. Council endorse the Public Notification to proceed for a By-law to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)".
4. Council authorize staff to draft an amended Development Permit No. 7917-0116-oo generally in accordance with the attached updated drawings (Appendix I) and the Ecosystem Development Plan.
5. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7917-016-o1 (Appendix V) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum north yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 4.5 metres to 4.0 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 1 and 2;
(b) to reduce the minimum north yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 3.0 metres for buildings 10,13 and 14 ;
(c) to reduce the minimum east yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 5.5 metres to the principal building face and 5.0 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 2 and 10;
(d) to reduce the minimum east yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.5 metres to the principal building face and 4.0 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 3, 4 and n;
(e) to reduce the minimum south yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.3 metres for building 4;
(f) to reduce the minimum south yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.5 metres to the principal building face and 4.2 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 11 and 12;
(g) to reduce the minimum west yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.1 metres for building 6;
(h) to reduce the minimum west yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 3.0 metres to the principal building face and 2.5 metres to the upper storey projections for building 12;
(i) to reduce the minimum west yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 5.0 metres to the principal building face and 4.4 metres to the upper storey projections for building 13;
(j) to vary the Zoning By-law to increase the number of risers permitted within the setback area from 3 to 5 for Units 1-9 and 51-56;
(k) to permit visitor parking stalls 8 and 9 to be located within the west yard setback;
(l) to increase the maximum percentage of back-to-back townhouse units from $\mathbf{2 0} \%$ to $30 \%$; and
(m) to reduce the outdoor amenity space requirement for back-to-back groundoriented dwelling units from 6.0 square metres to 3.0 square metres per dwelling unit.
6. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) final acceptance from FortisBC;
(h) registration of an easement for public access over the strata drive aisle in the FortisBC right-of-way for a future green way;
(i) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant required increased rear yard setbacks for Buildings 5 and 6;
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture and with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy and Tier 1 Capital Project CACs, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning \& Development Services;
(k) finalization of an Ecosystem Development Plan to the satisfaction of City staff;
(l) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department; and
(m) the applicant convey riparian areas and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy areas to the City as a lot. The City is to acquire the remaining undeveloped areas of the site for parkland in accordance with the West Clayton NCP.
7. Council pass a resolution to amend the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to re-align the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Green Infrastructure Network and the road network, in accordance with Appendix VI, when the project is considered for final adoption.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Single family dwelling <br> on a large suburban <br> property | Townhouse <br> Residential | RA |
| North (Across 74 Avenue): | Single family dwellings <br> on large acreage lots. | Proposed <br> Elementary School | RA |
| East: | Single family dwelling <br> on large acreage lot. | Biodiversity <br> Conservation <br> Strategy Corridor | RA |
| South: | Single family dwelling <br> on large acreage lot. <br> Development <br> Application 7917-o518- <br> oo to permit 83 <br> townhouse units (Third <br> Reading). | Townhouse <br> Residential | RA |
| West: | Single family dwelling <br> on large acreage lot and <br> Salish Secondary School. | Townhouse <br> Residential and <br> School. | RA |

## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant proposes the following in order permit the development of 79 townhouse units:
- West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) amendment to realign the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Green Infrastructure Network and the road network;
- Rezoning from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)";
- Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum building setbacks and increase the number of back-to-back units; and
- Development Permit for Form and Character.
- Development details are provided in the following table:

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed |  |
| Gross Site Area: | 19,385 square metres |
| Road Dedication: | 196 square metres |
| Undevelopable Area: | 5,403 square metres |
| Net Site Area: | 13,786 square metres |
| Number of Lots: | 2 (1 development lot and 1 lot for conservation purposes) |
| Building Height: | 3 storeys |
| Unit Density: | 57 units/hectare |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | 0.94 |
| Floor Area | 12,949 square metres |
| Number of Units | 79 |

- The subject development application was considered by Council at the Regular Council Land Use meeting on July 23, 2018, where First and Second Readings were granted to the proposed Rezoning Bylaw (No. 19649). At the September 17, 2018, Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting, Council granted Third Reading to the Rezoning Bylaw (No. 19649) for the subject land development application (RES. R18-1500 and RES. R18-1882 respectively).
- Following the granting of Third Reading, the Planning \& Development Department and Parks, Recreation \& Culture Department put forward an amendment to the West Clayton NCP designation for the subject site. The proposed amendment was to redesignate the southern portion of the subject site from "Proposed Park" to "Townhouse Residential". Council endorsed the amendment on July 10, 2023.
- The applicant has subsequently amended their proposal to provide additional townhouse units on the southern portion of the site, in accordance with the amended NCP.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) and in accordance with the Development Permit (Form and Character) design guidelines in the OCP.


## Referrals

| Engineering: | The Engineering Department has no objection to the revised <br> proposal. |
| :--- | :--- |
| School District: | The School District has advised that there will be <br> approximately 67 school-age children generated by this <br> development, of which the School District has provided the <br> following expected student enrollment. |
|  | 37 Elementary students at Regent Road Elementary School <br> 19 Secondary students at Ecole Salish Secondary School |
| (Appendix III) |  |$\quad$| Note that the number of school-age children is greater than |
| :--- |
| the expected enrollment due to students attending private |
| schools, home school or different school districts. |

## Zoning By-law

- The applicant proposes to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)".
- The table below provides an analysis of the development proposal in relation to the requirements of the Zoning By-law, including the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)", streamside setbacks and parking requirements.

| RM-30 Zone (Part 22) | Permitted and/or Required | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | 75 units/hectare | 57 units/hectare |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.00 | 0.94 |
| Lot Coverage: | 45\% | $41 \%$ |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |
| North: | 4.5 metres | 3.0? 4.5 metres* |
| East: | 6.0 metres | 4.5 metres* |
| South: | 6.0 metres | 4.3 metres* |
| West: | 6.0 metres | 3 metres* |
| Height of Buildings |  |  |
| Principal buildings: | 13 metres | 9 metres |
| Indoor amenity buildings: | 11 metres | 11 metres |
| Accessory buildings: | 4.5 metres | 4.5 metres |
| Amenity Space |  |  |
| Indoor Amenity: |  | The proposed $204 \mathrm{~m}^{2}+$ |
|  | 237 square metres | CIL meets the Zoning Bylaw requirement. |
| Outdoor Amenity: | 309 square metres | 260 square metres* |
| Streamside (Part 7A) | Required | Proposed |
| Streamside Setbacks |  |  |
| Class B (yellow-coded) Stream: | 15 metres | 15 metres |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required | Proposed |
| Number of Stalls |  |  |
| Residential: | 158 | 158 |
| Residential Visitor: | 16 | 16 |
| Total: | 174 | 174 |
| Tandem (\%): | 50\% | 8\% |
| Bicycle Spaces |  |  |
| Residential Secure Parking: | N/A |  |
| Residential Visitor: | 6 | 6 |

*Variance Requested

## Setback Variances

- The applicant is requesting the following variances:
(a) to reduce the minimum north yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 4.5 metres to 4.0 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 1 and 2;
(b) to reduce the minimum north yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 3.0 metres for buildings 10,13 and 14;
(c) to reduce the minimum east yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 5.5 metres to the principal building face and 5.0 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 2 and 10;
(d) to reduce the minimum east yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.5 metres to the principal building face and 4.0 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 3, 4 and 11;
(e) to reduce the minimum south yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.3 metres for building 4;
(f) to reduce the minimum south yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.5 metres to the principal building face and 4.2 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 11 and 12;
(g) to reduce the minimum west yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.1 metres for building 6;
(h) to reduce the minimum west yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 3.0 metres to the principal building face and 2.5 metres to the upper storey projections for building 12;
(i) to reduce the minimum west yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 5.0 metres to the principal building face and 4.4 metres to the upper storey projections for building 13;
(j) vary the Zoning By-law to increase the number of risers permitted within the setback area from 3 to 5 for Units 1-9 and 51-56;
(k) to permit visitor parking stalls 8 and 9 to be located within the west yard setback;
(l) to increase the maximum percentage of back-to-back townhouse units from $20 \%$ to $30 \%$; and
(m) to reduce the outdoor amenity space requirement for back-to-back groundoriented dwelling units from 6.0 square metres to 3.0 square metres per dwelling unit.
- On October 16, 2023, Council received Corporate Report No. R161, introducing changes to the Zoning By-law, which include limiting the percentage of back-to-back units to a maximum of $20 \%$ of the total units for development under the RM-30 Zone. The proposed changes to the RM-30 Zone were subsequently adopted on November 20, 2023.
- The proposed changes to the RM-3o Zone were adopted subsequent to the updated proposal being submitted to the City, with significant work having been completed by the applicant in coordination with staff. As a result, variances are now required for the subject site, as it proposes 24 back-to-back units out of 79 total units ( $30 \%$ of the proposed units).
- The variances are to increase the maximum percentage of back-to-back units that are permitted from $20 \%$ to $30 \%$, and to reduce the required outdoor amenity space from 6.0 square metres per back-to-back dwelling unit to 3.0 square metres per back-to-back dwelling unit, as was previously required prior to the amendments to the Zoning By-law for back-toback townhouse units (see amenity section below).
- The reduced setbacks along all lot lines will create an appropriate urban, pedestrian-friendly streetscape along the streets and parkland interfaces.
- Staff support the requested variances to proceed for consideration.


## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Pre-notification letters were sent on October 13, 2023, and the Development Proposal Signs were installed on December 1, 2023. Staff did not receive any responses from neighbouring residents.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Sensitive Ecosystems (Streamside Areas) Development Permit Requirement

- The proposal relating to the Streamside Protection Area remains unchanged from the previous proposal.


## Sensitive Ecosystems (Green Infrastructure Areas) Development Permit Requirement

- The proposal relating to the Green Infrastructure Area remains unchanged from the previous proposal.


## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed development is subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character and is also subject to the urban design guidelines in the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The proposed development generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit guidelines in the OCP and the design guidelines in the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The applicant has worked with staff to:
- Minimize tandem and back-to-back unit typologies; and
- Orient and set back the units to appropriately interface with the future parkland to the south and the BCS Corridor to the east.
- The applicant is required to resolve all outstanding urban design and landscaping issues and Advisory Design Panel comments, as follows:
- Update the landscape plans to include an accurate and coordinated fencing plan and to remove proposed fencing along the BCS corridor; and
- Update the landscape plans to clearly show the location of the proposed visitor bicycle parking.


## Landscaping

- The landscape plan shows a total of 51 trees to be planted throughout the subject site including Lilac, Red Flowering Dogwood, October Glory Maple and Japanese Maple.
- A significant number of shrubs and ground cover species are proposed throughout the subject site, including azaleas, hydrangea, laurel, rhododendron and hostas.


## Indoor Amenity

- The Zoning Bylaw requires the applicant to provide a minimum of 3 square metres per unit. The Zoning Bylaw also prescribes the minimum indoor amenity space requirement that must be provided on site with a cash-in-lieu option to address the remaining requirement.
- Based upon the City's Zoning Bylaw requirement, the proposed development must provide 237 square metres of indoor amenity space. Of this 237 -square metre requirement, a minimum of 74 square metres of indoor amenity space must be provided on site, and the remaining indoor amenity space requirement can be addressed through cash-in-lieu.
- The applicant proposes 204 square metres of indoor amenity space, which exceeds the minimum on site requirements of 74 square metres but is less than the 237 square metre total indoor amenity space requirement for the project.
- The applicant has agreed to provide a monetary contribution to address the remaining shortfall in the required indoor amenity space, in accordance with Council Policy No. O-48, as amended. Cash-in-lieu contributions for indoor amenity space are increased on an annual basis and must be paid at the rate in effect at the time of Final Adoption.
- The proposed 2-level indoor amenity space is located at the south end of Building 7. The space incorporates washrooms and a kitchen and can be programmed in a variety of ways to meet the needs of the residents.


## Outdoor Amenity

- Based upon the City's Zoning Bylaw requirement of 3 square metres per dwelling unit and 6 square metres per back-to-back unit, the proposed development must provide a total of 309 square metres of outdoor amenity space to serve the residents of the proposed 79 units.
- The applicant is proposing 260 square metres of outdoor amenity, which requires a variance to allow the applicant to provide less than 6 square metres of outdoor amenity space for each of the back-to-back units. At a consistent rate of 3 square metres of outdoor amenity space for all 79 units, the applicant is required to provide 237 square metres and exceeds this amount.
- The requested variance is considered to have merit since the subject development application was well-advanced prior to the amendments to the Zoning By-law with respect to outdoor amenity requirements associated with back-to-back unit types being adopted by Council.
- The applicant is proposing an outdoor amenity area adjacent to the indoor amenity building which consists of a children's play area and a variety of seating.


## Outstanding Items

- There are a limited number of items that remain outstanding, and which do not effect the overall character or quality of the project. These generally include:
- Refining the fencing plan to remove all fencing along the east property line adjacent to the BCS corridor; and
- Detailed development of the public realm, especially regarding the interface with the BCS corridor along the east property line.


## TREES

- Corey Plester, ISA Certified Arborist of Mike Fadum \& Associates Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the proposed tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Proposed Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder/Cottonwood | 39 | 39 | o |
| Deciduous Trees <br> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Apple | 1 | 1 | o |
| Cherry | 3 | 3 | o |
| Vine Maple | 1 | 1 | o |
| Oak | 1 | 1 | o |
| English Oak | 1 | 1 | o |
| Tulip | 1 | 1 | o |
| Willow | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Douglas Fir | 9 | 9 | o |
| False Cypress | 3 | 3 | o |
| Giant Sequoia | 1 | 1 | o |
| Grand Fir | 3 | 3 | o |
| Pine | 1 | 1 | o |
| Spruce | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Western Red Cedar | 9 | 9 | o |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 37 | 37 | o |
| Additional Trees in the proposed Open Space / Riparian Area | 115 | 1 | 114 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 51 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement T Proposed |  | 51 |  |

## Estimated Contribution to the Green City Program

## \$24,800

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 37 mature trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Thirty-nine (39) existing trees, approximately $\mathbf{5 1} \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. The applicant proposes to retain no trees as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- Table 1 includes an additional 115 protected trees that are located within the proposed open space and riparian area. The trees within the proposed open space and riparian area will be retained, except where removal is required due to hazardous conditions. This will be determined at a later time, in consultation with the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees that are outside the streamside protection area, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees, including Alder and Cottonwood that are within a streamside protection area. This will require a proposed total of 113 replacement trees on the site. Since the proposed 51 replacement trees can be accommodated on the site, the proposed deficit of 62 replacement trees will require an estimated cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 24,800$, representing $\$ 400$ per tree to the Green City Program, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted on 74 Avenue. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- In summary, a total of 51 trees are proposed to be replaced on the site with an estimated contribution of $\$ 24,800$ to the Green City Fund.
- The proposed tree retention and replacement strategy will be refined as the applicant works through the detailed design process.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Proposed Subdivision Layout, Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective
Appendix II. Engineering Summary
Appendix III. School District Comments
Appendix IV. Summary of Tree Survey, Tree Preservation and Tree Plans
Appendix V. Development Variance Permit No. 7917-on6-o1
Appendix VI. Initial Planning Report No. 7917-016-oo, dated July 23, 2018
approved by Ron Gill

Don Luymes
General Manager
Planning and Development
LM/ar
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TO: Director, Area Planning \& Development

- North Surrey Division Planning and Development Department

FROM: Development Process Manager, Engineering Department

DATE: June 29, 2018 PROJECT FILE: 7817-0116-0o
REVISED: January 10, 2024
RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements
Location: 1863874 Ave

## NCP AMENDMENT

The following issues are to be addressed as a condition of the NCP Amendment:

- Provide $50 \%$ cash-in-lieu for construction of 187 Street roadworks and utilities.


## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate 1.942 m along 74 Avenue.
- Register 0.5 m statutory right-of-way along 74 Avenue frontage.


## Works and Services

- Construct the south side of 74 Avenue.
- Construct storm, water, and sanitary mains and service connections to service the development as per the NCP.
- Construct downstream offsite storm and sanitary mains as per the NCP, if required.
- Implement on-site storm mitigation features per the West Clayton NCP Stage 2 Servicing Strategy and the Clayton Integrated Stormwater Management Plan.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit/ Development Variance Permit.

[^0]
## Surrey Schools

## LEADERSHIP IN LEARNING




Note: If this report is provided in the months of October, November and December, the 10 -year projections are out of date and they will be updated in January of next year.


Note: If this report is provided in the months of October, November and December, the 10-year projections are out of date and they will be updated in January of next year.
Population : The projected population of children aged 0-17 impacted by the development.
Enrolment: The number of students projected to attend the Surrey School District ONLY.

## Tree Preservation Summary

Surrey Project No: 17-0116-00
Address: 18638-74 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Surrey, BC
Registered Arborist: Corey Plester

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 76 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 76 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $39 \times$ one (1) = 39 <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio <br> 37 X two (2) $=74$ | 113 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 38 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 75 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | 114 |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 1 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio 1 X one (1) = 1 <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times \text { two }(2)=0$ | 1 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | NA |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | NA |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.

| Signature of Arborist: $C$ Q | Date: December 5, 2023 |
| :--- | :--- |




## CITY OF SURREY

(the "City")

## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

NO.: 7917-0116-01
Issued To:
("the Owner")

## Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: oo6-617-28o
Lot 44 Section 21 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 31589
18638-74 Avenue
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) to reduce the minimum north yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 4.5 metres to 4.0 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 1 and 2;
(b) to reduce the minimum north yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 3.0 metres for buildings 10,13 and 14 ;
(c) to reduce the minimum east yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 5.5 metres to the principal building face and 5.0 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 2 and 10;
(d) to reduce the minimum east yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.5 metres to the principal building face and 4.0 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 3, 4 and 11;
(e) to reduce the minimum south yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.3 metres for building 4;
(f) to reduce the minimum south yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.5 metres to the principal building face and 4.2 metres to the upper storey projections for buildings 11 and 12;
(g) to reduce the minimum west yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 4.1 metres for building 6;
(h) to reduce the minimum west yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 3.0 metres to the principal building face and 2.5 metres to the upper storey projections for building 12 ;
(i) to reduce the minimum west yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 6.0 metres to 5.0 metres to the principal building face and 4.4 metres to the upper storey projections for building 13;
(j) vary the Zoning By-law to increase the number of risers permitted within the setback area from 3 to 5 for Units 1-9 and 51-56;
(k) to permit visitor parking stalls 8 and 9 to be located within the west yard setback;
(l) to increase the maximum percentage of back-to-back townhouse units from $\mathbf{2 0} \%$ to $30 \%$; and
(m) to reduce the outdoor amenity space requirement for back-to-back groundoriented dwelling units from 6.0 square metres to 3.0 square metres per dwelling unit.
5. This development variance permit applies to only the portion of the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL/DELEGATED OFFICIAL, THE DAY OF , 20 .

ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 2o .

Mayor - Brenda Locke

City Clerk - Jennifer Ficocelli



|  | City of Surrey <br> PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT <br> File: <br> 7917-0116-00 <br> Planning Report Date: July 23, 2018 <br> PROPOSAL: <br> - NCP Amendment to amend the road network and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Corridor location in the West Clayton NCP; <br> - Rezoning from RA to RM-3o <br> - Development Permit <br> - Development Variance Permit <br> to permit the development of 55 townhouse units. |
| :---: | :---: |



## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character and Sensitive Ecosystems.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposing an amendment to the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to alter the road concept plan and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Corridor location.
- Setback requirements of the RM-3o Zone are proposed to be reduced along all four lot lines.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the West Clayton Neighbourhood Network Plan (NCP) land use designation.
- An Amendment to the West Clayton NCP is proposed to alter the road network and to re-align the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Green Infrastructure Network.
- The re-alignment of the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Green Infrastructure Network and road network plan has been proposed in order to retain an existing Class B watercourse in its current location and to retain a row of mature trees. The West Clayton NCP currently proposes the re-location of the watercourse and the removal of the row of trees. The amendment to the road network plan is a result of this change.
- The proposed changes are supported by impacted property owners and reflect the existing site conditions. The properties affected by the proposed changes are under in-stream development applications that also reflect the proposed NCP amendment.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape consistent with similar townhouse projects and are reflective of the West Clayton NCP.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Family 30 Zone (RM-30)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7917-on6-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings and the Ecosystem Development Plan (Appendix II).
3. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7917-on6-oo (Appendix IX) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum north yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) to the building face;
(b) to reduce the minimum east yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face; and
(c) to reduce the minimum south and west yard setbacks of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .) to the building face.
(d) to vary the Zoning By-law to increase the maximum number of risers permitted within the setback area from 3 to 4 for Units 1, 3, 5, 7, 16 and 50-55.
4. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) submission of an acoustical report for the units adjacent to 74 Avenue and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures;
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(i) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to require energy efficiency features in accordance with the energy efficiency density bonus provisions in the West Clayton NCP, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(j) finalization of an Ecosystem Development Plan to the satisfaction of City staff;
(k) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department; and
(l) the applicant convey riparian areas and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy areas to the City as a lot. The City is to acquire the remaining undeveloped areas of the site for parkland in accordance with the West Clayton NCP.
5. Council pass a resolution to amend the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to re-align the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Green Infrastructure Network and the road network, in accordance with Appendix VIII, when the project is considered for final adoption.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

## School District:

## Projected number of students from this development:

14 Elementary students at Clayton Elementary School 7 Secondary students at Salish Secondary School

## (Appendix IV)

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by October 2019.

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

Surrey Fire Department: The Fire Department has no concerns.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: $\quad$ Single family home on large suburban property with existing house to be demolished.

Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North (Across 74 Avenue): | Single family <br> dwellings on large <br> acreage lots. | Proposed School. | RA |
| East: | Single family <br> dwelling on large <br> acreage lot. | Biodiversity <br> Conservation <br> Strategy Corridor | RA |
| South: | Single family <br> dwelling on large <br> acreage lot. | Townhouse <br> Residential (22+5 <br> UPA Bonus) | RA |
| West: | Single family <br> dwelling on large <br> acreage lot and <br> Salish Secondary <br> School. | Townhouse <br> Residential (22+5 <br> UPA Bonus) and <br> School. | RA |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background \& Context

- The 1.77 -hectare ( 4.38 -acre) subject site is comprised of one lot located at 74 Avenue and 186 Street in West Clayton. The subject site is designated Urban in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and is currently zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)".
- The site is laterally bisected by an 18 -metre ( $59-\mathrm{ft}$.) wide Fortis gas right-of-way on which no structures are permitted. There is an existing channelized Class B stream to the south of the Fortis right-of-way, which flows along the south and west property lines of the subject property.
- The site is subject to the Sensitive Ecosystem Development Permit Area requirements of the Official Community Plan (OCP), given the location of the Class B watercourse and the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy corridor.
- On July 27, 2015, the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) was approved by Council (Corporate Report No. R168;2015). The northern portion of the subject site (north of the Fortis right-of-way is designated "Townhouse Residential ( $22+5$ UPA Bonus)" and the remaining southern portion is designated "Future School/Park" in the West Clayton NCP.
- The "Townhouse Residential ( $22+5$ UPA Bonus)" designation in the West Clayton NCP allows for a base net density of 22 units per acre. An additional density of up to 5 units per acre may be granted as outlined in Section 5.3 of the West Clayton NCP to applicants who commit to constructing energy efficient buildings.
- Development Application No. 7917-0518-oo to the south of the subject site was granted First and Second Reading by Council on July 9, 2018. There are two other in-stream development applications in close proximity east and southeast of the subject site that will be brought forward for Council consideration at a future date (Appendix V).


## Current Proposal

- The proposal includes an NCP amendment to re-align the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Green Infrastructure Network and the road network, rezoning from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)" and a Development Permit for Form and Character and Sensitive Ecosystems, to permit the development of 55 townhouse units on the northern portion of the site.
- The net density for the proposed development is 63 units per hectare ( 25 units per acre) with a floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.00, which meet the maximum unit density of 75 units per hectare ( 30 units per acre) and the maximum FAR of 1.00 permitted in the RM-30 Zone and also complies with the "Townhouse Residential ( $22+5$ UPA Bonus)" designation in the West Clayton NCP. The applicant is seeking the energy efficiency bonus and will be required to register a Section 219 Covenant to secure the necessary building design features.
- The applicant also proposes a Development Variance Permit (DVP) to reduce the minimum building setbacks along all lot lines (see By-law Variances section).


## Road Dedication Requirements

- The applicant will be required to dedicate 2.0 metres ( 6 ft .) for the completion of 74 Avenue to the Collector Road Standard.
- The West Clayton NCP shows the future 186A Street aligning north/south along the east property line, however the applicant is proposing to shift the alignment of 186A Street further east (see Justification for NCP Amendment section).
- The future 186A Street will be shifted to the east onto 15674-74 Avenue and 18702-74 Avenue. The applicant has agreed to pay for the construction of the east portion of 186A Street fronting 18702-74 Avenue and has registered a Restrictive Covenant on the Title of the subject property in order to secure this.


## Parkland Requirements

- The southern portion of the subject site is designated as "Future School/Park" in the West Clayton NCP. The applicant will convey the riparian areas and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy areas to the City as a lot. Parks will acquire the remaining area outside of these areas, including the area encumbered by the Fortis right-of-way, as parkland, in accordance with the West Clayton NCP (Appendix VI).


## JUSTIFICATION FOR PLAN AMENDMENT

- An Amendment to the West Clayton NCP is proposed, which includes changes to the road network plan and re-alignment of the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BSC) Green Infrastructure Network (GIN).
- The re-alignment of the BCS GIN and road network plan has been proposed in order to retain an existing row of mature trees along the east property line of the subject site and to retain the existing location of a Class B watercourse along the east property line on the adjacent property to the south (18611-72 Avenue). The West Clayton NCP currently proposes to re-locate the watercourse to the east, within the current BCS GIN and proposes a road where the row of mature trees and watercourse are currently sited (Appendix VIII). The retention of the row of mature trees and watercourse in their existing locations is considered better from a habitat preservation perspective.
- The amendment to the road network plan is a result of the change outlined above. The road shown on the east property line of the subject site in the NCP is proposed to be relocated east to the property at 18702-74 Avenue, currently under Development Application No. 7916-o221-00, and to the property at 1873772 Avenue, currently under Development Application No. 7918-0001-00
- The amendment to the BCS GIN and road network plan affects the properties directly east and south of the subject site. All of the properties impacted by the proposed amendment to the NCP are either under development applications (Appendix V) or will be purchased by the City for parkland in the future. The applications are all reflective of the proposed amendment and all owners are supportive of the change.
- The proposed NCP changes are supportable, as road connectivity and BCS objectives are still met while better reflecting the existing site conditions.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on March 6, 2018, and the Development Proposal Signs were installed on June 13, 2018. Staff received 3 responses from neighbouring residents including from the Cloverdale Community Association (staff comments in italics):

- The Cloverdale Community Association (CCA) requested confirmation on the size of the garages in the proposed development.
(The proposed garages must comply with the minimum standards for double garages in the Zoning By-law.)
- Two respondents requested more information about the proposal and did not have any concerns.
(Staff provided more information and provided the proposed layout and NCP amendment.)


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Sensitive Ecosystems (Streamside Areas) Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Sensitive Ecosystems Development Permit Area (DPA) for Streamside Areas in the OCP, given the location of an existing unnamed Class B (yellow-coded) channelized stream which flows along the south and west property lines of the subject property.
- In accordance with Part 7A Streamside Protection setbacks of the Zoning By-law, a "Class B (yellow-coded) Stream" requires a minimum streamside setback of 15 metres ( 49 ft .), as measured from the top of bank. The proposed setbacks comply with the requirements outlined in the Zoning By-law.
- The riparian area is proposed to be conveyed to the City as a lot as a condition of rezoning approval.
- An Ecosystem Development Plan, prepared by Christine Gibson, R.P. Bio., of Envirowest Consultants Inc. and dated October 10, 2017 was reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable, with some modifications to content and format of the report still required. The finalized report and recommendations will be incorporated into the Development Permit.


## Sensitive Ecosystems (Green Infrastructure Areas) Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Sensitive Ecosystems DPA for Green Infrastructure Areas in the OCP, given the location of a Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Green Infrastructure Network (GIN) Corridor to be relocated to the east of the subject property.
- The City of Surrey Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Green Infrastructure Network (GIN) map, adopted by Council on July 21, 2014 (Corporate Report No. R141; 2014), identifies BCS Hub C and a Local BCS Corridor within the subject site, in the Cloverdale BCS management area, with a Low ecological value.
- The BCS further identifies the GIN area of the subject site as having a Low habitat suitability rating, derived from species at risk presence, species accounts and known ecosystem habitat inventories. The BCS recommends a target Corridor width of 60 meters ( 197 ft .).
- Protecting green infrastructure Hubs (large habitat areas) and Sites (smaller habitat areas) are critical to preserving natural habitat refuges and a diversity of habitat features, while maintaining/enhancing Corridors ensures connectivity between fragmented hubs for genetic variation throughout the City. The closest Biodiversity Hub connection in the GIN to the subject site is Hub C, and is located northeast of the subject property. This corridor includes a mix of riparian, forested natural areas and low density residential development; it follows planned greenways and provides limited connectivity through developed neighbourhoods.
- The development proposal conserves/enhances 2,202 square meters (o.22 ha) of the subject site through Parkland Conveyance of an 11.5 -metre ( $38-\mathrm{ft}$.) wide section of the Corridor, which is $12 \%$ of the total gross area of subject site, or $19 \%$ of the target GIN area. This method of GIN retention/enhancement will assist in the long term protection of the natural features
and allows the City to better achieve biodiversity at this location, consistent with the guidelines contained in the BCS.
- The 48.5 -metre ( $159-\mathrm{ft}$.) balance of the targeted 60 -metre ( $197-\mathrm{ft}$.) Corridor will be provided on the adjacent property to the east.


## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed 55 -unit townhouse project consists of nine (9), three-storey buildings with garages accessed internally at grade.
- The townhouse units range in size from 139 square metres ( 1,500 sq.ft.) to 170 square metres (1,830 sq.ft.) and are comprised 18 2-bedroom units and 373 -bedroom units.
- Each of the 55 townhouse units will contain an attached double car, side-by-side garage. No tandem garage units are proposed.
- Buildings 7 and 8 , which are centrally located on the site, are comprised of back-to-back units which incorporate rooftop decks as private outdoor space. The balance of the units (Buildings 1-6 \& 9) will have a small private patio and front yard space.
- The units have been oriented and setback to appropriately interface with the future parkland to the south and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy corridor to the east.
- The proposed building materials include hardie panel siding and hardie shingles in light grey and white.
- A pad-mounted transformer (PMT) box is proposed to be located adjacent to visitor parking and open space. The PMT will be screened by landscaping.


## Vehicle Access and Parking

- One vehicle access is proposed from 74 Avenue at the northwest corner of the property.
- The proposed townhouse development includes a total of 121 parking spaces, consisting of 110 resident parking spaces in 55 double car, side-by-side garages, as well as 11 visitor parking spaces, which comply with the parking requirements of the Surrey Zoning By-law. All visitor parking spaces will be delineated by decorative permeable pavers.


## Indoor Amenity Space

- The proposed 2-level indoor amenity space is located at the south end of Building 7. The space incorporates washrooms and a kitchen and can be programmed in a variety of ways to meet the needs of the residents.
- Based upon the standard Zoning By-law requirements of 3.0 square metres $/ 32$ sq. ft. per dwelling unit for indoor amenity space, the proposed development requires 165 square metres ( 1,776 square feet) of indoor amenity space. The amount of indoor amenity space proposed is 165 square metres ( 1,776 square feet), which meets the By-law requirement.


## Outdoor Amenity Space

- There are two separate outdoor amenity spaces in the development. One amenity space provides 235 square meters ( $2,530 \mathrm{oqq}$. ft.) adjacent to the indoor amenity building. The other provides 77 square metres ( 828 sq . ft.) to the west of Building 6 . The amenity areas will consist of seating, soft landscaping and play structures.
- Based upon the standard Zoning By-law requirements of 3.0 square metres/32 sq. ft. per dwelling unit for outdoor amenity space, the proposed development requires 165 square metres ( 1,776 square feet) of outdoor amenity space. The proposed 312 square metres ( $3,358 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) of outdoor amenity space exceeds the By-law requirement.


## Landscaping

- The landscape plan shows a total of 38 trees to be planted throughout the subject site including Lilac, Red Flowering Dogwood, October Glory Maple and Japanese Maple.
- A significant number of shrubs and ground cover species are proposed throughout the subject site, including azaleas, hydrangea, laurel, rhododendron and hostas.


## TREES

- Vanessa Melney, ISA Certified Arborist of Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder/Cottonwood | 32 | 32 | 0 |
| Deciduous Trees <br> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Apple | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Cherry | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Vine Maple | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Oak | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Tulip Tree | 1 | 1 | O |
| Willow | 1 | 1 | O |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Douglas Fir | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| False Cypress | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Giant Sequoia | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Grand Fir | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Pine | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Spruce | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Western Red Cedar | 9 | 9 | O |

File: 7917-0116-00

| Total (excluding Alder and <br> Cottonwood Trees) | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 35 | o |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additional Trees in the <br> proposed Parkland and <br> Riparian Area | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 1}$ |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed <br> (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) | 38 |  |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement <br> Trees | $\mathbf{3 8}$ |  |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Fund | $\$ 25,600$ |  |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 35 mature trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Thirty-two (32) existing trees, approximately $48 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no trees can be retained within the developed portion of the site. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- Table 1 includes an additional 92 protected trees that are located within the proposed parkland/riparian area. The trees within the proposed parkland/riparian area will all be retained, except where removal is required due to hazardous conditions. This will be determined at a later time, in consultation with the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 102 replacement trees on the site. Since only 38 replacement trees can be accommodated on the site, the deficit of 64 replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 25,600$ representing $\$ 400$ per tree, to the Green City Fund, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted on 74 Avenue. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety including Lilac, Red Flowering Dogwood, October Glory Maple and Japanese Maple.
- In summary, a total of 38 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the developed portion of the site with a contribution of $\$ 25,600$ to the Green City Fund.


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject site on May 3, 2018. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Site Context \& Location (A1-Az) | - The site is located within the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) Area. |
| 2. Density \& Diversity (B1-B7) | - Townhouses at 27 units per acre are proposed which is compliant with the NCP. |
| 3. Ecology \& Stewardship (C1-C4) | - Thirty-eight (38) replacement tree will be planted in addition to a variety of shrubs. <br> - Low Impact Development Standards are incorporated including absorbent soils and swales. |
| 4. Sustainable Transport \& Mobility (D1-D2) | - N/A |
| 5. Accessibility \& Safety $\left(E_{1}-E_{3}\right)$ | - Reduced setbacks and unit orientation encourage "eyes on the street" |
| 6. Green Certification (F1) | - No green rating or certification is proposed. |
| 7. Education \& Awareness (G1-G4) | - A Development Proposal Sign was installed and pre-notification letters were sent. |

## BY-LAW VARIANCES AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variances:

- To reduce the minimum north yard setback of the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) to the building face;
- To reduce the minimum east yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face;
- To reduce the minimum south and west yard setbacks of the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .) to the building face; and
- To vary the Zoning By-law to increase the number of risers permitted within the setback area from 3 to 4 for Units $1,3,5,7,16$ and 50-55.

Applicant's Reasons:

- The proposed building setback reductions along the streets and park edges will provide more interaction between the public and private realms.
- The proposed setback relaxations along the streets and park edges will also provide more "eyes and ears on the street", which is a good urban form from a Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) perspective.


## Staff Comments:

- The RM-3o Zone requires that buildings and structures be sited a minimum of 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) from all property lines. The applicant is proposing reduced setbacks along all lot lines.
- The reduced setbacks along all lot lines for the front yards of the proposed townhouse units will create an appropriate urban, pedestrian-friendly streetscape along the streets and parkland interfaces.
- Staff support the requested variances.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners and Action Summary (Confidential) and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Proposed Subdivision Layout, Site Plan, Building Elevations and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Map of Adjacent Development Applications
Appendix VI. Parkland Requirements
Appendix VII Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VIII. NCP Amendment Plan
Appendix IX. Development Variance Permit No. 7917-o116-oo

## INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON FILE

(All the following are optional depending on the individual case)

- Environmental Report Prepared by Christie Gibson of Envirowest Consultants Inc., Dated October 10, 2017.
- Complete Set of Architectural and Landscape Plans prepared by Douglas R. Johnson Architect

Ltd. and C. Kavolinas \& Associates Inc., respectively, dated July 11, 2018 and July 2018.
original signed by Ron Gill

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development

## LM/cm

## APPENDIX I HAS BEEN

REMOVED AS IT CONTAINS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Proposed Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  | 19,388 |
| Road Widening area |  | 2,382 |
| Undevelopable area |  | 8,231 |
| Net Total |  | 8,775 |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) |  |  |
| Buildings \& Structures | 45\% | 45\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  | 16\% |
| Total Site Coverage |  | 61\% |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| North | 7.5 metres | 4.0 metres to building face |
| East | 7.5 metres | 4.5 metres to building face |
| South | 7.5 metres | 6.0 metres to building face |
| West | 7.5 metres | 6.0 metres to building face |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 13 metres | 10.5 metres |
| Accessory | 11 metres |  |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  | 18 |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 37 |
| Total |  | 55 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 8,581 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA |  | 8,581 sq.m. |

*If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | 75 UPH (30 UPA) | 63 UPH (25 UPA) |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | 165 sq.m. | 165 sq.m. |
| Outdoor | 165 sq.m. | 312 sq.m. |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  | N/A |
| Industrial |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor +1 Bedroom |  | N/A |
| 2 -Bed | 36 | 36 |
| 3-Bed | 74 | 74 |
| Residential Visitors | 11 | 11 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces |  | 121 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of accessible stalls |  | N/A |
| Number of small cars |  | N/A |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  | N/A |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  | N/A |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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accuracy achieved have been derived from the
Mossot pubbished coordinates for geodetic contr
monuments 8779 and 5993 .
This plan shows horizontal ground-level
distances except where otherwise noted. To
distances except where otherwise noted.
compute grid distances. muttiply
ground-level
ground-level distances by the overage
combined factor of 0.995997 which
been
combined factor of 0.9999997 which
been derived from geodetic control
monument 8779 .


PREL
end:
(2) Denotes control monument found
Denotes standord iron post found
Denotes standard iron post set

Note: This plan shows one or
more witness posts which are no set on the true corner(s). Witness posts are set along the
production of a boundary unless production of
otherwise note Some symbols and lines have
been exaggerated for clarity.
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$\frac{1}{}$ COLOURED SOUTH ELEVATION


2 Coloured north elevation (74 Avenue)


| matreal | color |
| :---: | :---: |
| (1) Fisaminc | DARE GRET |
|  | untr |
| (188) (-4) TM | sw 1006 |
|  | ${ }^{\text {sw }} 1703$ |
|  | *w 100 |
|  | ${ }^{\text {sw }} 1063$ |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Sw }} 17068$ |
|  | ${ }^{\text {su }} 1008$ |
|  | ${ }^{501} 1066$ |
|  | ${ }_{\text {sw }} 10$ |
|  | ${ }^{24} 1066$ |
| uncous soons | ${ }^{\text {wnte }}$ |
| (6].) Roulup Door | ${ }^{\text {sw }} 100$ |
| (6]) ENTr ${ }^{\text {docor }}$ | ${ }^{\text {sw}}$ |
| (46) Roon Leemult | dask greer |
| (15) Lanvocape Amea |  |


$\frac{4}{\text { (OPA-5.52) }}$ N.t.s .




1 COLOURED W ELEVATION


| MDEX | color |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ([i]) Fustura | enck |  |
| (GF) guneotraling | wurs | $\square$ |
| (178) [8] Fem | ${ }^{\text {sw }} 1068$ |  |
| (91) $6^{\prime \prime}$ Heroi 1 doma | ${ }^{51} 17060$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{51} 1063$ |  |
|  | 8041063 | - 4 |
|  | 84 1060 | H-8 |
|  | ${ }^{24} 1006$ |  |
| WSe meob smaces | ${ }^{50} 1003$ | $\square$ |
|  | ${ }^{\text {sw }} 1063$ | W] |
|  | 8w 1006 |  |
| uncous soons | ${ }_{\text {wnte }}$ |  |
| (10) Roulwp door | ${ }^{501} 1068$ |  |
| (06) Entre docr | ${ }^{30} 60088$ |  |
| (बG) Roor nemalr | dark grer |  |
|  | fun uwams |  |

2 coloured e elevation

 -
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# TO: $\quad$ Manager, Area Planning \& Development <br> - North Surrey Division <br> Planning and Development Department <br> FROM: Development Engineer, Engineering Department <br> DATE: Jun 29, $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \quad$ PROJECT FILE: $\mathbf{7 8 1 7} \mathbf{- 0 1 1 6 - 0 0}$ <br> RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements <br> Location: 1863874 Avenue 

## NC AMENDMENT

The following issues are to be addressed as a condition of the NCP Amendment:

- Pay $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ cash-in-lieu of construction for $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ Street road and utilities.


## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- dedicate 1.942 -metres along 74 Avenue collector road, plus additional 0.5-metre statutory right-of-way (SRW) along the property line.


## Works and Services

- construct 74 Avenue to the collector road standard.
- construct storm, water, and sanitary mains and service connections to service the development as per the NCP.
- implement Best Management Practices to manage storm water as per the West Clayton NCP Stage 2 Servicing Strategy and the Clayton Integrated Stormwater management Plan.
- construct downstream offsite sanitary mains (North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer) as per the NCP.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit/ Development Variance Permit.


Tommy Buchmann, P.Eng.
Development Engineer
SK

## APPENDIX IV

## Planning

July 10, 2018

THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

## APPLICATION \#:

## SUMMARY

The proposed
are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:

## Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 14 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Secondary Students: | 7 |

September 2017 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Clayton Elementary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $36 \mathrm{~K}+193$ |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Salish Secondary | \#N/A |
| Enrolment (8-12): | \#N/A |
| Capacity (8-12): |  |

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.
Katzie Elementary was opened in the spring of 2014 to relieve pressure at the existing Clayton Elementary. The Clayton area is one of the fastest growing communities because of the build out of the East Clayton NCP and development of the Aloha Estates. As of September 2017, the school has 12 portables on site used for enrolling spaces. Hazelgrove Elementary was built in 2009, and in 2011, a 4 classroom addition was added. As of September 2017, Hazelgrove has 10 portables on site used for enrolling spaces. Currently, there is a 606 elementary seat shortfall in the Clayton area and this is projected to grow to over 800 seats if no new seats are added in the next 5 years.

To meet the increasing demand for enrolling space in this area, the district is currently in design and construction for two new 605 capacity elementary schools just to the north of Katzie Elementary. Both facilities are targeting to be open September 2020 which will relieve the immediate in-catchment enrollment pressure.

As part of the District's 2018/19 Capital Plan submission to the Ministry of Education, the district is asking for a site expansion along with a 445 capacity addition to the existing Clayton Elementary targeted to open September 2024 to meet future growth in the community. This project has yet to be approved for capital funding.

A new 1500 capacity high school, Ecole Salish Secondary, is scheduled to open September 2018. The new boundaries has been established dividing the existing Clayton Heights Secondary into two catchments. Clayton Elementary will feed the new Salish Secondary when it opens in September 2018.

Clayton Elementary


Salish Secondary


[^2]
## CIS City of Surrey Mapping Online System

## Appendix V



Appendix VI


## APPENDIX VII

## MIKE FADUM AND ASSOCIATES LTD.

## Tree Preservation Summary

Surrey Project No: 17-0116-00
Address: 18638-74 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Surrey
Registered Arborist: Jeff Ross

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 35 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 35 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $32 X$ one (1) = 32 <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio 36 X two (2) $=72$ | 102 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 38 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 64 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | 92 |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 2 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio 2 X one (1) = 2 <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times$ two (2) $=0$ | 2 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | NA |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | NA |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.

| Signature of Arborist: | Date: May 8, 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |




## West Clayton NCP - Proposed Amendments



Existing Land Use Concept


Revised Land Use Concept

# APPENDIX IX <br> CITY OF SURREY 

(the "City")

## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

NO.: 7917-0116-oo
Issued To:
(the "Owner")

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 006-617-280
Lot 44 Section 21 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 31589
18638-74 Avenue
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) to reduce the minimum north yard setback of the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.0 metres ( 14 ft .) to the building face;
(b) to reduce the minimum east yard setback of the RM-3o Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.5 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face;
(c) to reduce the minimum south and west yard setbacks of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 6.0 metres ( 20 ft .) to the building face; and
(d) to vary the Zoning By-law to increase the maximum number of risers permitted within the setback area from 3 to 4 for Units 1, 3, 5, 7, 16 and 50-55.
5. This development variance permit applies to only the portion of the Land shown on Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.



[^0]:    sij
    Daniel Sohn, P.Eng.
    Development Process Manager
    M51

[^1]:    

[^2]:    * Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students. Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.

