FROM: Acting Manager, Area Planning \& Development - South Division
DATE: January 30, 2023 FILE: 7916-0415-00

## RE: Agenda Item B.4, January 30, 2023 Regular Council - Land Use Development Application No. 7916-0415-00 Replacement Page for the Planning Report

Development Application No. 7916-0415-oo is on the agenda for consideration by Council at the January 30, 2023 Regular Council - Land Use Meeting under Item B.4.

After finalizing the Planning Report, staff noticed a mistake on Page 5 regarding the type of Development Permit the site is subject to. The report noted that the proposal was subject to a Sensitive Ecosystem Development Permit, whereas this should have noted that the proposal is subject to a Hazard Lands Development Permit.

Page 5 of the Planning Report has been updated to reflect this change.
The replacement page for the Planning Report detailing this change is attached to this memorandum.


Shawn Low
Acting Manager, Area Planning \& Development - South Division
Planning \& Development Department
Attachment -7916-0415-oo- Page 5 Replacement Page
c.c. - City Manager

- General Manager, Planning \& Development
- The eastern site (1648320 Avenue) has been included to finalize the pattern, but only the southern corner of that site is proposed for rezoning and subdivision with the subject application.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant is proposing to rezone the site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" and "Semi-Detached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" to "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)", "Single Family Residential (13) Zone (RF-13)", "Single Family Residential (10) Zone (RF-10)" for Blocks A and E, for Block B, "Semi-Detached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" and "Multiple Residential 23 Zone (RM-23)", to permit subdivision into 21 single family lots and 6 row homes.
- A portion of the property to the east ( 1648320 Avenue) is included in the application to allow for the completion of the row homes proposed along 20 Avenue (proposed Lots 20 and 21)
- The application includes a house keeping amendment to the Sunnyside Heights NCP, for changes to the local road network. The proposal is consistent with approved applications to the east, and an application with conditional approval to the west.
- The proposed development consists of a mix of single family and row homes, with a total of 1 RF lot, 7 RF-13 lots, 4 RF-10 lots, 3 RF-SD lots, and 6 RM- 23 lots (row houses).
- The RM-23 lots (row houses) are subject to a Development Permit for Form \& Character. The entire site is also subject to a Sensitive Ecosystem Hazard Lands Development Permit, given the proximity to watereourses. steep slopes-
- The proposal complies with the permitted density in the Urban OCP designation and the Medium Density 10-15 upa designation in the Sunnyside Heights NCP.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed |  |
| Lot Area | 9,903 square metres + portion of eastern site for Lots 20 and 21 |
| Gross Site Area: | 3,368 square metres |
| Road Dedication: | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Undevelopable Area: | 6,535 square metres |
| Net Site Area: | 21 lots |
| Number of Lots: | 32 units per hectare / 13 units per acre |
| Unit Density: | 198 square metres to 644 square metres |
| Range of Lot Sizes | 6.4 metres to 15.4 metres |
| Range of Lot Widths | 23.7 metres to 41.8 metres |
| Range of Lot Depths | $50-54 \%$ |
| Lot Coverage for RM-23: |  |



PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT

Application No.: 7916-0415-00

Planning Report Date: January 30, 2023

## PROPOSAL:

- Rezoning of portions of the site from RA and RFSD to RF, RF-13, RF-10, RF-SD and RM-23
- NCP amendment for changes to the local road network
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of 6 row house units and a total of 21 single family lots.

| LOCATION: | 1643720 Avenue |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1648320 Avenue |
| ZONING: | RA |

OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: Medium Density Residential 10-15 upa


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character and Hazard Lands.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposing an amendment to the Sunnyside Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP), for changes the road network, as already established by previously approved adjacent applications.
- Proposing to reduce the lot depth requirements of the "Single Family Residential (13) Zone (RF-13)".


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Urban designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The proposal complies with the Medium Density Residential 10-15 upa designation in the Sunnyside Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP). An amendment to the NCP is proposed to for changes to the road network, as established by adjacent applications.
- The proposal complies with the General Urban designation in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of Sunnyside Heights.
- The proposal complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Hazards Lands (Steep Slope).
- The proposal complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Form and Character.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the Sunnyside Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) and in accordance with the Development Permit (Form and Character) design guidelines in the OCP. The proposed setbacks are consistent with other developments in the area.
- The proposed building achieves an attractive architectural built form, which utilizes high quality, natural materials and contemporary lines. The street interface has been designed to a high quality to achieve a positive urban experience between the proposed building and the public realm. The mix of zones and the addition of row houses will assist in providing housing choices in the community.
- The proposed lot depth reduction is required due to the established road alignment from the adjacent development. The small reduction is from 24 metres to 23.7 metres.


## RECOMMENDATION

## The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to rezone a portion of the subject site, as shown on the attached Survey Plan (Appendix I) from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Single Family Residential (13) Zone (RF-13)" for Blocks A and E, to "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" for Block B, to "Semi-Detached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" for Block C, to "Single Family Residential (10) Zone (RF-10)" for Blocks D and F, and from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" and "Semi-Detached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" to the "Multiple Residential 23 Zone (RM-23)" for Block G, and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7916-o415-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix I) and the finalized Geotechnical Report.
3. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7916-o415-oo (Appendix VII) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum lot depth of the RF-13 Zone for Type II lots from 24 metres to 23.7 metres for proposed Lots 11,12 , and 13 .
4. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(f) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant / Easement to allow cross-access and maintenance for the RM-23 lots;
(i) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to prohibit secondary suites on the RM-23 lots; and
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant requiring the owner to develop the site in accordance with the conditions in the Geotechnical Report.
5. Council pass a resolution to amend the Sunnyside Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to adjust the road network when the project is considered for final adoption.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Single family dwelling | Medium Density <br> Residential 10-15 upa | RA |
| North (Across <br> 21 Avenue): | Single family small lots | Medium Density <br> Residential 10-15 upa | RF-9 |
| East: | Single family small lots, and <br> Development Application No. <br> 7917-o412-oo for single family <br> small lots | Medium Density <br> Residential 10-15 upa | RF-10, RF-12, RF- <br> SD |
| South (Across <br> 20 Avenue): | Townhouses | Multiple Residential 30- <br> 45 upa and Grandview <br> Ridge Trail | CD By-law No. <br> 19102 |
| West: | Vacant site, under <br> Development Application No. <br> 7916-o154-oo for single family <br> small lots | Medium Density <br> Residential 10-15 upa <br> and Riparian Protection <br> Area | RA |

## Context \& Background

- The subject 0.99 hectare site consists of one property located at 1673420 Avenue on the north side of 20 Avenue, in the Sunnyside Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) area. The property is zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" and "Semi-Detached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" and is designated Urban in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and Medium Density 10-15 upa and road, in the Sunnyside Heights NCP.
- The applicant is proposing small single-family lots, with a mix of zones, compatible with the adjacent proposals. Adjustments to the road network are required to continue the road pattern established by adjacent applications.
- There is a Class B watercourse further west, whose setbacks do not impact the proposal. There is also a roadside ditch on the north side of 20 Avenue, which the applicant has confirmed is not considered a stream under the Water Sustainability Act (WSA).
- The site is moderately sloped, with the higher elevation on the northeast portion, and the lower elevation on the southwest portion of the site. The slope at the southwest portion of the site is steeper and the site is subject to a Development Permit for Hazard Lands (Steep Slope).
- The eastern site (16483 20 Avenue) has been included to finalize the pattern, but only the southern corner of that site is proposed for rezoning and subdivision with the subject application.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant is proposing to rezone the site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" and "Semi-Detached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" to "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)", "Single Family Residential (13) Zone (RF-13)", "Single Family Residential (10) Zone (RF-10)" for Blocks A and E, for Block B, "Semi-Detached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" and "Multiple Residential 23 Zone (RM-23)", to permit subdivision into 21 single family lots and 6 row homes.
- A portion of the property to the east (16483 20 Avenue) is included in the application to allow for the completion of the row homes proposed along 20 Avenue (proposed Lots 20 and 21)
- The application includes a house keeping amendment to the Sunnyside Heights NCP, for changes to the local road network. The proposal is consistent with approved applications to the east, and an application with conditional approval to the west.
- The proposed development consists of a mix of single family and row homes, with a total of 1 RF lot, 7 RF-13 lots, 4 RF-1o lots, 3 RF-SD lots, and 6 RM- 23 lots (row houses).
- The RM-23 lots (row houses) are subject to a Development Permit for Form \& Character. The entire site is also subject to a Sensitive Ecosystem Development Permit, given the proximity to watercourses.
- The proposal complies with the permitted density in the Urban OCP designation and the Medium Density 10-15 upa designation in the Sunnyside Heights NCP.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed |  |
| Lot Area | 9,903 square metres + portion of eastern site for Lots 20 and 21 |
| Groas Site Area: | 3,368 square metres |
| Undevelopable Area: | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Net Site Area: | 6,535 square metres |
| Number of Lots: | 21 lots |
| Unit Density: | 32 units per hectare / 13 units per acre |
| Range of Lot Sizes | 198 square metres to 644 square metres |
| Range of Lot Widths | 6.4 metres to 15.4 metres |
| Range of Lot Depths | 23.7 metres to 41.8 metres |
| Lot Coverage for RM-23: | $50-54 \%$ |

## Referrals

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix II.

School District: The School District has advised that there will be approximately 18 school-age children generated by this development, of which the School District has provided the following expected student enrollment.

8 Elementary students at Edgewood Elementary School 5 Secondary students at Grandview Heights Secondary School
(Appendix III)

Note that the number of school-age children is greater than the expected enrollment due to students attending private schools, home school or different school districts.

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by Spring 2024.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Parks, Recreation \& } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Edgewood Park is the closest active park and contains amenities } \\
\text { including, a soccer field, multi-sport court, a playground, and }\end{array}
$$ <br>
natural area. The park is 300 metres walking distance from the <br>

development.\end{array}\right\}\)| Surrey Fire Department: | No concerns. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Advisory Design Panel: | The application was not referred to the ADP but was reviewed by <br> staff and found satisfactory. |

## Transportation Considerations

- The applicant is required to dedicate land for roads for 20 Avenue, 20 A Avenue, 21 Avenue, 164A Street and lanes.
- Access to the new lots are proposed from the lanes for the RF-10, RM-23 and RF-SD lots, and from the local roads for the RF and RF- 13 lots.
- The closest bus route is on 24 Avenue, approximately 800 metres to the north of the site.
- For bicycle infrastructure, there is a multi-use pathway on the north side of 20 Avenue, which is partially built. There is a north-south multi-use pathway on 168 Street, also partially built. The closest bike lanes are on 24 Avenue to the north, and 16 Avenue to the south.


## Natural Area Considerations

- There are two watercourses in proximity to the subject property- one to the west and one to the south. The watercourse to the west does not impact the proposed development with the minimum 20 metre setback from top of bank being provided (Class B). The watercourse to the south has been confirmed to not be considered a stream under the Water Sustainability Act (WSA). Given these watercourses do not affect the subject property, a Sensitive Ecosystem Development Permit (Streamside Areas) is not being required.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met all of the typical sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The proposal complies with the General Urban designation in the Regional Growth Strategy.


## Official Community Plan

## Land Use Designation

- The proposal complies with the Urban designation in the Official Community Plan.


## Themes/Policies

A.1.1 Support compact and efficient land development that is consistent with the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
A.1.2 Ensure that urban development occurs within the Urban Containment Boundary.

The proposal is consistent with the General Urban designation in the RGS and is within the Urban Containment Boundary.

B4.7 Design housing units to front directly onto public streets and/or public spaces, in order to facilitate a safe, welcoming, public streetscape and public realm.

B4.8 Maintain an attractive, pedestrian-friendly streetscape by requiring detached housing, with less than 12 m frontage along a public street, to be accessed from back lanes.

Units will face the street, with access from a the lane, where available. Only the larger RF and RF-13 lots on the north portion of the site will have front-loading driveways.

B6.2 Integrate principles of urban design into the planning of neighbourhoods and centres and as part of development review processes, including: Ensuring compatibility of scale, massing and architecture with adjacent sites, Providing diversity, choice and variety within a coherent, consistent physical environment.

The proposal provides a variety of housing types for the neighbourhood and complies with the permitted density.

B6.4 Ensure new development responds to the existing architectural character and scale of its surroundings, creating compatibility between adjacent sites and within neighbourhoods.

The proposal is consistent with adjacent developments.
B6.1o Achieve a finer-grained network of streets, lanes and walkways when developing neighbourhood plans and when redeveloping larger properties to create interesting urban spaces and high quality pedestrian experiences (as shown on Figure 23).

The proposal complements the established road network in the neighbourhood and provides local roads and lanes.

## Secondary Plans

## Land Use Designation

- The proposal complies with the density and intent of the Medium Density Residential 10-15 upa designation in the Sunnyside Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- An amendment to the NCP is proposed to adjust the road network.


## Amendment Rationale

- The proposed amendment is a housekeeping item, to adjust the road network for consistency, as established by adjacent applications.
- The proposed development is not subject the Tier 2 Capital Plan Project CACs, as the amendment does not represent an increase in density.


## Themes/Objectives

- Promote a healthy community by development of a highly walkable community with pedestrian networks interconnecting with trails, parks and corridors.
- Provide an interconnected street network in a grid or modified grid pattern, combined with greenways, to ensure a variety of itineraries and to disperse traffic congestion, enabling residents to walk or cycle to schools, parks, services and facilities.
- Provide a range of housing types, densities and forms to allow a range of housing choices for people across the spectrum of income, ability, family type and age.
- Enhance public safety and promote social interaction in neighbourhoods, by designing dwellings to provide "eyes on the public realm".

The proposal is consistent with the design principles noted above for the Sunnyside Heights $N C P$, and provides a variety of housing types, along with the completion of a finer grid road network and activation of the streets.

## Zoning By-law

- The applicant proposes to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" and "Semi-Detached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" to "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)", "Single Family Residential (13) Zone (RF-13)", "Single Family Residential (10) Zone (RF-10)", "SemiDetached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" and "Multiple Residential 23 Zone (RM-23)".
- The following are proposed:

```
O 1 RF lot
- 7RF-13 lots
- 4 RF-10 lots
- 3RF-SD lots (semi-detached houses)
- 6 RM-23 lots (row houses)
```

- The table below provides an analysis of the development proposal in relation to the requirements of the Zoning By-law, including the "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)", "Single Family Residential (13) Zone (RF-13)", "Single Family Residential (10) Zone (RF-10)", "Semi-Detached Residential Zone (RF-SD)" and "Multiple Residential 23 Zone (RM-23)"., streamside setbacks and parking requirements.
- The overall density is 32 units per hectare for the entire proposal.

Proposed Lot 1

| RF Zone (Part 16) | Permitted and/or Required | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unit Density: |  | 14.8 dwellings per hectare |
| 14.8 dwellings per hectare |  |  |
| Front Yard (North): |  | 7.5 metres |
| Side Yard (East/West): | 1.8 metres | 7.5 metres |
| Rear (South): | 7.5 metres | 1.8 metres |
| Lot Size |  | 560 sq. m. |
| Lot Size: | 15 metres | 644 sq. m. |
| Lot Width: | 28 metres | 15.4 metres |
| Lot Depth: | Required | 41.8 metres |
| Parking (Part 5) | 3 | Proposed |
| Number of Spaces |  | 3 |


| RF-13 Zone (Part 16B) | Permitted and/or Required | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | 28 dwellings per hectare |  |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |
| Front Yard: <br> Side Yard: <br> Side Yard Flanking: <br> Rear: | 6.0 metres <br> 1.2 metres <br> 2.4 metres <br> 7.5 metres | 6.0 metres <br> 1.2 metres <br> 2.4 metres <br> 7.5 metres |
| Lot Size |  |  |
| Lot Size: <br> Lot Width: <br> Lot Depth: | Interior: 336 sq. m. <br> Corner: 380 sq. m. <br> Interior: Type I: 12 metres <br> Interior Type II: 13.4 metres <br> Corner Type II: 15.4 metres <br> Type I: 28 metres <br> Type II: 24 metres | Interior: 345 sq. m. -523 sq. m. <br> Corner: 390 sq. m. <br> Interior Type I (Lot 5), 12 m <br> Interior Type II: $13.4-15.2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Corner Type II, Lot 2: 15.4 m <br> Type I, Lot 5: 28 m <br> Lots 2, 3, and 4: 24 m <br> Lots 11, 12 and 13: 23.7 m (DVP) |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required | Proposed |
| Number of Spaces | 3 spaces per lot $\mathrm{X}_{7}$ lots | 21 spaces |

Proposed Lots 8, 9, 10 and 14

| RF-10 Zone (Part ${ }^{17} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Permitted and/or Required | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | 31 units per hectare |  |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |
| Front Yard: | 4.0 metres | 4.0 metres |
| Side Yard: | 1.2 metres | 1.2 metres |
| Side Yard Flanking: | 2.7 metres | 2.7 metres |
| Rear | 7.5 metres | 7.5 metres |
| Lot Size |  |  |
| Lot Size: | Interior Type I: 291 sq. m. | Interior Type I: $302 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. |
|  | Interior Type III: 324 sq. m. | Interior Type III: 324 sq. m. |
| Lot Width: | Interior Type I: 9.7 metres | Interior Type I: 9.7 metres |
|  | Interior Type III: 9.0 metres | Interior Type III: 9.7 metres |
| Lot Depth: | Interior Type I: 30 metres | Interior Type I: 31.1 metres |
| Streamside (Part 7A) | Required | Proposed |
| Streamside Setbacks |  |  |
| Class B (yellow-coded) Stream: | 30 metres | 30 metres |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required | Proposed |
| Number of Spaces | 3 spaces per lot $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ lots | 12 spaces |


| Proposed Lots 6 and 7 |
| :--- |
| RF-SD Zone (Part 17H) Permitted and/or Required Proposed <br> Unit Density: 37 units per hectare  <br> Yards and Setbacks   <br> Front Yard (S): $3.5 \mathrm{~m} / 6 \mathrm{~m}$ for garage $3.5 \mathrm{~m} / 6 \mathrm{~m}$ for garage <br> Side Yard (E): $1.2 \mathrm{~m} / 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ for garage $1.2 \mathrm{~m} / 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ for garage <br> Side Yard Flanking (W): $2.7 \mathrm{~m} / 5.9 \mathrm{~m}$ for garage $2.7 \mathrm{~m} / 5.9 \mathrm{~m}$ for garage <br> Rear (N): $6.5 \mathrm{~m} / 6.5 \mathrm{~m}$ for garage $6.5 \mathrm{~m} / 6.5 \mathrm{~m}$ for garage <br> Lot Size   <br> Lot Size: Interior: 200 sq. m. Interior: $287 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. <br>  Corner: 226 sq. m. Corner: 316 sq. m. <br> Lot Width: Interior: 7.2 metres Interior: 8.0 metres <br>  Corner: 8.7 metres Corner: 9.0 metres <br> Lot Depth: 28 metres 35.7 metres <br> Parking (Part 5) Required Proposed <br> Number of Spaces 2 spaces per lot X 2 lots 4 spaces |


| RM-23 Zone (Part 21A) | Permitted and/or Required | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | 57 units per hectare |  |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |
| Front Yard (S): Side Yard (E/W): Rear (N): | 3.5 metres <br> o. 0 metres / 1.2 metres <br> 12.5 metres / 0.5 m for garage | 3.8 metres <br> o.o metres / 1.2 metres <br> 14.5 metres / 0.9 m for garage |
| Lot Size |  |  |
| Lot Size: <br> Lot Width: <br> Lot Depth: | Internal: 165 sq. m. <br> End: $200 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. <br> Internal: 6.3 metres <br> End: 7.2 metres <br> 28 metres | Internal: 198-201 sq. m. End: 229-232 sq. m. Internal: 6.4-6.5 metres End: 7.4-7.5 metres 31.1 metres |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required | Proposed |
| Number of Spaces | 2 spaces per lot X 6 lots | 12 spaces |

- The row houses (RM-23 lots) are proposed with a restrictive covenant to prohibit secondary suites. The design of the units provides for a flex room in the potential basements, but there is not enough space on the lot to provide additional parking, so the RC will help inform owners that the space is for flexibility and work from home options, but not to be used as a separate suite.


## Lot Depth Variance

- The applicant is requesting the following variance:
- to reduce the minimum lot depth of the RF-13 Zone for Type II lots from 24 metres to 23.7 metres for proposed Lots 11,12 , and 13 .
- The proposed variance is required to accommodate the previously established road pattern. The units to the east of the proposed $\mathrm{RF}-13$ lots are RF-1o lots, which required a reduced depth. In order to complete the road network, this variance is required.
- Staff support the requested variances to proceed for consideration.


## Lot Grading and Building Scheme

- The applicant retained Tejeshwar Singh of Simplex Consultants Ltd as the Design Consultant. The Design Consultant conducted a character study of the surrounding homes and based on the findings of the sturdy, proposed a set of building design guidelines (Appendix V ).
- Styles recommended for this site include neo-traditional, with 1 storey front entrances, roof pitch of minimum 4:12, cladding materials include stucco, hardiplank, brick and stone, and natural and neutral colours.
- A preliminary lot grading plan, submitted by Hub Engineering Inc., and dated June 14, 2022, has been reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable. The applicant does propose in-ground basements. The feasibility of in-ground basements will be confirmed once the City's Engineering Department has reviewed and accepted the applicant's final engineering drawings.


## Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

- On December 16, 2019, Council approved the City's Community Amenity Contribution and Density Bonus Program Update (Corporate Report No. R224; 2019). The intent of that report was to introduce a new City-wide Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) and updated Density Bonus Policy to offset the impacts of growth from development and to provide additional funding for community capital projects identified in the City's Annual Five-Year Capital Financial Plan.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 1 Capital Plan Project CACs. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Final Subdivision Approval. The current rate is $\$ 2,000$ per new unit. For the RM- 23 lots (row homes), the Tier 1 CACs will be collected at Building Permit.
- The proposed development will not be subject to the Tier 2 Capital Plan Project CACs as the proposal complies with the densities in the Secondary Plan designation.


## Affordable Housing Strategy

- On April 9, 2018, Council approved the City's Affordable Housing Strategy (Corporate Report No. Ro66; 2018) requiring that all new rezoning applications for residential development contribute $\$ 1$, ooo per new unit to support the development of new affordable housing. The funds collected through the Affordable Housing Contribution will be used to purchase land for new affordable rental housing projects.
- The applicant will be required to contribute $\$ 1,000$ per new lot to support the development of new affordable housing. This is applicable to all new single family lots.
- The applicant will be required to register a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant for the proposed row homes (RM-23 zoned lots) to address the City's needs with respect to the City's

Affordable Housing Strategy as these fees are collected at the Building Permit stage for these lots.

## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Pre-notification letters were sent on November 18, 2022, and the Development Proposal Signs were installed on December 02, 2022. The application was also referred to the Grandview Heights Stewardship Association and the Little Campbell Watershed Society. Staff received no responses.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Hazard Lands (Steep Slope) Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Hazard Lands (Steep Slope) Development Permit Area (DPA) in the OCP, given that a portion of the site is within 10 metres from the base of a slope in excess of $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$. The Hazard Land (Steep Slope) Development Permit is required to protect developments from hazardous conditions.
- The site slopes down from north to south, and from east to west. The site gets steeper at the southwest portion.
- A geotechnical report, prepared by Tegbir Bajwa, P. Eng., of Able Geotechnical Ltd was submitted and dated January 15, 2023. A peer review of the findings will be required prior to Final Approval. The finalized geotechnical report will be incorporated into the Development Permit.
- The geotechnical report indicated that no sign of slope instability or ground movement were noticed. The site topography was consistent with the terrain. No unusual topography was observed. Unusual topography can be indication of past soil movements. Areas of pooling or unusual channels can be indications of past or ongoing soil movement. No such irregularities were noted.
- The geotechnical report investigated issues related to slope stability and natural storm water drainage, from a geotechnical perspective, to determine the feasibility of development the site and proposing recommendations to ensure the ongoing stability of the slope.
- The consultant has determined that the development is feasible provided that the recommendations in their report are incorporated into the overall design of the site. There are no restrictions on tree removal or in-ground pools or connecting the roof water leaders to municipal storm. The Grading Plan indicates that the design grades will be somewhat lower than the existing grades. The proposed development would not have any slope stability impacts on adjacent properties, road ROW or nearby utilities.
- Registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant that requires the owner to develop the site in accordance with the conditions in the geotechnical report is required as a condition of final adoption.
- At Building Permit stage, the Building Division will require Letters of Assurance from a geotechnical engineer to ensure that the building plans comply with the recommendations in the approved geotechnical report.


## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed RM-23 row homes are subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character and also subject to the urban design guidelines in the Sunnyside Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The proposed development generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit guidelines in the OCP and the design guidelines in the Sunnyside Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The applicant has worked with staff to make each unit individual and with a clear entrance, and to provide a design consistent with the proposed Building Scheme.
- The applicant proposes a flex room in the potential basements, focused on increasing work from home options, with a separate entrance. As secondary suites are not permitted in the RM-23 Zone, the applicant has agreed to register a covenant prohibiting conversion of the flex space into a secondary suite.
- The proposed colours are shades of grey, with an accent light orange colour for the doors.


## Landscaping

- The landscaping consists of individual front and back yards for each unit. Trees include Red Flowering Dogwood and Bloodgood Maple, and a variety of shrubs and grass.


## Outstanding Items

- There are a limited number of Urban Design items that remain outstanding, and which do not affect the overall character or quality of the project.
- The applicant has been provided a detailed list identifying these requirements and has agreed to resolve these prior to Final Approval of the Development Permit, should the application be supported by Council.


## TREES

- Adrian Szabunio, ISA Certified Arborist of Woodridge Tree ConsultingArborists Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deciduous Trees <br> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| European Birch | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Weeping Willow | 1 | 1 | O |
| Norway Maple | 2 | 2 | O |
| Cherry Plum | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Japanese Maple | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Fig | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| English Oak | 1 | 1 | O |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Grand Fir | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Coast Redwood | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Giant Sequoia | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Western Red Cedar | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Deodar Cedar | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Sawara Cypress | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Ponderosa Pine | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Norway Spruce | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 16 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 16 |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Program | \$17,600 |  |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 30 mature trees on the site, with no Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no (zero) trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on 2 to 1 replacement ratio. This will require a total of 60 replacement trees on the site. Since only 16 replacement trees can be accommodated on the site, the deficit of 44 replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 17,600$, representing $\$ 400$ per tree, to the Green City Program, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- In summary, a total of 16 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with a contribution of $\$ 17,600$ to the Green City Program.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Survey Plan, Proposed Subdivision Layout, Site Plan, Building Elevations and
Landscape Plans

Appendix II. Engineering Summary
Appendix III. School District Comments
Appendix IV. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix V. Building Scheme Design Guidelines Summary
Appendix VI. NCP Plan
Appendix VII. Development Variance Permit No. 7916-0415-oo
approved by Shawn Low

Jeff Arason
Acting General Manager
Planning and Development
LFM./ar

## APPENDIX I.

SURVEY PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CITY OF SURREY ZONING BYLAW No. OF LOT 40 PLAN 43236 AND PART OF LOT 11 PLAN EPP114979 BOTH IN SECTION 13 TOWNSHIP 1 NEW WESTMINSTER DISTRICT

CITY OF SURREY
BCGS MAP SHEET $92 G .007$

| PILD. OO6-719-261 (LOT 40) |
| :--- |
| SUBNECT PROPERTY MAY BE AFFECTED BY |
| STATUTORY RIGHT OF WAY CA5695113 (PLAN EPP66680) |
| COVENANT CAS695115 |
| CIWC ADDRESS: \#16437 2Oth AVENUE |

P.ID. N/A (LOT 11)

| Lor | DESCRIPTION |  | PLAN | AREA | BLOCK | ZONE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PART OF LOT 40 | SECTION 13 | TOWNSHIP 1 | 43236 | 0.27 ha | BLOCK "A" | RF-13 |
| PART OF LOT 40 | SECTION 13 | TOWNSHIP 1 | 43236 | 836 mz | BLOCK " ${ }^{\text {a }}$ " | RF |
| PART OF LOT 40 | SECTION 13 | TOMNSHIP ! | 43236 | 0.12 ha | BLOCK "C" | RF-SO |
| PART OF LOT 40 | SECTION 13 | TOWNSHIP 1 | 43236 | 0.14 ha | BLOCX " $0^{\prime \prime}$ | RF-10 |
| PART OF LOT 40 | SECTION 13 | TOMNSHIP I | 43236 | 0.19 ha | BLOCK "E* | RF-15 |
| PART OF LOT 40 | SECTION 13 | TOWNSHIP 1 | 43236 | $379 \mathrm{m2}$ | BLOCK "F" | RF-10 |
| PART OF LOT 40 and PART OF LOT 11 | SECTION 13 | TOWNSHP ! | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 43236 \\ \text { EPP114979 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.16 ho | BLOCK "G" | RM-2, ${ }^{3}$ |

CIMC ADDRESS: 2Oth AVENUE


20th AVENUE
CERTFIED CORRECT ACGOROUNG TO LAND TTLE OFFICE REGOROS DATEO THIS 29H1 DAY OF NOVEMBER ,2022








## 


(1) $\frac{\text { SECOND FLOOR PLAN }}{\text { Sole: } 3 / 6 \cdot=1 \cdot 1 \cdot 0^{\prime}}$


(1) BASEMENT PLAN

(2) Matin lloor plan





1
FIBER CEMENT BOARD PANELS ( 1638 MIDNIGHT OIL)


FASCIAS/BARGE BOARDS (DARK GREY)

SOLID FACE BRICKS - MANGANESE IRONSPOT



(1) BASEMENT PLAN

(2) $\frac{\text { MAIN FLOOR PLAN }}{\text { Sale: } 3 / L^{6}=1 \cdot 0^{\prime \prime}}$

(3) SECOND FLOOR PLAN

## U.P.I


(1) BASEMENT PLAN

(2) $\frac{\text { MAIN FLOOR PLAN }}{\text { Sale } 3 / 36=c^{-1 / 0^{\prime}}}$

(3) SECOND FLOOR PLAN


(1) BASEMENT PLAN

(2) $\frac{\text { MAIN FLOOR PLAN }}{\text { Sale: } 3 / 6^{6+1 e^{10}}}$


SECOND FLOOR PLAN


(1) BASEMENT PLAN

(2) $\frac{\text { MAIN FLOOR PLAN }}{\text { Sale: } 3 / 66^{6=10^{107}}}$


3 SECOND FLOOR PLAN


(1) TYPICAL GARAGE PLAN

(3) WEST ELEVATION $\frac{\text { Sale: } 1 / 4=1:-c^{\circ}}{}$

(4) $\frac{\text { SOUTH ELEVATION }}{\text { Sale: } 144=1=10^{\circ}}$








TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- South Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: January 24, $\mathbf{2 0 2 3} \quad$ PROJECT FILE: 7816-0415-0o
RE: Engineering Requirements
Location: 1643720 Ave

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate 12.5 m along 21 Avenue;
- Dedicate $3.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ corner cut at intersection of 21 Avenue and 164A Street;
- Dedicate 5.1 m along 20 Avenue;
- Dedicate varying distances along 164A Street;
- Dedicate 3.0 mx 3.0 m corner cut at 164 A Street and 20 A Avenue;
- Dedicate 23.0 m along 20A Avenue;
- Dedicate 6.0 m along northern and southern lanes;
- Dedicate 1.0 m x 1.0 m corner cut at lane entrances; and
- Provide 0.5 m SRW along 21 Avenue, 20 Avenue, 164A Street, 20 A Avenue, northern lane, and southern lane.


## Works and Services

- Construct full width of 21 Avenue;
- Construct north side of 20 Avenue to unique Collector standard;
- Construct full width of 164 A Street and 20 A Avenue;
- Construct full width of northern and southern lane; and
- Construct storm, sanitary, and water mains along all road frontages and internal roads as required to service the proposed development, including service connections to all lots and road drainage.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit/ Development Variance Permit.


Jeff Pang, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
BY
NOTE: Detailed Land Development Engineering Review available on file

November 8, 2022

## Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

## APPLICATION \#: 16041500

## SUMMARY

The proposed 21 Single family with suites
are estimated to have the following impact
are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:

Projected enrolment at Surrey School District for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Secondary Students: | 5 |

September 2022 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Edgewood Elementary |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $109 \mathrm{~K}+675$ |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) | $95 \mathrm{~K}+512$ |
|  |  |
| Grandview Heights Secondary | 1541 |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1500 |
| Capacity (8-12): |  |


| Projected population of school-age children for this development: | 18 |
| :--- | :--- |

Population : The projected population of children aged 0-19 Impacted by the development. Enrolment: The number of students projected to attend the Surrey School District ONLY.

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

The new Edgewood Elementary opened January 2021. As of September 2022, the school has 7 portables on site. The new Ta'talu Elementary, located below 20th Avenue, is targeted to open fall of 2024. Until then, the district will rely on portables to make up the seat shortfall and possible boundary changes.

To relieve the pressure at Earl Marriot, a new 1500 capacity high school, Grandview Heights Secondary, located on 26th Ave next to the existing Pacific Heights Elementary opened September 2021. Edgewood catchment feeds two Secondary schools: Grandview Heights and Earl Marriot.

## Edgewood Elementary



Grandview Heights Secondary


* Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students. Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.


## Tree Preservation Summary

Surrey Project No: 16-0415
Address: 1643720 AVE, Surrey
Registered Arborist: Woodridge Tree Consulting Arborists Ltd., Terry Thrale - PN6766A

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 30 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 30 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - $\quad$ Alder \& Cottonwoods to be removed (1:1) $\underline{0} X \text { one }(1)=0$ <br> - $\quad$ All other species to be removed (2:1) $30 \times \operatorname{two}(2)=60$ | 60 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 16 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 44 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed Open Space or Riparian Areas | - |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :--- | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified | 19 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 19 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: |  |
| $-\quad$Alder \& Cottonwoods to be removed (1:1) <br> $19 \quad$ X one (1) = 19 <br> $-\quad$All other species to be removed (2:1) <br> $0 \quad X \quad$ two (2) =0 <br> Replacement Trees Proposed <br> Replacement Trees in Deficit |  |

Summary, report and plan prepared and submitted by:




## BUILDING GUIDELINES SUMMARY

| Surrey Project \#: | $7916-0154-00 \& 7916-0415-00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Location: | $16421 \& 1643720$ Avenue, Surrey, B.C. |
| Design Consultant: | Simplex Consultants Ltd., (Tejeshwar Singh, b.t.arch, AScT, CRD, <br> at.aibc) |

This building scheme draft is proposed for the above noted project and has been filed with the City Clerk. Below is the Residential Character Study and the Design Guidelines summary which highlights the important features and forms the basis of the draft Building Scheme.

## 1. Residential Character

### 1.1 General Description of the Existing and/or Emerging Residential Character of the Subject Site:

The area surrounding the subject property primarily has homes that are under construction. Seemingly the style of the homes being built currently in the area "traditional west coast" and "west coast modern" which range from 3000sf up to 4000 sf . There are a few existing homes that are one level bungalows.

Homes in the neighborhood include the following:

- The context homes surrounding the property which are recently built and under construction are of "traditional west coast" and "west coast modern" style homes with mid-scale massing characteristics. These homes have various roof pitches from 4:12 up to 10:12. Roof surfaces are asphalt shingles and the cladding is hardi with stone or brick accents. These newer homes can be used as context homes.


### 1.2 Features of the Existing and Surrounding Dwelling Homes Significant to the Proposed Building Scheme:

1) Context styles of homes for the proposed building scheme are "neo-traditional".
2) All context homes are 2 and 3 storey homes.
3) Front entrances are 1 storey in height.
4) Massing: Old homes are mostly traditional west coast or ranchers context.
5) Exterior cladding: variation of wall cladding materials allows for a wide range of selection for cladding.
6) Roof surface: variation of roofing materials allows for a wide range of selection for roofing.
7) Roof pitch is a minimum 4:12 for the newer context homes.

Dwelling Types/Locations: 2 and 3 storey split levels.
Exterior Treatment $\quad$ Context homes are clad in stucco, or vinyl siding,
/Materials: $\quad$ and have a stone or brick accent veneer.

Roof Pitch and Materials: A variety of roofing products have been used, and a variety could be permitted.

Window/Door Details: Rectangle or arched.
Streetscape: The neighborhood is fairly new with a similar character within each dwelling. Homes include West Coast Modern style 2 and 3 storey homes that meet modern massing design, modem trim and detailing standards, and modem roofing and construction materials standards. Landscapes range from "modest old urban" to "moderate modern urban".

## 2. Proposed Design Guidelines

### 2.1 Proposed Design Solutions:

Dwelling Types/Location: 2 storey or 3 storey split levels.

Interfacing Treatment with existing dwellings

## Restrictions on Dwellings

Exterior Materials:

Colours:

Roof Pitch:
Roof Materials:

Strong relationship with neighboring "context homes" including new homes will be of a similar home type and size. Similar massing characteristics, roof types, roof pitches, roofing materials, and siding materials.

No basement entry homes.

Stucco, Hardiplank, Brick, and Stone.
'Natural" colours such as browns, greens, clays, and other earth-tones, and 'Neutral' colors such as grey, white, and cream are permitted. "Primary" colors in subdued tones such as navy blue, or forest green can be considered as approved by the consultant. Colours such as pink, rose, peach, salmon are not permitted.

Minimum roof pitch must be 4:12.
Shake profile concrete roof tiles, and shake profile asphalt shingles with a raised ridge caps are permitted in Grey,

Brown, or Black.

In-ground basements:

Landscaping:

Tree Planting Deposit.

Permitted subject to determination that service invert locations are sufficiently below grade. Basements will appear underground from the front.

Landscaping:Moderate modem urban standard: minimum 15 shrubs of a minimum 3 gallon pot size. Sod from street to face of home. Driveways: exposed aggregate, interlocking masonry pavers, stamped concrete, or "broom" or "brush-finished" concrete.
\$1,000 (to developer)

- $50 \%$ will be refunded after inspection by developer
- Remaining $50 \%$ one year after completion of construction

Compliance Deposit: $\quad \$ 5,000$ (to developer)

Summary prepared and submitted by: Simplex Consultants Ltd.

Date: October 30, 2021

Reviewed and Approved by:
Tejeshwar Singh, b.t.arch, AScT, CRD, at.aibc

Date: October 30, 2021


## Grandview Heights NCP Area \#2 (Sunnyside Heights)

# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7916-0415-oo
Issued To:

Address of Owner:

Issued To:

Address of Owner:

> (the "Owners")

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 006-719-261
Lot 40 Section 13 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan 43236
1643720 Avenue
Parcel Identifier: 030-133-726
Lot 18 Section 13 Township 1 New Westminster District Plan EPP67538
1648320 Avenue
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

## Parcel Identifier:

(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Subsection K. Subdivision, of Part 16B Single Family Residential (13) Zone, the minimum lot depth for Type II lots is varied from 24 metres to 23.7 metres, for proposed Lots 11, 12, and 13 .
5. This development variance permit applies to only the portion of the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse unless the subdivision, as conceptually shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit, is registered in the New Westminster Land Title Office within three (3) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.
AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 .
ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20.

Mayor - Brenda Locke

City Clerk - Jennifer Ficocelli

SCHEDULE A.


