
Monday, April 18, 1994

Council Chamber
City Hall
14245 - 56 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
Monday, April 18, 1994
Time: 4:30 p.m.

Present: Councillor Huot - Chairperson; Councillor McCallum, Councillor Lewin, Councillor Higginbotham, Councillor McKinnon and Councillor Villeneuve. Councillor Robinson and Councillor Watkins entered the meeting as noted in the minutes.

Absent: Mayor Bose

Also

Present: City Manager, City Clerk, and W. Hyndman - Administrative Assistant.

A. CORPORATE REPORTS

1. The following Corporate Reports, under date of April 18, 1994, were considered, and dealt with as follows:

Item No. C121 New Tree Preservation By-law Status Report
(7000-001)

The General Manager of Planning & Development submitted a report to update Council on the staff's review of the Tree Preservation By-law.

The General Manager of Planning & Development was recommending that the information be received.

Councillor Robinson entered the meeting at 4:35 p.m.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

It was Moved by Councillor Villeneuve

Seconded by Councillor Lewin

That the information be received.

Carried

Item No. C120 City Visual Identity Logo

(0860-002)

The Manager of Public Affairs submitted a report concerning the City visual identity logo.

The Manager of Public Affairs was recommending that Council adopt the attached Logo as a Civic Symbol.

Paul Griffin, Manager of Public Affairs, distributed a copy of the letter sent to graphic designers within Surrey requesting their submissions for the development of a new corporate identity logo for the City. It was noted that 24 submissions were received from 8 different firms; these were subsequently reviewed by a Committee. Committee members included the President of the Surrey Heritage Advisory Committee and City Staff.

Mr. Griffin added that the cost of the design was within the \$3,000 budget limit.

Reactions from Councillors varied from support to concern that the proposed logo does not reflect the rural nature of the community.

Councillor Watkins entered the meeting at 4:50 p.m.

It was suggested that a selection of the designs be taken out to the public for their input.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

It was Moved by Councillor Higginbotham
Seconded by Councillor McKinnon
That Council adopt the Logo as Surrey's Civic Symbol.

Before the motion was put:

It was Moved by Councillor Villeneuve
Seconded by Councillor Robinson
That the recommendation for approval of the proposed Logo be tabled, and further, that a selection of alternative logo designs be taken to the public for input.

Carried with Councillors Watkins, Higginbotham and Huot against.

B. ITEMS REFERRED BY COUNCIL

C. DELEGATIONS

1. Canadian Museum of Flight & Transportation
(0525-002; 0065-012)

Messrs. Jack Meadows and George Proulx were in attendance to request that Council give consideration to the Museum of Flight remaining at its present location.

Mr. Meadows read from a prepared statement, which he then submitted along with a letter from the part-time employee at the Museum.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

It was Moved by Councillor Villeneuve

Seconded by Councillor Lewin

That the documentation presented by Mr. Meadows be received.

Carried

Councillor Robinson left the meeting at 5:10 p.m.

Mr. Meadows discussed the requirement that they vacate the present location by June 1995. He stated that to move the very large and fragile collection of aircraft would incur a substantial cost and commented that a figure of \$1 million has been suggested. He added that this is a volunteer organization and does not have that kind of money. Mr. Meadows submitted a copy of the financial statements. Mr. Meadows then pointed out that a suitable alternative site does not exist in Surrey and stated that in order to finance the move, they would be forced to sell off a substantial part of the collection.

Mr. Meadows urged Council to reconsider the decision to relocate the Museum and instead asked that staff be directed to enter into discussions with the Museum on the basis of it remaining at its present location.

Mr. Meadows then commented on a suggestion that overflow parking from the Stewart Farmhouse could be accommodated by the Museum's parking area. He pointed out that the Museum has only 25 spaces, which they find are insufficient for their own needs. He submitted a copy of photographs illustrating the parking situation at the Museum.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

It was Moved by Councillor McKinnon

Seconded by Councillor Lewin

That the photographs be received.

Carried

Councillor Robinson returned to the meeting at 5:25 p.m.

2. Dave Hemsworth & Les Whitford

(2126-204)

Messrs. Hemsworth and Whitford appeared before Council to express their support of the Local Area Plan for the Rosemary Heights area.

Mr. Hemsworth submitted a pamphlet for Council's information.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

It was Moved by Councillor Lewin

Seconded by Councillor McKinnon

That the pamphlet be received.

Carried

Mr. Hemsworth advised that in the area west of 152 Street, 91% of the residents support the plan drafted by City staff. He added that staff have done a first-rate job preparing it. Mr. Hemsworth referred to the pamphlet distributed to Council, pointing out that Section A contains the rezoning application and the Rosemary Estates Ltd. development concept. He further advised that Section C contains letters of support from the community.

Mr. Hemsworth then commented on some discontentment in the central area and suggested that Council direct staff to address this through the NCP process.

3. Ms. Blair Lockhart - Blake, Cassels & Graydon

Barristers & Solicitors

(6893-0452-00)

Ms. Blair Lockhart appeared before Council to make appropriate representation on behalf of their client, Penn-Co. Construction Company Ltd., and requested Council's favourable consideration with respect to Temporary Industrial Use Permit 6893-0452-00 on property located at 9703 - 160 Street.

Ms. Lockhart advised that as the result of a dispute, Penn-Co terminated its arrangement with a previous sub-contractor. Consequently, Super Soil have been retained to screen the soil and remove it from the site, requiring a Temporary Use Permit. Super Soil continued screening the soil on the understanding that while the application was in process, they could proceed with the job.

Ms. Lockart then referenced letters of complaint from Ms. Rzepka and commented that these concerns have been addressed, she also explained that complaints arose as a result of actions taken by the previous sub-contractor. Ms. Lockart then submitted a letter from Ms. Rzepka confirming that she has no objection to Super Soil screening the existing dirt on the site.

D. DELEGATION REQUESTS

E. COUNCIL MEMBERS' REPORTS

F. OTHER COMPETENT BUSINESS

1. Council Summer Meeting Schedule

(0065-001)

Memo from the City Clerk recommending Council give consideration to the selection of either Option A or Option B with respect to the summer meeting schedule.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

It was Moved by Councillor Robinson

Seconded by Councillor Higginbotham

That no Council meetings be scheduled during the month of August, in accordance with Option A proposed by the Clerk.

Carried with Councillor Watkins against.

G. ADJOURNMENT

It was Moved by Councillor Robinson

Seconded by Councillor Watkins

That the Council-in-Committee meeting do now adjourn.

Carried

The Council-in-Committee meeting adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

CLKMIN 3594