
Monday, March 12, 1990

Committee Room
Municipal Hall
14245 - 56 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
Time: 4:15 p.m.

Present: Chairman - Alderman Robinson; Mayor Bose; Alderman McKinnon, Alderman Hunt, and Alderman Ralston.

Alderman Schrenk, Alderman Higginbotham, Alderman Villeneuve and Alderman Fomich entered the meeting as indicated in the minutes.

Also Present: Municipal Manager, Deputy Municipal Manager, Municipal Clerk and Marg Jones - Administrative Assistant.

A. DELEGATIONS

1. Dr. S. Glen, Chief of Staff, Surrey Memorial Hospital

Dr. Glen was unable to attend the meeting.

2. Cloverdale Community Association

Mrs. Judy Grant was in attendance on behalf of the Cloverdale Community Association to express the Association's concerns with dangerous dogs in the community.

Aldermen Shrenk, Higginbotham and Villeneuve entered the meeting at 4:18 p.m.

Mrs. Grant submitted a brief to the Committee and described the attack on her daughter Ashley by a rottweiler.

Mrs. Grant outlined the objects of the Association which include:

1. To have the two rottweilers belonging to Robert Karoway of 18455 - 60 Avenue removed from the property and held at the Surrey S.P.C.A. until a judgment is made regarding the status on May 25, 1990.
2. To recommend changes to the existing by-laws controlling vicious dogs in Surrey that will ultimately deter people from owning vicious dogs and insure swift action in removing vicious dogs from our community.
3. To suggest that Council allow the Provincial and Federal governments, if necessary, to amend the

Municipal Act and criminal code of Canada to allow these amendments of the vicious dog by-law to take place.

Mrs. Grant then presented the recommendations of the Association:

1. The impound fee of \$200 be increased to \$500 or \$1,000 for a vicious and be considered a bond rather than an impound fee.
2. That a dog involved in an attack on either a person or another animal be impounded and held for a minimum of 10 days while being checked for rabies or other diseases, and permanent identification be required either by tattooing, or the new system of microchip implantation.
3. That prior to a dog that has been involved in an attack being released to its owners, a declaration be signed indicating that the owner understands and agrees to insure that the dog is not permitted to run at large or be allowed to be off a leash or out of a secured pen to insure public safety (this is currently the policy at the Surrey Pound).
4. That the owner of a dog that has been identified as a vicious dog be required to obtain liability insurance in the amount of \$1,000,000 satisfactory to the Municipality of Surrey.
5. Surrey's By-law No. 6037 already specifies the requirements for a pen to secure a vicious dog such as pit bull. The specifications could be more specific.
6. That higher levels of government be lobbied to change the law to allow peace officers to enter premises, including dwellings, to seize vicious dogs when the owner of the dog is unwilling to turn the animal over to the authorities.

3. Semiahmoo Centre For the Arts

A delegation was in attendance on behalf of the Semiahmoo Centre for the Arts to discuss funding of an Arts Centre in South Surrey.

The delegation noted that this facility would serve a substantial area of south Surrey. The project has been 3-1/2 years in planning, assessment and fund raising. The uses of the facility will be a 50/50 split of Surrey and White Rock patrons. The existing facility cannot meet the demands of the area. The delegation noted other shared facilities between the Municipality and the City of White Rock. The delegation further pointed out that this facility will fit all age groups and provide many family activities. The size of this proposed facility is comparable to the Surrey Arts Centre.

The delegation advised that the proposed location is in White Rock. It is proposed that the facility be managed and operated by two non-profit organizations which would include the White Rock Players group and White Rock and District Arts Council. The facility will be managed by a Steering Committee from both organizations. With this management proposal there will be a 60-70% cost saving. The administrative costs can be shared between the two groups.

On commenting on the cost of the facility, the delegation advised that \$150,000 in existing equipment will be donated, \$600,000 for land, and noted that \$170,000 has been raised over a period of five years. The delegation advised that they are willing to work with Surrey so that services will not be duplicated.

For the project, approximately \$1.5 million will be raised in the community. The delegation requested that Council acknowledge the donations to the centre and support the project with a financial contribution of \$250,000.

4. P.L. Duffey

Mr. Duffey was in attendance to request reconsideration of their rezoning application to allow the development of a 36-hole golf course and clubhouse.

Mr. Duffey commented on the application advising that 2/3 of the golf course has been moved, using the full east side of the canal. This reduces the intrusion into the agricultural land east and west by approximately 600 metres. Mr. Duffey advised that they would like to have a commitment from Council prior to engaging an architect for the project. As well, the revision to this project will create a viable farm on the bottom land. Mr. Duffey pointed out that they are still committed to a 36-hole component.

In commenting on the suitability of the soils for farming, Mr. Duffey advised that approximately \$1,000,000 would have to be spent to make the soil viable for farming. As well, Mr. Duffey pointed out that they are offering to repay the ARDSA grant.

In concluding his presentation, Mr. Duffey pointed out that this is a \$10 million project and they are not trying to flip land. Mr. Duffey advised that they have 17 years experience in the golf course business and will operate and manage the facility for the Stewarts. This project will create approximately 148 jobs that can be filled by local residents.

B. MANAGER'S REPORT

1. Manager's report to be considered.

WHALLEY PROJECT

Mr. Spaxman, Consultant, and Mr. Atchison, Project Manager, were in attendance to review the progress of the Whalley Project. Mr. Atchison outlined the proposed schedule noting that two public meetings are proposed. As well, there will be a monthly status report on the development. The public participation program is getting under way now. The implementation program will include phasing, the financial plan, organization and policies. The goal of the project team is to facilitate, coordinate and undertake. Mr. Atchison reviewed the objectives of communication strategies and the market campaign.

Mr. Atchison then reviewed the funding for the project noting that a total additional funding amount of \$153,000 is required with the total budget being \$353,000.

Item No. C30 Chipping of Land Clearing Debris - Stokes Pit (2004-003)

The Manager submitted a report from the Municipal Engineer concerning the chipping of land clearing debris at Stokes Pit.

The Municipal Engineer was recommending that:

1. That Council approve the lease for one year of a portion of Stokes Pit (maximum 5 acres) to the Goodbrand Group who wish to initiate a pilot project to recycle land clearing wood waste.
2. That in lieu of machinery rental rates to the Goodbrand Group for their services, they be permitted to keep fees collected in accordance with the disposal fee schedule approved by Council in July 1989 (R310) to cover operating costs.

The Manager concurred with the recommendation of the Municipal Engineer.

COUNCIL-IN-COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

It was recommended:

1. That Council approve the lease for one year of a portion of Stokes Pit (maximum 5 acres) to the Goodbrand Group who wish to initiate a pilot project to recycle land clearing wood waste.
2. That in lieu of machinery rental rates to the Goodbrand Group for their services, they be permitted to keep fees collected in accordance with the disposal fee schedule approved by Council in July 1989 (R310) to cover operating costs.

CARRIED

Item No. P71 Heritage Advisory Committee - Terms of Reference (0042-013)

The Manager submitted a report from the Policy Analyst concerning the Heritage Advisory Committee - Terms Of Reference.

The Policy Analyst was recommending that:

1. The revised "Heritage Advisory Committee Terms of Reference" be adopted; and,
2. A Heritage Advisory Committee Establishment By-law be drafted to incorporate these Terms of Reference.

The Manager concurred with the recommendation of the Policy Analyst.

This item was not dealt with.

Item No. P73 Secondary Suites - Options for Regulation (2104-012)

The Manager submitted a report from the Policy Analyst concerning secondary suites and options for regulation.

The Policy Analyst was recommending that:

- (a) Text amendments be considered for all residential zones to permit secondary suites; and,
- (b) Residential zones be written which do not permit suites, and that owners not wanting to have secondary suites apply in writing for their properties to be rezoned by Council initiative.

The Manager concurred with the recommendation of the Policy Analyst.

This item was not dealt with.

C. ITEMS REFERRED BY COUNCIL

There were no items referred by Council.

D. DELEGATION REQUESTS

There were no delegation requests submitted to the meeting.

E. COUNCIL MEMBERS REPORTS

There were no Council member reports.

F. CORRESPONDENCE

1. Scarlatti & Associate

Requesting consideration of a proposal to develop approximately 850 rental housing units.

[File: 2104-001](#)

This item was not dealt with.

G. OTHER COMPETENT BUSINESS

1. Growth Management Guidelines

At the Council-In-Committee meeting on March 5, 1990, Items 4 - 6 were deferred to the next Council-

in-Committee meeting.

4. In the Upper Serpentine drainage basin, as defined by map adopted by Municipal Council, engineering comments on all subdivision and development applications shall address specifically drainage retention requirements, and downstream considerations.

5. (a) School District No. 36 will provide reports to ELUC on elementary school catchment areas which the District feels to be overcrowded, with estimate of when additional facilities for elementary pupils within that area will come on stream.

(b) ELUC will recommend to Council on whether subdivision and development applications from within such designated school catchment areas may be approved, subject to a restrictive covenant that building permits will not be issued for periods up to a maximum of 18 months.

6. (a) An annual review of the Official Community Plan shall be held each spring to consider applications to extend or reduce residential designations.

(b) Such a review shall include input from the respective departments on major road access, streets and sidewalks, water supply, sewage disposal, schools, fire protection, park lands, and recreation and cultural facilities.

This item was not dealt with.

2. Procedure By-law Amendments

[File: 0023-4155](#)

Council to receive and review amendments to Procedure By-law.

This item was not dealt with.

H. ADJOURNMENT

The Council-In-Committee meeting adjourned at 6.03 p.m.

CLKMIN559